वायकर विभाग

5.749

INCOME-TAX DEPARTMENT

Date: 19.3,85

Part / District

1. UT PUTTY TO Year of Assessment

1982.83

% विश्वरिकी का नाम (पूछ पढा बहित) ... Name of Assesses (with complete address)

P/g Satish handra Hodi, counderabat

3. ffras.

Individual

नियाबी ७ वया—नियाबी परन्तु बाबारनवः नियाबी नहीं वर्गियाबी

Resident
Whether—Resident but not ordinarily resident
Non-resident

A60.

- s. whet-fafe Method of accounting
- 6. the walk (are the transition of the shown separately for source of income)

31.3.82

7. Spu site ult sy-site & sully we fruite from the Section and Sub-section under which the seccessment is made.

143(3)

ASSESSMENT ORDER

The assesses filed a return admitting on income of Mu.52,294. In response to notice u/s 145(2) assesses a A.M. appeared and heard. Income is computed as under.

D. t. .

ेच्या व्यक्ति, वर्षण्यसः हिन्दू परिवार, कन्पनी, स्वानीय शाविकार, र्यज्ञाद्वीकृत या वर्षावदीकृत कर्वे, व्यक्तिको की वंकतः व्यक्तिकों का निकाय ।

•Whether individual Hindu undivided family, company, local authority, registered or unsegntered firm, association of persons body of individuals.

maray (780) - 00/0, 2.7.0, (eat)/00 -0,24.000

The case of Several Runar Hedi to Usha Kiran complex that taken at 50° share in Satishchandra Hani	he chare of income from 57
Tust's income is taken ; Interest	provisionally Re. 35
Less: 80 ⁰	
	otal income
Tax treve on:	A., 59
17 Se Total 14375 1438 15813	
don: 705 - 598 596	+, ~
	(". " Anjameyulu). metax Officer, Central 2rr
Len: Adv. 9030 8.40 9870	Ryderabad.
10x Paid	
4672 - 467 7000 Pard 4672 - 673 - 67	
673 - 67	
Add: Sof up 139(8) 10	
1 ad: 901. 4/5.215 5	•
Net Payable 0.13	18
Penalty retries up 2711	(1)(4) 从273(2)(4)
	Tord

IN THE OFFICE OF THE ASSAULT COMMUSSIONER

OF INCOME TAX.

Ranca C-Audi

C.L. VARDHAM,

Dy. Commissioner (Appeals) C-Range, Hyderabad.

Date of Order:

28-2-1989

Income-tax Appeal No.

ITA.No.Cont.III/18/85-86

Instituted on the

26-4-1985

From the order of the Income-tax Office of Income-tax Office

Income-tex Officer, Central Circle-III, Hyderabad.

1. Year of assessment

1982-83

2. Name of the Appellant

Master Schem Modi,

3. Income assessed

Pr. in Me. Setimberabeta Modi. R.P. Roed, Secumberabet,

Acre Manage Comme

4. Tax demanded Income-tax

Rs. 53,350/-Rs. 15,813/-

5. Section under which assessment was made

143(3)

Date of hearing

27-2-1079

Present for Appellant

Anil Kumar Vithlani, C.A.

APPELLATE ORDER AND GROUND OF DECISION

In this appeal by the appellant the only issue raised is that the Income-tax Officer erred in not allowing deduction of 1/6th for repairs from rental income of Usha Kiran Camplex in which he is having 11% share as co-owner whereas in the case of other co-owners the income as declared by the appellant at Rs. 3,293/- has been accepted for this year and subsequent assessment years. In this way his 11% share at Rs. 5,392/- is unlawfully determined.

Against the reason given by the Income-tax Officer who has assessed his 11% share in the property under the head Other sources. The appellant pleads that as person who builds a super structure upon the land taken on lease remains, the owner of the structure, and such owner of the super structure is to be taxed in respect of the Annual value of such building as the owner thereof under sec.22 of the Act.

..2.

ITA.No.Cent.III/18/85-86 dt. 28-2-1989.

. .

Smt. Tarulata Modi who got the building on lease from Shri.

