



ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ ANDHRA PRADESH

05AA 141200


6966 19-03-05 100/-

Satish Modi

Manilal C. Modi, Hyd

Self

SMT. K. RUKMINI
S.V.L. No. E1/2005 No. 13/2005
REGIMENTAL BAZAR, SEC' BAD-25.



POST DECREETAL AGREEMENT/ADJUSTMENT

This Post Decreetal Agreement is executed on this the 19th day of March 2005,
at Secunderabad by and between:

1.

- a) Gurudev Siddha Peeth, a Public Charitable Trust, with its Office at No. 1, Ganeshpuri, rep. by its Authorised Executive Sri. Satish Modi, S/o. Late Sri. Manilal C Modi, aged 60 years, R/o. Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.
- b) Sri. Satish Modi, S/o. Late Sri. Manilal C Modi, aged 60 years, R/o. Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

Satish Modi

[Signature]

Satish Modi

Satish Modi

[Signature]



Hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Decree Holders" which expression shall include whenever the context may so require the successor-in-interest (in the case of Decree Holder No.1) and the heirs legal representatives, successors and assigns (in the case of Decree Holder No.2).


AND

M/s.H.P.Constructions Pvt. Limited, rep. by its Director Sri.G.S.Prakash Rao, S/o.Sri.G.Shankaraiah, aged about 60 years, having its regd office at 1-4-879/72/A, Gandhi Nagar, Hyderabad.

Hereinafter referred to as the "Judgement Debtor" which expression shall include whenever the context may so require its successor-in-interest.

Witnesseth as follows:-

The Decree Holder No.1 is the sole absolute and exclusive owner of premises bearing No.187/3 and 4/8 in land admeasuring 2331 Sq.yards equivalent to 1949 Sq.meters situated at Karbala Maidan, Secunderabad. The parties herein entered into an Agreement dated 18-12-1992 under which the Decree Holder agreed to sell and the Judgement-Debtor agreed to purchase the said property for a total consideration of Rs.70,85,000/- (Rupees Seventy Lakhs Eighty Five Thousand only). The Judgement-Debtor paid a sum of Rs.12,00,000/- (Rupees Twelve lakhs only) to the Decree Holders as advance and earnest money. On the said day, the possession of the property was delivered by the Decree Holders to the Judgement-Debtor. The Judgement-Debtor at its cost was entitled to carry on developmental work in the property and also raise constructions thereon. The Judgement-Debtor was entitled to canvass for the

Sahot mal

SA
Sahot mal

sale of the units constructed by it. The Judgement-Debtor at its cost was to obtain necessary permissions for construction to be raised. The Decree Holder had to obtain clearance under Urban Land Ceiling and from Income Tax Authorities for the sale of the property to the Judgement-Debtor. The Agreement specified that the balance of the sale consideration i.e. Rs.58,85,000/- (Rupees Fifty Eight Lakhs Eighty Five Thousand only) was to be paid within twelve (12) months of the date of the Agreement i.e. on or before 18-12-1993. In the event of non-payment within the said period, the Judgement-Debtor was liable to pay interest on the balance at the rate of 12% per annum and after twenty two (22) months from the date of Agreement at the rate of 18% per annum. In any event the entire balance had to be paid within thirty eight (38) months from the date of the Agreement. The Agreement further provided that if the interest is not paid for any two quarters, the Agreement would stand cancelled and the Judgement-Debtor had to re-deliver the property to the Decree Holders.

According to the Decree Holders, the Judgement-Debtor committed default in payment of the amounts due and thereby committed breach of the Agreement. The Decree Holders therefore filed a suit for possession of the property. The said suit was numbered as O.S.No.358 of 1994 on the file of the Hon'ble III Senior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Secunderabad. The said suit was later transferred to the court of the Hon'ble I Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Secunderabad and renumbered as O.S.No. 18 of 2004.

The Judgement-Debtor in the said suit contended that the Agreement dated 18-12-1992 was not an Agreement of Sale simpliciter but one of Agreement of Sale cum Development Agreement that the effective possession of the property was not delivered on 18-12-1992 that there was an inordinate delay in

Sabit mod.

