



FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

A.P.P.M. Orders 470,500

(Under Section 154 and 157 Cr.P.C)

1.	District :	Hyderabad	Year:	2009 F	R No:	321/2009	Date:	05-OCT-2009
2.	P.S :	larayanguda	Acts a	nd Section :	1		C,418-IPC,4 PC,34-IPC,1	20-IPC,421-IPC, 56(3)-CrPC
3.	a) Occurence	e of offence :	Day: THURSDA	Y Date Fro	m: 15	-DEC-2005	Date To:	
	Time Perio	od: ONWARDS		Time Fro	m : 00:	00	Time To	•
	Prior To:					*******		
	b) Information		t PS: Da		-OCT-20	09 Time		
	c) General Di	iary Referenc	e: En	itry No. : 1		Time :	13:00	
4.	Type of Infor	mation:	Reffered by magis	strate		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
5.	Place of Ocur	rrence : (a) D	stance and dire					**
	(b) Place:	FLAT NO. 4	103, SUSHEEL RESI	DENCY	•			
	Street/Villa	ge: OPP CD	R HOSPITAL					
	Area/Mano	dal: HYDERO	GUDA			A. C.		
	City/Distri	ct: Hydera	had		4 4;**			
	State:	ANDHRA PI			••.			•
6.	District :	ned police sta	•		•••••••			
	(a) Name	* 1	MR VIJAY AGARV	VAL.		_		
	(b) Father	's / Husband	s Name : SRI VAS	SUDEV				*********
	(c) Date /	Year of Birth	:	~~~~~~~~		Age : 4	 14	
	(d) Nation	ality:	INDIAN	(e)Caste				
	(f) Passpo	rt No :	Į.	Date of Issu	e :		Place of Is	sue :
	(g) Occupa	ation :	BUSINESSMAN		*****			,
	(h) House	No:	FLAT NO. 403, SI	USHEEL RESII	DENCY			****************
	Street	/Village :	OPP CDR HOSPIT	AL		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
	Area/I	Mandal :	HYDERGUDA				ž	
	City /	District:	Hyderabad					
	State	•	ANDHRA PRADESI	H		Pin :		
							• •	

. Decails of Known/	suspected/unknown a	ccused with fu	ll particulars:	ASTROPE			
Serial No :	1			'			
Name of Accused	SOHAM MODI, MD OF M/S SUMMIT BUILDERS						
Father's Name :	SATISH MODI	Occu	pation : BUSINESSMAN				
Caste:			e:38 Nationality • INDIAN				
Present H. No :	5-4-187/3, 3RD FLOOR			******			
Present Street :	MG ROAD						
Present Area / Village :	SECUNDERABAD						
Present City / District :	Hyderabad						
Present State:	ANDHRA PRADESH	Pin: 0					
Phone(Off):		one	Cell No. :				
Email :							
Serial No :	2						
Name of Accused :	MR GAURANG MODI						
Father's Name :		Occur	vation: BUSINESSMAN				
Caste:	Se		Nationality: INDIAN				
Present H. No:	5-4-187/3, 3RD FLOOR						
Present Street :	MG ROAD						
Present Area / Village :	SECUNDERABAD						
Present City / District :	Hyderabad						
Present State:	ANDHRA PRADESH	Pin: 0					
Phone(Off):	Pho (Re	one esi) :	Cell No. :				
Email :		100					
Serial No :	3			•			
Name of Accused:	SMT. SUBHSHINI S GADE						
	SHRIRAM MOGALLAPALLI		a tion : Not Available	***************************************			
Caste:				**********			
Present H. No:	C/O. SATYANARAYANA M	1 777 1	A				
	RAJUA STREET						
Present Area / Village:	PEDDAPURAM						
Present City /	East Godavari						
Present State:	ANDHRA PRADESH	Pin: 0					
Phone(Off):	Pho (Re	ne	Cell No. :				
			NV. i				

.∕ail :	
&	

Physical features, deformities and other details of the Suspect:

Sl. No.	Sex	Date/Year of Birth	Build	Height (cms)	Complexion	Identification Marks(s)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Deformalities/ Peculiarities	Teeth	Hair	Eyes	Habit(s)	Dress Habit(s)
8	9	10	11	12	13