P.M.Modi (Individual) and latter on by an egregorat dated 1-12-1980 admitted 8 other persons besides bereself for publing up structure in the said land and wherein her share is 11% in her case also her share from this property is assessed at As. 3,293/- in 1982-83 and 1983-84 assessment which was declared efter possidering 1/6th for repairs.

It is also claimed that under similar facts and discumstances in the case of CIT Vs. Kannayalal Navami 120 IPR 692 and CIT Vs. Smt. T.P.Sidawa 133 ITR 843, it has been held that regtal income was assessable as "Income from house property" under sec.22 to 27 and not as "Income from Other sources" U/s. 56 of the Act.

Having considered the above facts and distinguishances of the case and the fact that in the hands of other co-owners income stands assessed under the head property and income fact from other sources, I am to hold that in the appellant's case also rental income from Usha Kiran Complex is to be assessed under the head income from property allowing the deduction of 1/6th fac repairs U/s, 24(1)(b) as against the Income assessed under the head 'Other sources'. In subsequent assessment year, the assessment semitant means to have been accepted even after assessment is made U/s, 143(3) of the Act.

In the result the appeal is allowed.

(C.L.VARDHAN) Dy. Commissioner (Appeals) C-Range, Hyderabad.

-/Certified true copy/-

Copy of the order forwarded to the

- 1. Appellant with D.N.
- 2. I.T.O. with records.
- 3. C.I.T. A.P. Hyderabad.
- 4. Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax.

Sd/Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax,
(Appeals) C-Range, Hyderabad.

or Copyl-

Proceedings of the Asst. Commissioner of Incometax: Central Circle-I, Hyderabi

SHRI Y.R.RAO, I.R.S. Asst.Commissioner of Incometax, Central Circle—I: Hyderabad.

8_705/82.83

Dt.20.4.1989

Sub: I.T.Assessment Assessment year 1982.83-Mr.Schankumar Modi, P/G Sri Satishchandra Modi, 5-4-187/364, Karbala Maidan, Secunderabad- Modification order passing of -reg.

6666

As par the decision given by the Deputy Commissioner of Incometax(Appeals), C-Range, Myderabad in his order I.T.A.No.Cent.III/18/85.86 dt.28.2.1989, the assessment order passed on 19.3.1985 for the assessment year 1982.83 is modified as under:

Total income as per the Asst.order dt.19.3.85

Rs.53,350

Less: relief given by the Dy.Commissioner(A), Hyderabad

ks. 2100

Revised total income

B.51, 250

Tax as under

I.T. 8.13325 S.C. 8.1333

Tax payable k.14658

Less: T.D.S. and A.T. paid Rs. 10468

Balance B.4190
Less: 140-A tax paid Rs.4672

Refundable R.482

Add. 13948) Int. 8. 82 Add: 215 Int. 8. 287

Refundable 8.113

Regular tax collected already by way of adjustment %.1318

Total refund R.1431

Note: There is an outstanding demand of Rs.5242 duexafor (Y.R.RAG)

the asst.year 85.86. It is therefore proposed to adjust them to the community of the second conditions of the community of th

PROCEEDINGS OF THE INCOME TAX STREETS, ECUTION SHOULD-L. EVOCHEDIO.

SHAL Y.A. REG. 185 Income Tax BFF Sect.

GIR No. S-705/CC-1.

30 Au. 20-4-1007.

Sub: Penelty proceedings w/s, 293(2) (% a) for 1982-03 and 275(1) (b) for 1982-03 (b) the same of the Sonsokumer Hodi, 5-4-187, Kermin Maximum,

Secundarabed - Passing of

CIT's (Appeals)-III, Hydersbad order 1.7.0.Mes.

CONSEQUENTIAL GROER:

Consequent to the relief allowed by the CitaTa (Appeals) - Ill, Hydershad - wide appeal Nop. cited down, the the proceedings for the A.Y. 1982-63 and 1882-64 are maket and es under:

1982-83:

Ponalty levied u/a, 273(2) (a) so per spent dt. 20-2-1987.

14 (MAN) 1915

2.673

Less: Relief allowed by CIT (A) - 111

2.475

BALIMEE

IIL

1983-84:

Penalty levied u/s. 273(1)(b) as see order ## 20-2-1987.

Loss: Relief allowed by CIT (A) - III

967

OLASCE:

Sep. 14.5

BIL

Copy to the seeses.

