

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE:

AT SAROORNAGAR ; RANGA REDDY DIST.

I.A.No. of 1994

in

I.A.No. 1562 of 1992

in

O.S.No. 458 of 1984.

BETWEEN:-

1. V.Narsamma, died per LRs -
2. V.Lakshman, S/o Late Sri Ramaiah, aged about 33 years, and
3. V.Yadagiri, S/o Late Sri Ramaiah, aged about major;

R/o H.No.1-11-201/20, Begumpet, Hyderabad, Petitioners/Petitioners  
Plaintiffs.

and

1. Wasif Azam, S/o not known, aged about years;

2. Smt.Kusuma Devi

..Respondents/Respondents/  
Defendants.

APPLICATION FILED UNDER SEC-151 OF C.P.C.

- - -

For the reasons stated in the accompanying Affidavit, Petitioners/Plaintiffs pray that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased that the ~~xxx~~ Petition bearing I.A.No. 1562 of 1992 may be ~~xxx~~ withdrawn <sup>as not possible</sup> in the interest of justice and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper.

Secunderabad;

Dt: 6-9-1994.

ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONERS/PLAINTIFFS.



READ BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
COMPARED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Certified to be Xerox True Copy  
Copiers Superintendent

*M. S. Parthasarathy*

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE

AT SAROORNAGAR ; RANGA REDDY DISTRICT.

I.A.No. of 1994

in

I.A.No. 1562 of 1992

in

O.S.No. 458 of 1984.

BETWEEN:-

V.Narsamma & 2 others

... PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS/PLAINTIFF

AND

Wasif Asam & another

... RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT.


AFFIDAVIT

I, V.Lakshman, S/o Late Sri Ramaiah, aged about 33 years,  
Rx Occupation: Agriculture, R/o H.No.1-11-201/20, Begumpet,  
Hyderabad, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on Oath as follows:-

- 1) I am the Petitioner No.2 herein and as such, well acquainted with the facts of the case, I am also filing this Affidavit on behalf of Petitioner No.3.
- 2) I submit that the Petitioner No.1, i.e., Smt.V.Narsamma died on ~~1993~~, leaving behind the Petitioners-2 and 3 as her legal heirs and successors to the properties left by her.
- 3) I further submit that we have filed the above case against the Respondent/Defendant claiming that we are the protected tenants over the suit land and also sought for a decree and injunction against the Respondent restraining them from interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment over the suit schedule property.

I further submit that the Petitioners-2 and 3 are surrendering their tenancy rights to the Respondents over the suit schedule property and as such, the above Petition may be

p.t.o..2.



COGNATE JUDICIAL COURT  
RECEIVED  
CHRISTADANA  
SAROC

*not pressed*  
withdrawn and also it is an urgent matter, hence, the suit may be  
~~not advanced from 02.09.94 to today~~ *date* ~~as per the reasons~~  
stated above.

I therefore, pray before this Hon'ble Court that  
the above I.A.No. 1562 of 1992 may be withdrawn *not pressed*  
~~the date of hearing from 0-9-1994 to today~~ *by a bench*  
of justice.

*2/9/94*  
DEPONENT.

Sworn and signed by the  
Deponent before me on this,  
the 6th day of ~~Sept~~ *Sept*, 1994 at  
Secunderabad.

*[Signature]*  
Advocate.

READ BY:  
COMPARED BY: *[Signature]*  
Certified to be **Xerox True Copy**  
Copyists Superintendent.

COURT OF THE DISTRICT &  
SESSIONS JUDGE  
Ranga Reddy District,  
C.A. No. 5264 of 1994  
Application Filed on: 22.9.94  
Charges filed on: 17.10.94  
Charge sheet filed on: 17.10.94  
Receipt No. 1843/94 of 10/20  
Copy made on: 17.10.94  
Copy Delivered: 17.10.94  
Central Copyists Establishment  
Ranga Reddy District.



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE  
KOVVUR DISTRICT OF SARGURHASTRI: (41)

PRESENT: SRI S. BUNNARA RAO, B.S.C.B.L.,  
PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE,  
KOVVUR DISTRICT

DATED This the 13th day of September, 1984.

I.A. No: 1562 of 1982  
in  
O.S. No: 458 of 1984

Between


1. V. Narayamma died for L.A.
2. V. Lakshman
3. V. Padmavathi

... Petitioner / Plaintiffs

and

1. Wasit Azam
2. Smt. K. Suman Devi

... Respondents / Defendants



Under Section 5 of Limitation Act.

This is a Petition coming on before me for final disposal in the presence of Sri M. Dhananjaya Reddy Advocate for Plaintiffs and Sri C. Balasubrahmanyam Advocate for Defendants. Read the matter having been over for consideration this Court deems odd as follows.

DOCKET ORDER DATED. 13-9-1994

As per orders on D.D. No 1490/94.  
Advanced to the day. As per orders on  
D.D. No 1491/94. This petition is dismissed.  
As not pressed.

Written and pronounced by me in the open Court on  
this 13th day of September, 1994.

*[Signature]*  
PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE  
RANGA REDDY.

**COURT OF THE DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE**  
Ranga Reddy District.

C.A. No. 5264 of 1994  
 Amount Rs. 229.94  
 Charge Rs. 1710.94  
 Charge Rs. 1710.94  
 Received Rs. 1843.94  
 Copy made Rs. 1710.94  
 Copy Deleted Rs. 1710.94

*[Signature]*  
 Central Copyist  
 Ranga Reddy District.

READ BY: *[Signature]*  
 COMPARED BY: *[Signature]*  
 Certified to be a True Copy  
 Copyists Superintendent.



17/9/94