6

#### FINAL REPORT (u/s 173 Cr.P.C.)

IN THE COURT OF HONOURABLE XII TH ADDL CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE AT NAMPALLY; HYDERABAD.

Hone	oured sir,	TITE AL (40 /0000
1.	Division Saifabad P.S.Nampally , year 2009	FIR No140./2009
2.	Charge sheet/ Final report No.	/2009
3.	FR dated	01-07-2009
4.	Act	U/s, 442, 445 & 506 I.P.C
5.	Type of Final Form/Report	FR submitted as Civil in Nature
6.	FR UN-occurred: False /MF/NC/CN:	Nil
7.	If charge sheet / original / suupl	Original
8.	Name & rank of the I.O.	
9.	(a)Name of complainant	
	(b) Father's Name	
10.	Details of Properties/articles	
	/documents seized during the	
	investigation and replied upon	
11.		
12	Particulars of Accused not charge sheeted	Nil
13.	Particulars of witnesses examined	
	Lw-1: Sri.Mr.Soham Modi S/o Sri Sa Soham Mansion, M.G. Road, Secun	ntish Modi R/o. 5-4-187/3 & 4, 3 <sup>rd</sup> floor, derabad (Complainant ) ra Reddy , age 43 years , R/o.H.No.1-7-
	513/1/14.Ratna nagar Hyderabad (witness)	colony, Zaminsthanpur, Musheerabad,
14	If FIR is false indicate the action	Nil
14	taken or proposed to be taken U/s	
15		Nil
16		As follows

The brief facts of the case are that on 01-07-2009 at 2030 hours received a court endorsed complaint from Honourable XII ADDL chief Metropolitan Magistrate in which the complainant Sri.Mr.Soham Modi S/o Sri Satish Modi R/o. 5-4-187/3 & 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Soham Mansion, M.G. Road, Secunderabad in which he stated that the complainant is a trust represented by its Trustee Mr.soham Modi. The trust is the owner of several shops in the building it is styled as "21<sup>st</sup> centenary commercial complex", bearing Shop Nos. 5-8-112 to 173/2, 3, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39, 46 to 51, Nampally, Hyderabad. The accused Mr.Syed Javeed and his brother namely Syed Khaleed obtained six shops bearing Nos. 37, 38, 39, 46, 47 and 58 on rent from the Trust vide Lease Agreement dated 8.10.1998. the said accused runned their business in the above shops till 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2001, they have vacated all the six shops and handed over the possession of the above said six shops to the Trust vide their letter dated 14.10.2001. From that day all the above shops are in possession of the complainant and kept the above shops under lock. On 2.04.2009, the accused here in broken the locks of

shops bearing Nos 39 and 46 and occupied the above said two shops illegally and thus committed criminal trespass and other offences of the property of the complainant Trust. This fact came to knowledge that when the complainant employee Mr. srinivas Reddy inspected the premises in his routing duty 2.4.2009 at 4 pm his employee reported the same to complainant. The complainant questioned the accused for his illegal acts, upon which the accused behaved in an intimidating manner and threatened the complainant's staff with dire consequences if he tried to interfere in his illegal acts. The Accused not only refused to vacate the premises but also threatened the trustee of the complainant The incident of barkeeper the locks by will ness of By of bodily harm. Sri.M.A.Addil the occupant of complainant, the said act of braked and open the locks and illegally entering the premises, without any right title or interest and refusing to vacate the said premises, and threatening the complainant's staff of dire consequences clearly shows that the Accused has committed House Breaking, Criminal Trespass and Criminal Intimidation. Therefore the accused committed an offence punishable U/s 442, 445 and 506 of IPC. And hence he prayed the Homewable court to issue summons to the Accused U/S 204 of Cr.P.C .Hence to is requesting for necessary action.

Basing on the orders of the Honourable court and contents of the above compliant I registered a case in Cr.No.140/2009 U/s 442, 445 & 506 J.P.C and took up the Investigation.