Languages/			Place of		
Dialect	Burn Mark	Leucoderma	Mole	Scar	Tattoo
14	15	16	17	18	19

Я	Reasons for delay	, in re	norting	hv	the com	nlainant	/ informant :
Ģ.	Keasons for ucla	A 111 1 C	por ung	υy	the com	piamant,	/ mnvimant i

REFERRED BY MAGISTRATE

9. Part	iculars of properties stolen/	involved (Attaci	h separate sheet, if necessary):	
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
:				
	,	•	•	

10. Total value of property stolen:

11	L. Inc	juest R	eport/	U.D.	Case N	lo. if	any:	

12. Contents of the complaint / statement of the complainent or informant:

IN THE COURT OF HONOURABLE ADDITIONAL CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE AT HYDERABAD Honoured Sir,

Today i.e. on 05-10-2009 at 1300 hrs received a court referred compliant vide Dis No. 1630/IXACMM/HYD /2009, dated: 14-09-09 rep. by Mr. Vijay Agarwal, S/o. Mr. Vasudev, aged 44 yrs, Occ: Business, R/o. Flat No. 403, Susheel Residency, Opp. CDR Hospital, Hyderguda, Hyderabad. Which runs as follows:

IN THE COURT OF HONOURABLE ADDITIONAL CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE AT HYDERABAD CC No. of 2008

Between:

Mr. Vijay Agarwal, S/o. Opp. CDR Hospital, Hyd

¿C: Business, R/o. Flat No. 403, Susheel Residency,

AND

1.M/s Summit Builde

r Sri Sohan Modi, S/o. Sri SDatish Modi, aged 38 yrs,

Occ: Business having office at 5-4-187/3, IIIrd Floor, MG road, Secunderabad-500003

- 2.Sri Sohan Mdi, S/o. Sri SDatish Modi, aged 38 yrs, Occ: Business having office at 5-4-187/3, Illrd MG road, Secunderabad-500003
- 3.Sri Gaurang Modi, S/o. not known to the complaint, aged major, Occ: Business having office at 5-4- \hbar /3, Illrd Floor, MG road, Secunderabad-500003
- 4.Smt. Subhashini S Gade, W/o. Sri Shriram Mogallapalli, aged 30 yrs, residing at C/o. Sri Satyanarayana Murthy Bondada, H. No. 6-10-30/A, Raju Street, Peddapuram, East Godavari District ¿ 533437.

COMPLAINT FILED UNDER SECTION 190 READ WITH SECTION 200 OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1973

- 1. Nature of Offence:Offence punishable under sections 406, 418, 420, 421, 120-B & r/w 34 Indian Penal Code, 1860
- 2. Date of Offence:15-12-2005 onwards and continuing
- 3. Place of Offence: Himayathnagar, Hyderabad
- 4. Name of Police Station: Narayanaguda PS, Hyd
- 5. List of Witness:1) The Complaint, 2) The list of other witness will be furnished at the time of investigation

The address of the complainant for the purpose of the service of the notices etc. is that of the counsel M /s Shyam S Agarwal, L Praveen Kumar, L. Pradhan Kumar, K. Shashirekha, Naresh Singh and Santosh Singh, Advocates, having office at # 101, RK Residency, lane beside Minerva Coffee Shop, Himayathnagar, 3-6-237/1, Street No. 15, Hyderabad-500029, phone: 91-40-2322-2700

1The complainant submits that the accused No. 1 is a partnership firm with the accused No. 2 & 3 as its partners. The accused No. 1 was the owner and development of ¿Silver Oak; apartments on the land forming part of survey No. 290, Situated at Chgerlapally village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. For the purpose of selling the flats to prospective purchasers, the accused No. 1 to 3 advertised for the same. As the complaint was interested in the venture taken by the accused and intended to purchase a flat therein, he approached the accused No. 1 in that regarded and the accused No. 2 & 3 negotiated with the complainant.

