### DECREE IN ORIGINAL SUIT

IN THE COURT OF THE II JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE. CITY CIVIL COURT. HYDERABAD. DATED THIS THE 1st day of August, 2016

Present: Smt. G.Sunitha Ravindra Reddy, B.Sc., L.L.B., III JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE, FAC II JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE, CITY COURT, HYDERABAD.

### O.S.No.727 of 2016

#### BETWEEN:-

- 1. Sri Jayantilal Mody, S/o. Late Sri Mojilal Mody, Aged: 80 Years.
- 2. Gaurang Mody, S/o. Sri Jayanatilal Mody, Aged: 48 years. Both R/o. Flat No.105, Sapphair Apartments, Chilkoti Gardens, Begumpet, Hyderabad – 500 016.
- 3. Tejal Modi, W/o. Soham Modi, R/o. Plot No. 280, Road No.25, Jubliee Hills, Hyderabad

....Plaintiffs

#### And

- 1. The Tahasildar, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad District.
- 2. All Concerned.

...Defendants

Suit for declaration of Plaintiffs as Legal Heirs of Late Smt. Pallavi Mody. Claim:

Valuation:-Suit is valued for Rs.10,000 /- and a Court Fee of Rs.786/- is paid Under sec.20 of APCF and SV Act, 1956.

Cause of Action :- Arose on 2.1.2016 Plaint presented on :- 18.03.2016 Plaint numbered on :- 21.03.2016

This suit is coming on this day before me for final disposal in the presence of Sri C. Bala Gopal, advocate for the plaintiffs and defendants were called absent and set exparte, this court doth order and decree as follows:-

- That the suit of plaintiff be and the same is hereby decreed.
- That the plaintiffs are legal heirs of Late Smt. Pallavi-Mody of facts and circumstances of the case,
- There is no order as to costs.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court on this 19 2016.

> III JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE, FAC II JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE, CITY CIVIL COURT, HYDERABAD. RO CERTIFIED PHOTOCOPY

MEMO OF COSTS FOR PLAINTIFF

#### FOR DEFENDANTS

1.Stamp	on	plaint
2.Stamp		

3.Advocate fee

4.Process fee

5.Misc. Fee

6.Publication

RS 786-00 2-00 PC/MC NOT filed. 100-00 50-00

Exparte

\_\_\_

Total Rs. 938-00.

III JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE, FAC II JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE, CITY CIVIL COURT, HYDERABAD.

NOTE:-"The parties should apply as soon as possible for the return of all exhibits which they may wish to preserve, as the record will be liable to be destroyed after three years from this date".

depying been successful to the successful to appoint the successful to the successfu



# IN THE COURT OF THE II JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE : CITY CIVIL COURT : HYDERABAD.

MONDAY, THE 1 ST DAY OF AUGUST, 2016

PRESENT : SMT. G. SUNITHA RAVINDRA REDDY, B.Sc., LL.B., III JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE FAC II JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE

#### O.S. No. 727 of 2016

#### Between:

- 1 Sri Jayantilal Mody, S/o. Late Sri. Mojilal Mody, aged about 80 yrs
- 2 Gaurang Mody, S/o. Sri Jayantilal Mody, aged about 48 yrs Both are R/o. Flat No. 105, Sapphair Apartment, Chikoti Gardens, Begumpet, Hyderabad 500 016.
- 3. Tejal Modi, W/o. Soham Modi, R/o. Plot No. 280, Road No. 25, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

Plaintiffs

#### AND

- 1 The Tahasildar, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad District.
- 2 All concerned

Defendants

This suit coming on 29.07.2016 for final hearing before me, and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. C. Balagopal, the learned counsel for the plaintiffs, defendant nos. 1 and 2 called absent and were set exparte. The matter having stood over till this day for consideration, this Court delivered the following:

#### JUDGMENT

This suit is filed for Declaration to declare the Plaintiffs as the legal heirs of Late Smt. Pallavi Mody.

2. The brief averments made in the Plaint are as follows:

It is the case of the Plaintiffs, that the wife of Plaintiff no. 1 and the mother of Plaintiff nos. 2 and 3 namely Late Smt. Pallavi Mody died intertate on

CERTIFIED PHOTOCOPY

02.01.2016 at Plot No. 280, Rd.No. 25, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad -34 leaving behind the Plaintiffs as her legal heirs.