During in the complaint of investigation I issued a notice U/sec 90/160 Cr.P.C. to the complaint of instructions to appear before me along with the witness & documents considered to 4-7-2009 the complainant along with witness Lw-2 (Mr. srinivas red. ) came to Police station and submitted some documents. I examined the complainant and Lw-2 and recorded his detailed statement in Part-II of case dairy and secured the documents further I also secured the copy of Lease agreement from the alleged accused party. After verifying all the documents it came to know that the case is mainly civil in nature. I am intending to this case as Civil in nature. Obtained Permission from ACP Saifabad to refer this case as Civil in nature.

Hence have with submitting the final report treating as Civil in nature. It is prayed to the House Magistrate to issue proceedings accordingly.

(Recity and Alfolds

antici ministrati anti dever longiti engliste di li du

of the street street,

**的特别的 医侧部的侧侧脑膜** (4) 11 11 11

**国际** 

THE PERSON OF LUIS CONTROL OF THE PARTY.

Sub-Inspector of Police Nampally P.S.Hyd.

有性素性分析 出版 外外的 医动脉样

Criso folos upee 44241	as Comoludos Wheely 21
NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT	POL.B.No.76-PCOC SW
Form No.96	NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT
DUPLICATE	Form No.96 DUPLICATE
Mr. Soham Modi	To, Mr. Soham modi
	M N. Zona m Marti
80 80 botish Modi	Sto Si Sun Wood
710 5. 4. 18273 2 4 mm 10	on 3rd flow Solan Go
Please take notice that your complaint under	Please take notice that your complaint under
section UV2 UUS I.P.C. has been	section Wy LUYS 50 C. has been
reported to the NIAdd Chiuda	reported to the All Chief class
Magistrate of: 194 Mally Hard	( Magistrate of: 10 m Hally the
1) false 2) non –recognizable 3) mistake of fact	or 1) false 2) non – recognizable 3) mistake of fact of
law 4) undetectable cases in which investigati	ions law 4) undetectable cases in which investigation
are refused at any stage come under this head	are refused at any stage come under this head
5) charged on and that if y	you 5) charged on and that if you
want to oppose this report you will have to do	o so want to oppose this report you will have to do s
before the above magistrate with in a week fro	om before the above magistrate with in a week from
the date of this notice.	the date of this notice.
Station: NO MMLLy	Station
Date:	Date:
Signature of the Police Officer	Signature of the Police Officer
그리 그 시간 일을 보는 하는 이용 맛이 의혹 하면 되고 하는 한 글 모든 사람들이 모르는다.	

NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT Form No.96 DEPLICATE. : Sopammagi atists morbi of S. 4:180/3 Please take notice that your complaint under section AAR AAN I.P.C. has been 1) false 2) non -recognizable 3) mistake of fact or law 4) undetectable cases in which investigations are refused at any stage come under this head

5) charged on \_\_\_\_\_ and that if you want to oppose this report you will have to do so before the above magistrate with in a week from the date of this notice. Station: Date:

FINAL REPORT (u/s 173 Cr.P.C.)

# IN THE COURT OF HONOURABLE XII TH ADDL CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE AT NAMPALLY : HYDERABAD .

Hono	ured sir,		777 11 440 (0000
1.	Division Saif	abad P.S.Nampally ,	FIR No140./2009
``	year 2009		0
2.	Chame shee	t/ Final report No.	G 8 /2009
3.	FR dated		01-07-2009
<i>4</i> .	Act		U/s 442, 445 & 506 I.P.C
	Tuno of Final	Form/Report	FR submitted as Civil in Nature
5.	Type of Final	l–occurred: False	
6.		-occarrou.	
	/MF/NC/CN:	at / original / suunl	Original
7.	If charge sne	eet / original / suupl	Ong
8.	Name & ran		Sri.Mr.Soham Modi S/o Sri Satish Modi R/o.
9.	(a)Name of	complainant	5-4-187/3 & 4, 3 <sup>rd</sup> floor, Soham Mansion,
	(b) Father's	Name	M.G. Road, Secunderabad
1			
10.	Details 0	of Properties/articles	
	/documents	seized during the	
	investigation	n and replied upon	
11.	Particulars	of Accused charge	
' '	sheeted		
12	Particulars	of Accused not charge	Nil
12	sheeted		
100		of witnesses examined	
13.	A. Cari B.	Le Caham Madi S/o Sri S	Satish Modi R/o. 5-4-187/3 & 4, 3 <sup>rd</sup> floor,
1 .			
1	Sonam Ma	nsion, ivi. G. Noad, Cool	
	Lw-2: Snn	IIVas Reddy 5/0.Chan	colony, Zaminsthanpur, Musheerabad,
	513	/1/14.Ratna nagar	Colony, Zamment
	Hyd	erabad .(witness)	n   Alij
14	I If FIR is t	false indicate the action	// / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
	taken or p	roposed to be taken U	/s \
-	182/211 VF	°C	
11	5. Result of L	aboratory Analysis	Nil
1	Brief facts	of the case	As follows
<u> </u>			