- 2.The Complainant further submits that the accused No. 2 & 3 showed to the complainant the broacher relating the proposed apartment and the complainant selected the flat no. 401 on forth floor admeasuring 725 square feet of super built up area together with proportionate undivided share of land to the extent of 36.25 square yards along with reserved two wheeler parking space bearing no. 73, hereinafter referred to as the said flat. The accused No. 2&3 represented that they are very reputed builders and their transactions would be fair.
- 3. The Complainant also submits that after negotiations the sale consideration was fixed at Rs. 649/- per square feet and in view of the extent of the suit flat being 725 square feet, the total sale consideration of the suit flat was arrived to at Rs. 4,70,525/-. Apart from the sale consideration, the complainant was asked to pay a sum of Rs. 50,000/- towards the charges for the amenities, Rs. 5,000/- towards parking and Rs. 15,000/- towards water & electricity charges. The complaint paid a sum of Rs. 10,000/- through cheque No. 123098. dated 08-09-2005 drawn on M/s IDBI Bank, under receipt No. 1017, towards earnest money and part payment of sale consideration, which was encashed by the accused No. 1 in the conclusion of the contract.
- 4. The complainant submits that the terms of contract were subsequently reduced into writing under a formal agreement of sale entered into between the complainant and the accused No. 1 on 15-12-2005. The complainant paid additional sum of Rs. 15,000/- to the accused No. 1 through cheque No. 619352, dated 01-03-2006 drawn on M/s HDFC Bank, towards further part payment of sale consideration, which was also encashed by the accused No. 1. The accused progress of construction of the complex and accordingly would also inform the complainant about the payment of balance of sale consideration to be made by him.

informing him about the status and progress of the complex and also about the amounts to be paid by him, but he did not received any correspondence or communication from the accused No. 1 as told by them. When the complainant visited the office of the accused NO.1 enquiring about the progress of the complex, he was told that it would take some more time for the project to be completed and that they would intimate him further details later. To the utter shock and surprise for the complainant, instead of three installments within seven days of receipt of the notice and warned of forfeiture, if the complainant fails to pay the installments.

6. The complainant also submits that he sent a suitable reply dated 15-05-2006 to the letter of the accused No.1 informing that he had not received any reminders earlier for payment as alleged in the said letter and informed that he would pay the amount in lump sum immediately on the sanction of loan, which was delayed in view of changes of status of income from salaried to self employed and will also complete the payments in lump sum after sanction of housing loan.

7.On receiving the reply of the complaint, the accused No. 1 sent a cancellation notice dated 09-06-2006 to the complainant stating that the complainant did not adhere to the alleged payment schedule, not paid the installments as per alleged promise, that as such the agreement entered into between the parties stood cancelled and that the payments made by the complainant were forfeited. The accused No. 1 further stated that they were at liberty to allot the said flat to any intending purchaser and allotted to the accused No. 4.

8. The complainant submits that after receiving the said cancellation notice, the complainant sent a reply letter dated 23-06-2006 informing that he has already informed through his reply letter dated 15-05 -2006 that there was a delay in processing of loan and the due amounts will be paid shortly and requested to bear for some time. The complainant also visited the office of the accused No. 1 in person and discussed with the accused No. 2 & 3. They stated that they had issued the notice only to ascertain whether the complainant was really interested in purchasing the flat or not. The accused No. 2 & 3 assured the complainant that his interest in the flat would be safeguard and he can make the payment as and when the loan is sanctioned to him.

9. The complainant further submits that he paid a further amount Rs. 75,000/- through cheque No. 691784, dated 11-07-2006, drawn on M/c HDFC bank and the same was acknowledged by the accused No. 1 vide their receipt No. 11-07-2006 towards part payment of the sale consideration. As the accused No. 1 to 3 were satisfied with the payments made by the complainant, they addressed a letter dated 01-08-2006 to the complainant, asking to visit the site between 01-08-2006 and 08-08-2006 to have a look at the flat.

10The complainant was asked to suggest for any additional or alterations to be done to the suit flat, stating that otherwise the flat will be completed as per the standard specifications shown in the model flat. The complainant suggest4ed some changes to the flat, for that te accused No. 1 assured that he would make the necessary changes to the said flat and would intimate the complainant the date of execution and registration of the documents.

