

REPLY NOTICE

Apropos to the public notice published in Deccan Chronicle at page No. 6, dated 7th February, 1993, it is informed to the public in general and M/s. Shalivahana Constructions Limited, No. 94, Minerva Complex, Secunderabad in particular, that my clients Smt. Varikoppula Durgamma W/o. Late Ramaiah and Sri Varikoppula Mallaiah S/o. Late Ramaiah are the protected tenants over the agricultural land bearing Sy. No. 37, measuring 1-37 acres situated at Begumpet Village, Balanagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. This land is agricultural land and was never converted into non-agricultural land purpose. My clients have got absolute rights as protected tenants and they had not sold this property at any point of time to anybody. It is to inform that any land holder is forbidden to alienate the land while the rights of protected tenancy exists. Therefore, the proposed transfer of the land bearing Sy. No. 37 of Begumpet village as contended in the public notice at page 6, dated 7th February, 1993 published in Deccan Chronicle would be void.

It is therefore informed and cautioned that no transfer shall take place in view of the above position and inspite of that the above mentioned constructions company contemplate to purchase the land bearing Sy. No. 37 of Begumpet, it would be at their own risk and liable for all the legal consequences.

Sd/-
(D. RAMACHANDER RAO)
Advocate.

No. 11-170,
Sriramakrishnapuram,
HYDERABAD - 500 035.

Date: 09/02/1993, Deccan Chronicle.

Date: 09/02/1993, Deccan Chronicle.

REPLY NOTICE

This is with reference to the paper publication in Deccan Chronicle dated 07/02/1993 on behalf of M/s. Shalivahana Construction, 94 Minerva Complex, Secunderabad.

Our Clients M/s. V. Laxman and V. Yadagiri, R/o. Begumpet, Hyderabad, instructed to reply as under:

At the outset our clients deny that there is any subsisting G.P.A. in favour of Mr. P. Sudershan, as alleged in the paper notice. In fact the G.P.A. in favour of Mr. Sudershan was cancelled long back in the year 1990 and informed to the public in general by paper publication dated 21/03/1990 in Deccan Chronicle. The Agreement entered between M/s. Shalivahana Construction Ltd., and Sri P. Sudershan is illegal and bad in law and not binding on our clients. In fact there are several suits pending in the Court of Principal Subordinate Judge, Ranga Reddy District between our clients (protected Tenants), pattedars and other. Conspicuously the date of agreement was not mentioned in the paper notice, as such our clients reserve the right to reply in detail, after furnishing the date of agreement together with copy of agreement or any other document/particulars, to the undersigned within one week from the date of this publication.

In spite of the above reply if any person/persons enter into any transaction, they will be doing so at their own risk and any acts and deeds done by Mr. P. Sudershan are not binding on our clients. In fact the illegal acts by Mr. P. Sudershan, attracts, criminal action for cheating & forgery.

M.DUSHYANTH REDDY &
P. VEENA, Advocates,
S.No.6&7, 1st floor,
Tirumala Apts, Himayathnagar, Hyd - 29.

Sd/-
(M.DUSHYANTH REDDY)
Advocate.