AA



Sabit mod.

delivering possession of the property, that the Decree Holders committed breach of the essential terms of the contract by not obtaining No Objection Letter from the Neighbour, etc and not obtaining permission under ULC Act of 1976 and from Income Tax Authorities and by not obtaining necessary permission for conversion of land use from Light Industrial Zone to Commercial cum Residential Zone and that Rs.12,00,000/- is paid towards part sale consideration etc. The Judgement-Debtor also contended that after it started the developmental work, it was found that the land became water logged due to heavy rains and that the Decree Holders. The Judgement-Debtor resisted the suit filed by the Decree Holders.


After due trial and after hearing the verbal arguments and pursuing the written submissions, the Hon'ble J Addl. Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Secunderabad decreed the suit of the Decree Holders by a Judgement dated 17-01-2005. By the said Judgement, the Judgement-Debtor was directed to deliver possession of the property to the Decree Holders within two months of the date of Judgement.

Thereafter, the parties have met and after due discussions and deliberations have settled their disputes and have agreed to incorporate the terms agreed upon in this Agreement. The parties have also agreed that the said agreement would be recorded in the Hon'ble Court of J Addl. Chief Judge.

Now this Agreement witnesseth as under:

1. The Decree Holders shall refund the advance of Rs.12,00,000/- (Rupees Twelve Lakhs only) paid by the Judgement-Debtor, and all sums expended by the Judgement-Debtor towards cost of material labour etc., for commencing the developmental work and for safeguarding the

Satish mod.




Satish mod.

property from trespassers quantified at an aggregate sum of Rs.60,00,000/- (Rupees Sixty Lakhs only).

2. The Decree Holders have this day paid a sum of Rs.25,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Lakhs only) to the Judgement-Debtor, through pay orders dated 17-3-2005, bearing nos 115132 and 115133 each for a sum of rupees 10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs only) and through Pay order dated 17-3-2005 and bearing No.115137 for a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Laksh only). the receipt of which sum is hereby acknowledged by the Judgement-Debtor. The Judgement -Debtor today delivered vacant and peaceful possession of the suit schedule property to the Judgement - Debtor No.2, the receipt of which is acknowledged by the Decree-Holder No2 for himself and on behalf of Decree-Holder No.1.

3. The Decree Holders shall pay the balance of Rs.35,00,000/- (Rupees Thirty Five Lakhs only) within 90 days of this Agreement. In the event of the Decree- Holders failing to pay the balance within the said period, the Decree Holders shall pay the said sum with an interest calculated @ 18% per annum from the date of default within 90 days thereafter. If the Decree Holders failed to pay the balance within the said extended period, the Judgement-Debtor shall be entitled to recover the said sum by enforcing this Agreement/ Adjustment. In discharge of the balance sum of Rupees thirty five lakhs the decree-holder has issued postdated Cheque No.556513 dated 16-6-2005 drawn in favour of Judgement-debtor and drawn on HDFC Bank, Sec-Bad Branch. The Decree-Holder undertakes to Honour the post-dated cheques when presented for payment on its due date. In the event of the cheque being not honoured,

Satish Moh



Satish Moh

on such presentation the Judgement-Debtor shall be entitled to recover the balance amount due in a lumpsum without prejudice to its rights to proceed under section 138 of I.L.A. Act.

- 4. In consideration of the mutual agreement arrived at, the Judgement-Debtor shall not prefer any appeal against the Judgement Decree dated 17-01-2005 in O.S.No.18 of 2004 (Old No.358/1994).
- 5. Both parties agree that in view of the settlement arrived at, each party has no further claim against the other. The Judgement-Debtor hereby declares that it has no right or interest in or against the said property.
- 6. The present Post Decretal Agreement/Adjustment is being made in duplicate to enable each parties to have one Post Decretal Agreement/Adjustment.

In witness whereof, the hands of the parties on the date and at the place mentioned above.

Witnesses:

1. *Jamarullo*
 J. MARUTHI
 Plot 10 Road 5
 Jubilee Hills
 Hyderabad- 33.

Sahel mod.
 1 (a) (Authorised Executive)

2. *Sohan Mod*
 SOHAM MODI
 Plot No. 280
 Road No. 25
 Jubilee Hills
 Hyd- 34.

