

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS-II)
CUSTOMS, CENTRAL EXCISE & SERVICE TAX
HYDERABAD.

Appeal No. 202/2011(H-II)S.Tax

Date: 27.02.2013

Name of the appellant : M/s GREENWOOD ESTATES
Secunderabad


Name of the Consultant/ Advocate : I. CA Sudhir V.S.
M/s Hiregange & Associates

RECORD OF PERSONAL HEARING

CA Sudhir V.S. appeared for personal hearing for disposal of main appeal and made the following submissions :

1. Reiterated the submissions made in the grounds of appeal.
2. Construction of flats for individuals does not come under 'Works Contract Service' definition as construction of individual flat/unit would not come under meaning of construction of residential complex or a part thereof.
3. As per Board's Circular No. 108/02/2009-ST dt. 29.1.2009, it has been clarified that residential unit sold for a customer for his personal use is not liable to service tax. In the impugned order of the adjudicating authority has only considered the conclusion of the Board's Circular and the preamble or the arguments have not been taken into consideration while adjudicating the show cause notice.
4. It is further submitted that builders became liable to service tax from 1.7.2010 as per Finance Act, 2010 as per Explanation added to the taxable service.
5. Since the matter was not free from confusion, the facts were intimated to the department and the issue involved is a matter of interpretation, penalty under Section 80 may be waived as the appellant had acted under bonafide belief.
6. The appellant is not clear with regard to quantification of service tax, demanded and confirmed. As per their view, for the period Jan., 2010 to Dec., 2010, the taxable value should be Rs. 5,73,06,000/- instead of Rs. 11,65,14,000/- as mentioned in the show cause notice.

Nothing more to add.


(Dr. S.L. Meena)
Commissioner (Appeals-II)