11. The complainant also submits that to his utter surprise there was no such intimation from the complainant contacted the accused No. 1 to 3 in person there was no did they evaded meeting the complainant. Getting vexed with the attitude nope of response, the complainant got issed a legal notice dated 19-02-bugh his advocate calling upon to execute and register the sale deed in giving the balance amount of sale consideration at the time of registration days from the receipt of then notice.

at the accused No. 1 addressed a reply dated 22-02-2007 with false and stand that the agreement stood cancelled under the alleged cancellation accused No. 1 at any point of the time, except the one stated above. The complainant got issued a

rejoinder notice on 12-03-2007 denying receipt of any cancellation notice and made it clear that $\mathfrak{t}_{\underline{b}}$ accused NO. 1 cannot terminate the agreement unilaterally. The accused No. 1 got issued a reply to on 28-03-2007 talking the same stand, which is false.

- 13. The complainant further submits that thereafter he filed a suit for specific performance of the agreement of sale. In the meanwhile, the accused No. 1 to 3 in collusion with the accused No. 4 transferred the said flat to the accused No. 4 in order to frustrate the contact of the complainant. Thus the accused cheated the complainant with ill-intention, conspiracy and common intention consideration . and having agreed to execute to execute and register the sale deed, the accused No. 1 to 3 went back their promise in order to have wrongful gain at the costs of the complainant.
- 14. The complainant also submits that the accused No. 1 to 3 had induced the complainant to part with huge amount of money towards part payment of sale consideration with an intention not to transfer the said flat to him and to cheat the complainant. In spite of the complainant being ready to pay the balance of sale consideration of Rs. 4,4,525/- as he had already got the loan sanctioned from the banker for the purpose of making payment of balance of sale consideration to the accused No. 1 in respect of the suit flat, payment of stamp of stamp duty, registration charges etc., the accused No. 1 to 3 refused to transfer the said flat to the complainant and duped him.
- 15. The complainant submits that in a very illegal and highhanded manner and even after entering into agreement of sale with complainant and after receiving huge amounts towards part of sale consideration, the accused No.1 to 3 sold the suit flat to the accused No. in order to gain illegally and deceive and cause harm to the complainant. The agreement of the complainant is subsisting and it still holds good. Having made the complainant pay to them huge amounts from and also to obtain loan from the bankers, the accused No. 1 to 3 have gone back.
- 16. This Honzble Court has got jurisdiction to take cognizance of the offences as the complainant resides at Himayathnagar, Hyderabad under the limits of Narayanaguda Police Station, from where he made the payments towards part of the sale consideration and also exchanged the correspondence and notices with the accused No. 1 to 3.
- 17. The Complainant therefore prays that this Hon; Ble Court may be pleased to pas an order referring the complaint to the Police, Narayanaguda under Section 156(3) of the Criminal procedure Code for investigation and filing report in the interest of justice and pass such other order or orders as this Honz ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel of the ComplainantComplainant

Hyderabad, 3012-2008

Received on 05-10-2009 at 1300 hrs

As per the above contents of the report and the Honble Court instructions a case in Cr. No. 321/2009, U/ s 406,418, 420, 421, 120(b) R/w 34 IPC and the case file handed over to SI Sri NLN Raju for further investigation.

Sd/-(V. Janardhan, ASI of Police, Narayanaguda PS, Hyd)

13. Action Taken: Since The above information reveals commission of offence(s)U/s as mentioned at item No.2, (1) Registered the case and took up the investigation or (2) Directed (Name of I.

N. LAXMINARAYANA

0.): RAJU to take up the Investigation or

(3) Refused investigation due

(4) Transferred to P.S.

District

Rank:

SI - CIVIL

on point of jurisdiction.

No.:

.I.R. read over to the complainant / informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy wen to the complainant /informant, free of cost.

14.	Signature / Thumb impression of the complainant / informant.	Signatı	ure of officer	in charge, Police Station
		Name:	V.JANARDHA	N
	·	Rank:	ASI	No: ASI
15.	Date and time of dispatch to the court :	·		