The brief facts of the case are that on 01-07-2009 at 2030 hours received a court endorsed complaint from Honourable XII ADDL chief Metropolitan Magistrate in which the complainant Sri Mr. Soham Modi S/o Sri Satish Modi R/o 5-4-187/3 & 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Soham Mansion, M.G. Road, Secunderabad in which he stated that the complainant is a trust represented by its Trustee Mr. soham Modi. The trust is the owner of several shops in the building it is styled as "21<sup>st</sup> centenary commercial complex", bearing Shop Nos. 5-8-112 to 173/2, 3, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39, 46 to 51, Nampally, Hyderabad. The accused Mr. Syed Javeed and his brother namely Syed Khaleed obtained six shops bearing Nos. 37, 38, 39, 46, 47 and 58 on rent from the Trust vide Lease Agreement dated 8.10.1998. the said accused runned their business in the above shops till 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2001, they have vacated all the six shops and handed over the possession of the above said six shops to the Trust vide their letter dated 14.10.2001. From that day all the above shops are in possession of the complainant and kept the above shops under lock. On 2.04.2009, the accused here in broken the locks of shops bearing Nos 39 and 46 and occupied the above said two shops illegally

and thus committed criminal trespass and other offences of the property of the complainant Trust. This fact came to knowledge that when the complainant employee Mr.srinivas Reddy inspected the premises in his routing duty 2.4.2009 at 4 pm his employee reported the same to complainant. The complainant questioned the accused for his illegal acts, upon which the accused behaved in an intimidating manner and threatened the complainant's staff with dire consequences if he tried to interfere in his illegal acts. The Accused not only refused to vacate the premises but also threatened the trustee of the complainant of bodily harm. The incident of barkeeper the locks by will ness of By Sri.M.A.Addil the occupant of complainant. the said act of braked and open the locks and illegally entering the premises, without any right title or interest and refusing to vacate the said premises, and threatening the complainant's staff of dire consequences clearly shows that the Accused has committed House Breaking, Criminal Trespass and Criminal intimidation. Therefore the accused committed an offence punishable U/s 442, 445 and 506 of IPC. And hence he prayed the Honourable court to issue summons to the Accused U/S 204 of Cr.P.C . Hence he is requesting for necessary action.

Basing on the orders of the Honourable court and contents of the above compliant I registered a case in Cr.No.140/2009 U/s 442, 445 & 506 I.P.C and took up the Investigation.

During the course of Investigation I issued a notice U/sec 90/160 Cr.P.C. to the complainant with Instructions to appear before me along with the witness & documents concerned on 4-7-2009 the complainant along with witness Lw-2 (Mr.srinivas reddy) came to Police station and submitted some documents. I examined the complainant and Lw-2 and recorded his detailed statement in Part-II of case dairy and secured the documents, further I also secured the copy of Lease agreement from the alleged accused party. After verifying all the documents it came to know that the case is mainly civil in nature. I am intending to this case as Civil in nature. Obtained Permission from ACP. Saifabad to refer this case as Civil in nature.

Hence here with submitting the final report treating as Civil in nature. It is prayed to the Hon'ble Magistrate to issue proceedings accordingly.

Sub-Inspector of Police Nampally P.S.Hyd.

### IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE XII ADDL. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE: AT NAMPALLY

CRL.M.P.NO.