Sahel mod.
 1 (b) (Decree Holders)

[Signature]
 2) Judgement Debtor

~~*****~~

G. Superintendent




the Court of the Additional,
Chief Judge, C & C. Sec 800
Control Mapping Section

Secunderabad

D. A. No. ... 5552/05
Presented on ... 10/8/05
S. C. ... 13/8/05
Charge ... 13/8/05 Re 1st
Receipt ... 13/8/05
Date Recd ...
By Delivered by

G. Superintendant

13/8/05



IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE I ADDITIONAL CHIEF JUDGE,
CITY CIVIL COURT AT SECUNDERABAD.

I.A.No. of 2005

In

O.S.No. 18 of 2004

Between:

Gurudev Siddapeeth & Another.

-----Petitioner/ Plaintiff.

And

M/s H.P.Constructions Pvt Ltd.

----Respondent/Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT


I, Sri. Satish Modi, S/o. Late Sri. Manilal C Modi, aged 60 years, R/o. Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows:

1. I am the Authorised Executive of Petitioner/Plaintiff herein and the Petitioner/plaintiff No.2 herein and as such I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.
2. This Hon'ble court was pleased to pass a Judgment and Decree on 17/01/2005 in favour of the petitioners herein and against the respondents herein, directing respondent herein to deliver the vacant possession of suit schedule property to the petitioners herein within 2 months from 17/01/2005.
3. Subsequent to passing of decree following discussions and deliberations between parties to the suit, the parties have arrived at a mutually agreed settlement terms of which are reduced to writing under a separate agreement dated ^{19/3/2005} 19/3/05, which is enclosed to the petition.

Mandakini



Satish Modi



4. Petitioners are advised that since there is already a decree passed in their favour and since any arrangement or adjustment after the passing of the decree cannot be recognized by the court, therefore the parties have decided to get the same recorded by this Hon'ble Court by way of Post Decretal Arrangement.

It is just and necessary that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to record the Post-decretal arrangement dated 19/3/2005, and further be pleased to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper under the circumstances of the case.

Sworn and signed before me
On this 21st day of March, 2005
At Secunderabad.

Sahabuddin

DEPONENT

Mandakini
Advocate/Secunderabad.

Notified To Be True Xerox / Carboncopy

C. Superintendent



IN THE COURT OF THE HON'BLE I ADDITIONAL CHIEF JUDGE,
CITY CIVIL COURT AT SECUNDERABAD.

I.A.No. of 2005

In

O.S.No. 18 of 2004

Between:

1. Gurudev Siddha Peeth, a Public Charitable Trust,
with its Office at No.1, Ganeshpuri,
rep.by its Authorised Executive Sri.Satish Modi,
S/o.Late Sri.Manilal C Modi, aged 60 years,
R/o.Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.
2. Sri.Satish Modi, S/o.Late Sri.Manilal C Modi,
aged 60 years, R/o.Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

-----Petitioners/ Plaintiffs.

And

M/s.H.P.Constructions Pvt. Limited,
rep. by its Director Sri.G.S.Prakash Rao,
S/o.Sri.G.Shankaraiah, aged about 60 years,
having its regd office at 1-4-879/72/A,
Gandhi Nagar, Hyderabad.


----Respondent/Defendant.

PETITION FILED UNDER ORDER XX1 RULE 2 R/W SEC 151 OF C.P.C


For the reasons disclosed in the accompanying affidavit, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record the Post Decreeal Arrangement entered into by the parties and further be pleased to pass such other order/s as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper under the circumstances of case.


Secunderabad.

Date: 21/03/2005


Counsel for Petitioners

Notified To Be True Xerox / Carboncopy


Notary
13/9/05



Sis. C. Balagopal
D.R.

Chief Clerk of the Additional
Chief Judge, C. J. C. and S.D.
General Supply Section

Accountant
C. A. No. 5553/05
Presented on 10/8/05
S. C. called on 13/1/05
Charges Deposited on 12/9/05 Rs 18/-
Receipt no. 13/9/05
Made Ready on 13/9/05
Copy Delivered on

C. Superintendent
13/9/05

