



Recd
21/10/10
[Signature]

**OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE, CUSTOMS &
SERVICE TAX
HYDERABAD II COMMISSIONERATE
3RD FLOOR (Annexe): SHAKKAR BHAWAN: L.B.STADIUM ROAD
BASHEERBAGH::HYDERBAD – 500 004**

O.R.No.87/2010-Adjn.ST

Date:15.10.2010

To
✓ M/s Paramount Builders,
5-4-187/3 & 4, II Floor,
MG Road, Secunderabad – 500 003.

[By Speed Post]

Gentlemen,

Sub: Service Tax- Non payment of Service Tax by M/s Paramount Builders – Issue of Show Cause Notice -Intimation of Personal Hearing–Reg.

I am directed to inform that the Additional Commissioner is pleased to fix personal hearing in this case on **2.11.2010 at 11.00 Hrs.** You are therefore requested to attend personal hearing in person or through a counsel with all your replies/defense before the Additional Commissioner at Office of the Commissioner of Central Excise, Customs & Service Tax, Hyderabad-II Commissionerate, 3rd Floor (Annexe), Shakkar Bhavan, L.B.Stadium Road, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.

2. If you fail to appear for the personal hearing on the date fixed as mentioned above, the case will be decided on merits/ evidence available in the records.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
15/10/10
Superintendent (Adjn.)



OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS, CENTRAL EXCISE &
SERVICE TAX :: SHAKKAR BHAVAN :: 3RD FLOOR ::
HYDERABAD -II COMMISSIONERATE
L.B.STADIUM ROAD:: BASHEERBAGH :: HYDERABAD 500 004

O.R.No.87/2010-Adjn.ST

Dated:02.11.2010

1) Name of the Noticee : M/s Paramount Builders

2) Represented by : 1) Sudhir VS
2)

3) Signature : 1) V.A.L.
(Sudhir VS)
2/11/10
2)

RECORD OF PERSONAL HEARING

sh. Sudhir V.S, CA appeared before me for PH. He reiterated the submissions made in their ser. He drew attention to the manner in which s. tap is computed on both cca and wc services for the same period and stated that the same is not tenable in law. He stated that after the circular no. 108 was issued in Jan 2005, they wrote to the dept. w.r.t liability or otherwise of s. tap on residential crick and hence argued that dept was well aware of their client's intention and hence intent to evade payment of s. tap would not arise as the facts were already known to the department

2/11/2010