IN THE COURT OF THE RRW.1st ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE: R.R.DIST.

AT L.B.NAGAR

O.S.No.

895

of 2004

Between: -

K.Shankar Goud s/o. K.Rajaiah, aged about 46 yrs, Occ; Business, r/o. Cherlapally village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy Dist.

-: n.4.5%

... Petitioner/Plaintiff

And

- 1. The Hyderabed Urban Development Authority, rep.by its Vice-Chairman Paigha Plaza, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
- 2. The Commissioner, Kapra Muncipality, Ranga Reddy Dist.

... Respondents/Defendants

MEMO FILED ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF

Tt is submitted that the Petitioner/Plaintiff herein xxxxx has filed the above suit against the Respondents/Defendants before this Hon'ble court in respect of the suit schedule property and the matter has settled out of the court and the Petitioner/Plaintiff herein is intending to withdraw the above suit against the Respondents/Defendants as not pressed.

Hence this Memo.

\_ <u>12</u> \

Vorsy 20) &)

L.B.Nagar

Dt: 25-8-2004

Counsel for Petitioner/ Plaintiff

1

IN THE COURT OF THE PRZ.SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, RANGA REDDY DIST. AT L.B. NAGAR

-**,** J#1 \*

Kasula Shankar Goud s/o. K.Rajaiah, aged about 46 yrs, Occupation Business, R/og Cherlapally village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Plaintiff

And

- A district 1. The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority | rep.by its Vice-Chairman Paigah Plaza, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
- 2. The Commissioner, Kapra Muncipality, at Kapra, R.R.Dist.

... Defendants

CLAIM: - SUIT FOR PERPTUAL INJUNCTION

PLAINT FILED UNDER ORDER VII RULE 1 R/W.SEC. 26 CPC.

សូវជាស្រាន ស្រង់ក្រ I. Description of the Plaintiff:- The description and base and the second secon address of the Plaintiff is as shown in the cause title and with the beginned without the cities of the for the purpose of service of summons, notices and process 114 . 1 1112 f 13 t etc. is that of his counsel M/s. Ravikanth Kasula, L.Sreedhar, T.Naveen Singh and M.Shivashankar, Advocates, Moula Ali, Hyderabad.

ige of just 🕶

II. Description of the Defendant: The description and address of the Defendant is as shown in the cause title for the purpose of service of summons, notices and process etc. and a gard of the training

Claimtiff is Octobers

if okad 3 t

III. The plaintiff humbly submit as under:-

That the Plaintiff is the absolute owner and possessor of Agricultural land bearing Sy.No.291 admeasuring Ac.6-30 gts. or 2.827 Hectors, situated at Cherlapally village, Ghatkesar Mandal, under Kapra Muncipality, Ranga Reddy District, having purchased the same through a Regd. Sale Deed bearing document No.535/04 dt. 20-1-2004 from P.Sanjeeva Reddy s/o. P.Sai Reddy for valuable sale consideration, the Vendor of the Plaintiff herein was the Original owner and Pattedar of the said property and having absolute right and interest over the said property to sell the same, since the date of the purchase the Plaintiff is in peacefull possession and enjoyment of the same as absolute owner and possessor (hereinafter called the SUIT SCHEDULE PROPERTY).

the Plaintiff with an intention to develop the sand and fenced with the Barbed wire by putting the stone khadies and also clean the bushes in and over the suit schedule property for their family purpose, the Plaintiff is having large family and having necessidantial accordance by fencing the same with barbed wire in and dround the suit schedule property boundaries, while the matter being so, some of the local people developed the bore gurdge against the Plaintiff is congress.

C. Swar engl

...3/-

- Adulituan commentate and having Political rivolary inbetween the Local Politicians and they have complaint against the Plaintiff before the Defendant No.1 and 2 , on such instigation of the said complaint the Defendant No.1 and 2 came to the suit schedule property on 7-7-2004 and trying to remove the Barbed wire fenced with the stone khadies and disturbed the boundary stones of the suit schedule property , immediately the Plaintiff along with his well wishers resisted the illegal activities of the defendants, while they leaving the suit schedule property the defendants warned the Plaintiff that they would come again and dismantled the MX boundary Stones and barbed wire in and over the suit schedule property. Actually there is Water Scarcity in the surrounding area of the suit schedule property, as such the Plaintiff unable to cultivate the same , therefore the Plaintiff having no other alternative remedy approach this Hon ble court for seeking the relief of Pernetual Injunction against the Defendants.

That the Plaintiff is having primafaciecase and the balance of convenience is infavour of him, if the Hon'ble court may not granted Injunction orders against the Defendants the Plaintiff will be put to great loss and hardshipwhich can not compensated interms of damages. The plaintiff without having the Injunction orders of this Hon'ble court the Plaintiff can not resist the illegal interference of the Defendants in and over the suit Schedule property. Hence the suit.

4. No suit or proceedings are pending before any court for seeking same relief.

a Suar and

...4/-

4. CAUSE OF ACTION:- That the cause of action arose on 20-1-2004 the day on which the Plaintiff became the absolute owner and possession of the suit schedule property when the Plaintiff has got executed a Regd. Sale Deed, and on 7-7-2004, the day on which the defendants are trying to interfere with the peaceful possession enjoyment of the suit schedule property and trying to remove the stone khadies and barbed wire in and over the suit schedule property and the cause of action is continuing and subsisting.

- 5. JURISDICTION:- That the suit schedule property is situated at Cherlapally village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy Dist. as such this Hon ble court has got peccunary, and territorial jurisdiction to entertain the present suit.
  - Rs.3,00,000/r/- and paid a court fee of Rs.340/r/under Section 26(c) of A.P.C.F. and S.V.Act. which is
    sufficient., for the relief of Perpetual Injunction.

the Plaintiff and against the Defendants as under:-

- To grant PERPETUAL INJUNCTION, restraining the defendants, their agents, servents, subordinates person or persons claiming through on their behalf from causing any sort of interference with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the suit schedule property in any manner;
- b) To award the costs of the suit;
- this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case;

Counsel for Plaintiff L.B.Nagar Dt: 08-7-2004

aa)

1

C. Sur

## SCHERUKE OF PROPERTY

## VERIFICATION

I, the above named Plaintiff herein, do hereby declare that the above mentioned paras are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, hence verified on this the 08-7-2004, at L.B.Nagar.

C. Sum amel

# SCHEDULE OF PROPERTY

All that the part andparcel of Agrl. Dry land bearing Sy.No.291 admeasuring Ac.6-30 gts. situated at Cherlapally village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Kapra Muncipality, Ranga Reddy Dist. bounded by:-

North : Land belonging to Pratap Reddy

South : Cartway

East : Village Gadiwall

West : Owner's land

De Shaw Goud

#### VERIFICATION

I, the above named Plaintiff herein, do hereby declare that the above mentioned schedule of property and its boundaries are true and correct, hence verified on this the 8-7-2004, at L.B.Nagar.

LQ. Show hough

# LIST OF DOCUMENTS

- 1. Dt. 20-1-2004 Original Regd. Sale Deed
- 2. Dt. 10-2-2004 Original Encumbrance Certificate
- 3. Exiginal Bukk C.C. of pahani for the year
- 4. Xerox copy of Title Deed
- 5. Xerox copy of Pattedar pass book

Counsel

Counsel for Plaintiff

L.B.Nagar bt: 8-7-2004