

(7)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDRAVAD
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF JULY,
ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND NINETY SIX.
PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.A. SHAMY
WRIT PETITION NO. 3812 OF 1996

Between:-

M/s. Gurudev Siddha Peetha, a Charitable
and Religious Institution and Trust
(formerly known as Gurudev Ashram), rep.
by its Honorary Executive Trustee, Sri
K. Sateesh Modi,

..PETITIONER

AND

1. Deputy Commissioner of Police, North Zone,
Hyderabad.
2. Assistant Commissioner of Police, Begumpet Hyd.
3. Station House Officer, Begumpet,
P.S., Hyderabad.
4. Varikoppula Narasimha.
5. Varikoppula Lamma.
6. Varikoppula Yadagiri
7. P. Sudarshan.

..RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying
that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the
High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other
appropriate writ and direct the concerned police authorities to
provide adequate police protection/cid to the petitioner for effec-
tive enforcement and implementation of the decree of distribution of
title and injunction granted in favour of the
petitioner in O.S.No. 74 of 95 on the file of the Principal
Subordinate Judge, Ranga Reddy District in respect of the land
admeasuring Ac. 1.45 gts. (784.80 Sq.Yards) situated in Survey No.,
37 and part of 38, Begumpet, Hyderabad.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vedala Venkateswara, Advocate.
For the Respondent : Government Pleader for None.

The Court made the following Order:

The petitioner filed this writ petition seeking a direction
to the police authorities to give protection to protect the possession
of the trust over an extent of Ac. 1.45 gts. of land in survey
No. 37 and part of 38 at Begumpet, Ranga Reddy, District pursuant
to the orders of the Civil Court in O.S.No. 74 of 95. This writ
petition was filed on 22-2-1996 and the Government Pleader has taken
notice. Now the Government Pleader filed his counter and the matter
is listed before me. Government Pleader says that he received
instructions and he is in a position to argue the matter.

Accordingly, this writ petition is taken up for hearing. As
per the instructions of the Government Pleader, the Local Police
Provided police Protection at the land pursuant to the orders given
by the Civil Court itself and after the writ petition was filed,
the 7th respondent with whom the petitioner trust is having a dispute
with regard to the possession of the property entered in to a com-
promise with the petitioner trust and the matter is now settled to
the satisfaction of the parties, and there is no threat of either
dispossession of the petitioner Trust or any break of Law and order
in the Locality.

In the light of the statement made by the respondents counsel
I do not see any merits in this writ petition and accordingly, the
writ petition is dismissed.

Ad/-B.H. VERSAM RAJU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

||TRUE COPY||

D.C.
SECTION OFFICER

2. The Assistant Commissioner of Police, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Begumpet P.S., Hyderabad.
4. Two Q.C's to the Government Leader for Home, High Court of A. .
Hyderabad (CUT)
5. One C.D. Copy.
6. One C.G. to Mr. V. Venkataraman, Advocate.

✓/SRU

c/ SAM
1/11