After the death of Late Smt. Pallavi Mody, the Plaintiffs approached the Tahasildar, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad and applied for issuance of Legal Heir Certificate, but the same was refused by the Defendant no. 1 office and directed the Plaintiffs to approach the concerned Civil Court for issuance of the same. Hence, this suit.

- 3. On receipt of summons, the defendants remained exparte.
- 4. During the course of Enquiry, on behalf of Plaintiffs, Plaintiff no. 2 and 3 were examined as PW1 and PW2 and Exs. A1 to A3 documents were marked.
  - 5. Heard learned counsel for Plaintiffs.
- 6. Now the point for determination is whether the Plaintiffs are entitled for declaration as prayed for ?

The plaintiffs filed this suit to declare them as legal heirs of Late Smt. Pallavi Mody. In order to substantiate their case, the plaintiffs placed reliance on oral testimony of Pws 1 and PW 2 coupled with Exs.A-1 to A-3 documents. Ex.A-1 is the original death certificate of Late Smt. Pallavi Jayanthilal Mody. Ex.A-2 are the Aadhar cards of plaintiff Nos. 2 and 3. Ex.A-3 is the passport of Plaintiff no. 3 showing the name of the deceased Smt. Pallavi Mody as the mother of Plaintiff no. 3.

7. Before adverting to the facts of the case, it is deemed fit to refer to the relevant provisions of Section 34 of Specific Relief Act 1963. Under Section 34 of Specific Relief Act, 1963 "Any person entitled to any legal character, or to any right as to any property, may institute a suit against any person denying, or interested to deny his title to such character or right, and the court may in its discretion make therein a declaration that he is so entitled, and the plaintiff need not in such suit ask for any further relief.

Provided that no court shall make any such declaration where the plaintiff, being able to seek further relief than a mere declaration of title, omits to do so.

8. On careful perusal of entire record, the evidence of PWs. 1 and 2 coupled with Exs. A-1 to A-3 documents categorically establishes that the plaintiffs are the legal heirs of Late Smt. Pallavi Mody. In order to rebut the said version, the defendants are reluctant to contest the suit inspite of knowledge of suit proceedings. So it can be safely presumed that the plaintiffs could successfully probabilise their case. Even the decision in Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Anna Nagar, Chennai and another Vs. Srinivasa Transport, Gajuwaka, Visakhapatnam in 2008 (4) ALD 787 wherein it was held that "a suit for declaration to decide the question pertaining to the status and legal character of an individual under Section 34 of the Specific Relief Act is maintainable before a civil court" is well applicable to the facts of the present case. So there cannot be any iota of doubt to suspect th documents filed and thus entirled for the relief as prayed for. Thus, this point is answered in favour of plaintiff nos. to 3 and against the defendants.

TERTIFIED PHOTOCOPY

In the result, the suit of the plaintiffs is hereby decreed in favour of Plaintiffs and against the defendants declaring the plaintiffs as legal heirs of Late Smt. Pallavi Mody. In view of facts and circumstances of the case, there is no order as to costs.

Dictated to Personal Assistant directly in the laptop, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court, on this 01 st day of August, 2016.

> III JUNIOR ĆIVIL JUDGE FAC II JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE CITY CIVIL COURT, HYDERABAD

### APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

# WITNESSES EXAMINED FOR PLAINTIFFS:

P.W.1: Gaurang Mody P.W.2: Tejal Modi

# WITNESSES EXAMINED FOR DEFENDANTS:

None

## **DOCUMENTS MARKED FOR PLAINTIFFS:**

Ex.A-1: Original Death Certificate of Late Smt. Pallavi Mody. Ex.A-2: Aadhar Cards of Plaintiffs no. 2 and 3.

Ex.A-3: Passport of Plaintiff

Exit of Plaintif	r no.3				
SUMT OF THE CHIEF SOME SITY CIDOCUMENTS AMARKED FOR SENTRAL NIP VIST ESTABLISHME.	<u>R DEFENI</u>	DANTS:	*		
reprication mass on 1 8 16  tamps  Callagen					
names named on 12-8-46			'AÇ II/JUN]	R CIVIL JUDGE OR CIVIL JUDG	ŀΕ.
Charges a Sum of Rs	6	CIAN	CIVIL CO	URT, HYDERAB	AD.
Onverade Hady on	The same of the sa		yh.	utone .	
Central Copylist Establish From	bell6		Miral ( opvi		
City Civil Court, Hyderaine					