

**IN THE COURT OF THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRIAL OF OFFENCES UNDER
SCs & STs (POA) ACT-CUM-VI ADDL. METROPOLITAN SESSIONS JUDGE;
SECUNDERABAD.**

Dated this the 4th day of December, 2006.

Present: Sri B.A.Prakasa Rao,
Spl.Judge for trial of offences under
SCs & STs (POA) Act-cum-VI Addl.
Metropolitan Session Judge; Secunderabad.

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.2857/2006

In

Crime No.372/2005 of P.S. Begumpet.

Between:

Satish Modi, S/o.late Manilal C.Modi
R/o.54-187/3 & 4, M.G.Road,
Secunderabad.

...Petitioners/Accused.

A n d

The State of A.P. rep. by P.S.Begumpet.


...Respondent/Complainant.

This petition is filed under section 438 of Cr.P.C. praying that for the reasons stated therein, the petitioners who are accused in the above crime of above Police Station may be released on bail.

This petition is coming on for hearing before me upon perusing the petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri C.Balagopal, Counsel for the Petitioner /Accused and of Sri S.Manik Rao, Addl.Public Prosecutor, for Respondent/Complainant having stood over for consideration, till this day, this court made the following:-

: O R D E R :

1. This is anticipatory bail petition filed under section 438 of Cr.P.C. by the accused.
2. The offence alleged U/Sec. 448, 420 468, 471 and 120-B I.P.C against the Petitioner/Accused.



3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. The learned Addl.Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application.

4. A perusal of C.D. it reveals already the petitioner, and the other accused approached the Hon'ble High Court to squash the investigation in CrI.M.P.No. 4318/2005 in FIR No.372/2005 and Hon'ble High Court observed that the petitioner forged the signature of Defacto-complainant in the fabricated documents, so prima-facie case is made out and it is the statutory duty of the police to conduct the investigation, but the said fact is not mentioned in this petition. So, as per C.D. the petitioner is involved grave offence of 429 IPC, 120-B IPC and 471 IPC, the petitioner does not deserve the anticipatory bail.

5. Hence, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

Dictated to the Personal Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 4th day of December, 2006.

B
SPL.JUDGE FOR TRIAL OF OFFENCES
UNDER SCs & STs (POA) ACT-CUM-VI
ADDL.METROPOLITAN SESSIONS
JUDGE : SECUNDERABAD.

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

[Signature]
Suptd. (C.S)