OF 2010

IN

CRIME NO. 140 OF 2009 (P.S.NAMPALLY)

Between:

M.C.MODI DISCRETIONARY TRUST
Represented by Trustee Mr.Soham Modi
S/o Sri Satish Modi at 5-4-187/3 & 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Soham Mansion
M.G.Road, Secunderabad, rep. by his
Authorised Signatory Mr.Ramacharyulu
S/o L.Raghavendra Rao. Petitioner

Petitioner/Complainant

And

MR.SYED JAVEED S/o S.A.Gani Aged about 41 years, occ: business, R/o 11-4-414/1, Red Hills, Hyderabad.

Respondent/Accused

#### PETITION UNDER SECTION 210 R/W 200 OF CR.P.C.

May it please your honour.

The Petitioner/Complainant had filed a private complaint U/s 200 of Cr.P.C. R/w Sections 442, 445 & 506 of I.P.C for House Trespass, House Breaking and Criminal Intimidation and this Hon'ble Court was pleased to refer the same for further investigation by the P.S.Nampally.

The Accused who were tenants in the shops owned by the Complainant in the building known as "21st Century Commercial Complex". The Accused had vacated the shop and the Complainants have put their lock to the premises. On 2.4.2009 the Accused break-open the locks and trespassed into the premises. This is a clear case of criminal trespass and the same was explained to the Police with necessary evidence at the time of investigation by the P.S. authorities. The Police colluded with the Accused and termed the case as civil in nature. The Complainant has got strong documentary evidence to prove its case which was also produced at the time of investigation by the police. It is just proper and necessary to examine the Petitioner and its witnesses and peruse the documentary evidence to take cognizance of the offence and issue process to Accused to try and punish for their offences.

Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to ignore the final report of police and the Petitioners evidence recorded and cognizance of the offences be taken against the Accused in the interest of justice.

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER/COMPLAINANT

NAMPALLY Date: 03-03-2010 PETITIONER

IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE XII ADDL. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE: AT NAMPALLY

CRL.M.P.NO.

OF 2010

IN

CRIME NO. 140 OF 2009 (P.S.NAMPALLY)

Between:

M.C.MODI DISCRETIONARY TRUST
PETR/COMPLAINANT

And

D.F. Biblioth, was see BuMr.Syed Javeed

RESPONDENT/ACCUSED

PETITION UNDER SECTION 210 R/W 200 OF CR.P.C.

Filed on:

03-03-2010

Filed by:

Shri C. BALAGOPAL Smt.Ameerunnisa Begum K.Vijayasaradhi & C.V. Chandramouli Advocates

Flat No.103, Harivillu Apartments, Road No.11, West Marredpally, Secunderabad – 26. Ph. 64570512

COUNSEL FOR PETR/COMPLAINANT

#### IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE XII ADDL. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE: AT NAMPALLY

CRL.M.P.NO.

OF 2010

IN CRIME NO. 140 OF 2009 (P.S.NAMPALLY)

Between:

M.C.MODI DISCRETIONARY TRUST Represented by Trustee Mr. Soham Modi S/o Sri Satish Modi at 5-4-187/3 & 4, 3rd floor, Soham Mansion M.G.Road, Secunderabad, rep. by his Authorised Signatory Mr.Ramacharyulu S/o L.Raghavendra Rao.

Petitioner/Complainant

And

MR.SYED JAVEED S/o S.A.Gani Aged about 41 years, occ: business, R/o 11-4-414/1, Red Hills, Hyderabad.

Respondent/Accused

#### PETITION UNDER SECTION 210 R/W 200 OF CR.P.C.

May it please your honour.

The Petitioner/Complainant had filed a private complaint U/s 200 of Cr.P.C. R/w Sections 442, 445 & 506 of I.P.C for House Trespass, House Breaking and Criminal Intimidation and this Hon'ble Court was pleased to refer the same for further investigation by the P.S.Nampally.

The Accused who were tenants in the shops owned by the Complainant in the building known as "21st Century Commercial Complex". The Accused had vacated the shop and the Complainants have put their lock to the premises. On 2.4.2009 the Accused break-open the locks and trespassed into the premises. This is a clear case of criminal trespass and the same was explained to the Police with necessary evidence at the time of investigation by the P.S. authorities. The Police colluded with the Accused and termed the case as civil in nature. The Complainant has got strong documentary evidence to prove its case which was also produced at the time of investigation by the police. It is just proper and necessary to examine the Petitioner and its witnesses and peruse the documentary evidence to take cognizance of the offence and issue process to Accused to try and punish for their offences.

Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to ignore the final report of police and the Petitioners evidence recorded and cognizance of the offences be taken against the Accused in the interest of justice.

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER/COMPLAINANT

NAMPALLY.

Date: 03-03-2010

TITIONER

IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE XII ADDL. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE: AT NAMPALLY

CRL.M.P.NO.

OF 2010

IN

CRIME NO. 140 OF 2009 (P.S.NAMPALLY)

Between:

M.C.MODI DISCRETIONARY TRUST
... PETR/COMPLAINANT

And

Mr.Syed Javeed

RESPONDENT/ACCUSED

PETITION UNDER SECTION 210 RAW 200 OF CR.P.C.

Filed on:

03-03-2010

Filed by:

Shri C. BALAGOPAL Smt.Ameerunnisa Begum K.Vijayasaradhi & C.V. Chandramouli Advocates

Flat No.103, Harivillu Apartments, Road No.11, West Marredpally, Secunderabad – 26. Ph. 64570512

COUNSEL FOR PETR/COMPLAINANT

## IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE XII ADDL. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE: AT NAMPALLY

#### CRIME NO. 140 OF 2009 P.S. NAMPALLY, HYDERABAD

Between:

M. C. MODI DISCRETIONARY TRUST, represented by Trustee
Mr. Soham Modi, S/o. Shri Satish Modi
At 5-4-187/3 & 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Soham Mansion,
M. G. Road, Secunderabad, rep. by his
Authorised Signatory Mr. Ramacharyulu
S/o. L. Raghavendra Rao
R/o. Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad.

-- COMPLAINT

And

MR. SYED JAVEED S/o. S. A. Gani Aged about 41 years, cc: business, R/o. 11-4-414/4, Red Hills, Hyderabad.

--- ACCUSED

### **SWORN STATEMENT OF A. SHANKAR REDDY**

- I, A. Shankar Reddy S/o. Sathi Reddy, aged 50 years, R/o. 5-4-187/3 & 4, M. G. Road, Secunderabad do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows:
- 1. I am the employee of the complainant herein and as such I am well aware of the facts deposed hereunder.
- 2. I submit that my colleague Srinivas Reddy had visited the premises bearing Shop no. 5-8-112 to 173/2, 37, 38, 39, 46, 47 and 58 adjacent to old Latha Talkies, Nampally, Hyderabad. In the course of his duties on 02.04.2009 at or about 4 pm he found that the Accused has trespassed into the premises by breaking open the locks of shop nos. 39 & 46 and when he enquired about this act, he was threatened by the accused. He immediately reached our office and informed me regarding the same. I immediately rushed to the premises and found the accused trespassed into the premises.

Hence this sworn statement.

Sworn and signed before me on this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2010 At Hyderabad.

Advocate / Hyderabad

bupen,

Deponent A SHOWA EXREM

IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE XII ADDL. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE: AT NAMPALLY

CRIME NO. 140 OF 2009 P.S. NAMPALLY, HYDERABAD

Between:

M. C. MODI DISCRETIONARY TRUST
--- COMPLAINANT

And

MR. SYED JAVEED

ACCUSED

SWORN STATEMENT OF A. SHANKAR REDDY

FILED ON: 21.07.2010

Filed by:

Sri. C. BALAGOPAL Advocate

Flat no. 103, Harivillu Apartments, Road no. 11, West Maredpally, Secunderabad – 500 026 Ph. No. 64570512

COUNSEL FOR THE COMPLAINANT

Date: 9-4-2009

From:

Soham Modi Trustee of M.C.Modi Discretionary Trust 5-4-187/3 & 4 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Soham Mansion M.G.Road Secunderabad.

To

The Station House Officer P.S.Nampally Hyderabad.

Sir

Sub: Requesting to take action against Sri Syed Javeed S/o S.A.Gani who broke open the locks and criminal trespassed in to the property belonging to the Trust.

I am the Trustee of the M.C.Modi Discretionary Trust and the Trust is the owner of shops in 21<sup>st</sup> Centenary Commercial Complex, bearing Shop Nos.5-8-112 to 173/2, 3, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39, 46 to 51, Adjacent to Old Latha Talkies, Nampally, Hyderabad.

Mr.Syed Javeed and Syed Khaleed obtained six shops bearing Nos.37, 38, 39, 46, 47 and 58 on rent from the Trust vide Lease Agreement dated 8.10.1998. They have run business in the above shops till 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2001 and have vacated all the six shops under their occupation and handed over the possession of the above said six shops to the Trust vide their letter dated 14.10.2001. Since then all the above shops are in possession of the Trust. The Trust kept the above shops under lock and key and they are using those shops whenever necessary for their purpose.

On 2.4.2009, the above said Syed Javeed S/o S.A.Gani broke open the locks of shops bearing Nos.39 and 46 and occupied the above two shops illegally without any permission from the Trust and thus committed

Contd..2.

criminal trespass and other offences of the property of the Trust. Immediately I demanded them to vacate and handover of the above two shops immediately. They not only refused to vacate the premises but also threatened me to do bodily harm.

I therefore request you to take necessary action against the culprit Mr.Syed Javeed S/o S.A.Gani R/o 11-4-414/1, Red Hills, Hyderabad for illegally trespassing into the shops and threatening me for bodily harm and restore the possession of the two shops Nos.39 and 46 to the Trust.

I am enclosing herewith a copy of letter dated 14.10.2001 by Mr.Syed Khaleed and the Resolution of the Trust empowering me to act as Trustee of M.C.Modi Discretionary Trust for your kind perusal.

Thanking you,
Yours faithfully,

(SOHAM MODI) Trustee M.C. Modi Discretionary Trust

SUMMONS TO AN ACCUSED PERSON  (Sec. 61 Cr. PC)  IN THE COURT OF THE XII IS Add cluby.  IN THE COURT OF THE XII IS Add cluby.  C.C. No. 195 of 2010  Between 17. C. Modi D. 8 Complainant Towns.  AND  AND  AND  AND  AND  AND  AND  AN
IN THE COURT OF THE XII IS Add cluby.  IN THE COURT OF THE XII IS Magistrate at Helican
IN THE COURT OF THE XII IS Add Charty.  IN THE COURT OF THE XII IS Add Charty.  Magistrate at Hell Sharp of 2010  Between: 17. C. 10 di D's Curlican Complainant  AND  AND  AND  AND  AND  AND  AND  AN
Between: M. C. Modi Distribution  AND  AND  AND  The Grand Tarreed Accused  To Mr. Seped Tarreed Accused  To Mr. Seped Tarreed Accused  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ, 506 D PC  Wirected to appear in person or by pleader, before the Hon'ble Court on the day of the Particular Account on the day of the Particular Account and Court on the Parti
Between: M. C. Modi Dis Complainant  AND  AND  The Grand Tarred Accused  To Mr. Seped Tarred Accused  To Mr. Seped Tarred Accused  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC  whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of UYZ, UYZ 506 D PC
Between: M. C. Modi Dis Complainant  AND  The Sand Varvaed Accused  To My. Sand Javaed Mora Gan  II-4-414/1, Med H. W.  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of U.Y. L.
Between: M.C. Modi D's Complainant  AND  AND  My Send Varveed Accused  To My Seled Javeed McCused  To My Seled Javeed McCused  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge  of ULL, LUL 506 D PC  you are hereby  directed to appear in person or by pleader, before the Hon'ble  on the day of man Paris. 2010. 10.30 A.M.
To My Seped Taveed Accused  To My Seped Taveed Block gav  II-4-414/1, led H. W.  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge  of 42, 445 506 D PC  you are hereby  directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble  on the day of the Court  on the day of the Accused  Accused  You are defined the Court  on the day of the Accused  Accused  To My Seped Taveed Block  you  are hereby  directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Court  on the day of the Accused  Accused  To My Seped Taveed Block  You  Accused  To My Seped Taveed Block  You  You  To My Seped Taveed Block  You  To My Seped Taveed Block  You  You  To My Seped Taveed Block  You  To My Seped Taveed Block  You  You  To My Seped Taveed Block  You  You  You  You  You  You  You  Yo
To My Seped Tavaed Accused  To My Seped Tavaed Bloss gav  II-4-414/1, led H. W.  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge  of 42, 445 506 D PC  you are hereby  directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble  on the day of the Court  on the day
To My Seped Tavaed Accused  To My Seped Tavaed Bloss gav  II-4-414/1, led H. W.  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge  of 42, 445 506 D PC  you are hereby  directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble  on the day of the Court  on the day
To My Seped Tavaed Accused  To My Seped Tavaed Bloss gav  II-4-414/1, led H. W.  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge  of 42, 445 506 D PC  you are hereby  directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble  on the day of the Court  on the day
To M. Sejed Javeed810 M Gan  11-4-414/1, Ned H. U.  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of 42, 445, 506 D J PC  you are hereby directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble Court on the day of many and 2010 10.30 A.M.
To M. Sejed Javeed810 M Gan  11-4-414/1, Ned H. U.  Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of 42, 445, 506 D J PC  you are hereby directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble Court on the day of many and 2010 10.30 A.M.
Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of you are hereby directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble Court on the day of the Action of the day of the Action of the day of the Action of the Acti
Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of you are hereby directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble Court on the day of the Action of the day of the Action of the day of the Action of the Acti
Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of
Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge of
of you are hereby directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble Court on the day of many 2010 10.30 A.M.
directed to appear in person or by pleader before the Hon'ble Court on the day so that the Appear in
Hon'ble Court on the day of the Annual Court
on the day st strategy of 2010 10.30 A.M.
on the day st strategy of 2010 10.30 A.M.
Dated this control of the control of
000
* 3
Seal Windowalian Magistrate
AND AND COUNTRY OF A PARTY OF A P
Well modified the state of the
Ches and Labour and Factories Act. Hydrodes
The Advocate's Co-op. Society Ltd., Hyderabad Ph.: 24418387

वीमा नही NOT INSURED क्रमांक शाये गये डाक टिकटों का मूल्प Amount of Stamps affixed एक रजिस्टी प्राप्त किया पानेवाले का नाम अध्या पानेवाले आर्था के स्वाराद Addressed to Hill May Unique Signature of Feeding Officer



Mr. Syed Javed,

M/s. Asian Agencies,
Shop No. 4, Ground Floor
H. No. 5-8-112 to 173,
21<sup>st</sup> Century Commercial Complex,
Adjacent to Old Lata Talkies,
Ekminar Road, Nampally,
Hyderabad – 500 001.
Ph. No. 040-307 86 240/ 66 56 22 40
Cell No. 98850- 59194



#### SUMMONS TO AN ACCUSED PER (Sec. 61 Cr. P.C.) , d. To:SYED JAVED. IN THE COURT OF THE. Wt:20grams, a Nampally, Hedus of 2010

RLAD B 86 Counter No:2,0P-Code:6AC HYD. PIN:500001

HYD GFO(NPO) <500001>

Amt:28.00 (LFee:Rs.3.00), 02/01/2011 12:31 Anice day

used Javeod S-8-112 6173, 2187 Century Communication of Cala Talletes wampall, Head Whereas your attendance is necessary answer to Charge 442, Treespard, 445,506 4200 .....you are hereby directed to appear in person or by pleader before the on the day ..... Dated this....

Seal

XII Additional Chief Magistrate Court-Curn-Special Metrapolican Wag Strate for trial of Guees under Laterur and Factories Act, Hyderabad.

The Advocate's Co-op. Society Ltd., Hyderabad Ph.: 24418387



HYD (FO(NFO) <500001)
RLAD IN 86
Counter No:2.OP-Code:6AC
To:SYED JAWED,
HYD. PIN:500001

Wt:20grams, Amt:28.00 (LFee:Rs.J.00), 02/01/2011 12:31 <\Particle Amt:28.00 (LFee:Rs.J.00).