# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD (Special Original Jurisdiction)

# WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND TEN

# PRESENT THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NOS: 26688 of 2007, 10518/2008, 10078/2009, 10213/2009, 4418/2009, 10840/2009, 10983/2008, 12451/2009, 12464/2008, 13947/2009, 19935/2009, 8106/2008, 9241/2009, 10004/2009, 11084/2009, 13967/2009, 14187/2008, 16956/2008, 17431/2009, 17524/2009, 23418/2008, 13367/2009, 11698/2009, 22079/2009, 19914/2009, 22515/2009, 17685/2009, 18900/2008, 26576/2009, 8501/2008, 9969/2008, 10588/2008, 13461/2008, 14762/2008, 16867/2008, 12569/2009, 27311/2007, 1281/2008, 3686/2008, 4398/2008, 4703/2008, 4709/2008, 4111/2009, 5627/2008, 9793/2008, 10066/2008, 11472/2008, 13121/2008, 13165/2008, 13469/2008, 13928/2008, 14461/2008, 14583/2008, 15150/2008, 19115/2008, 20043/2008, 25342/2008, 27865/2008, 28567/2008, 29094/2008, 1088/2009, 1216/2009, 5197/2009, 5682/2009, 6015/2009, 9034/2009, 9149/2009, 10120/2009, 11089/2009, 22524/2009 and 25565/2009

# W.P.No.26688 of 2007

### Between:

1 Sri K.Satyananda Patnaik, S/o.Late KGS Pandya, Aged about 45 years, Occ: Private Service, R/o Plot No.822, Vivekanandanagar Colony, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

2 Sri S.Satyanarayana, S/o Sri Shiva Lingaiah, Aged about 46 years, Occ : Private Service, R/o H.No.5-9-22/35, Adarsh Nagar, Hyderabad

3 Sri N.V.Subba Raju, S/o Sri N.Sita Rama Raju, Aged about 37 years, Occ : Private Service, R/o Flat No.402, Sruthi Raaga Apartments, Motinagar, Hyderabad.

4 Sri V.Subrahmanyam, S/o.Late V.Surya Ramam, Aged about 43 years, Occ: Engineer, R/o Block-66, Flat No.1, Potti Sriramulu Nagar, Hyderabad-57.

.... PETITIONERS

# AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, represented by its Vice Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition No.(4) in their letter No.11766/MP2/Plg/H/2006 dated 11-11-2007 directing the petitioners to obtain No Objection Certificate from the District Collector, as per A.P.A.L.Act Rules, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, Revenue dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.M.V.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.10518 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Anuradha Properties & Township (P) Ltd., rep. by its Managing Director, Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, S/o. M.Subba Reddy, Aged about 48 years, G-1, Reliance Krishna Apartments, Hill Fort Road, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice Chairman and Managing Director, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring that the action of the respondent in not releasing the final lay out to the petitioner in respect of Sy.Nos.27 (P) and 28/2 of Neknampur Village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, for want of production of N.O.C. from the authorities under the Act, 2006, is arbitrary and without jurisdiction and direct the respondent to release the final lay out in favour of the petitioner without requiring the petitioner to furnish such N.O.C.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Vedula Venkataramana

Counsel for the Respondent : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

# W.P.No.10078 of 2009

### Between:

1 Sri.K.S.L.S.S. Sita Rama Raju, S/o. Sri K.V. Subba Raju, aged about 50 years, Occ : Business, R/o. Plot No. 137, Navanirman Nagar, Road No. 71, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

Sri B. Venu Gopal Reddy, S/o.Late B.Lakshmana Reddy, Aged about 43 years, Occ : Business, R/o. B-56, Vengalraonagar,

Hyderabad.

Sri N.V. Subba Raju, S/o.N. Sita Rama Raju, Aged about 39 years, Occ : Private Service, R/o.Flat No. 402, Sruthi Raaga Apartments, Motinagar, Hyderabad.

Sri O. Surender Reddy, S/o. Sri O. Krishna Reddy, Aged about 44 years, Occ : Business, R/o. MIG 209, APHB, Balaji Nagar Colony,

Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

5 M/s. Vision Avenues Private Limited, a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 having its registered office at Flat No.1C, Parameswara Apartments, 6-3-626, Anandnagar, Khairatabad, Hyderabad 500 064, represented by its Director Sri K. Brahmaiah, S/o. Venkateswarlu, Aged about 44 Years, Occ. Business, R/o. 501, Boppanna Enclave, C-38 and 39, Madhura Nagar, Hyderabad-38,

.... PETITIONERS

### AND

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West

Maredpally, Secunderabad - 500 026.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st Respondent in imposing Condition No.(3) in its letter No.15751/MP2/Plg/H/2005, dated 05-05-2009 insisting for Land Conversion Certificate (Conversion of land from Agriculture to Non-Agriculture purpose) from the Revenue Divisional Officer/District Collector under the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural Land act 2006 and Rules, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, Revenue, dated 19-10-2006 for releasing final layout, as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.M.V.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 G.P. for Municipal Administration

#### Between:

1 M/s. U.B. Properties Private Limited, having its registered office at Plot No.11, Type-A, Film Nagar, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Represented by its Director M. Nagi Reddy, S/o. Late M. Subba Reddy, aged about 60 Years, R/o. H.No.166, Gulmohar Park, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad.

2 G. Srinivasa Raju, S/o. G. Subba Raju, Occ : Employee, Aged about 39 years, R/o. Flat No.106, Plot No.110, Hanuman

Mansion, Sri Nagar Colony, Hyderabad.

3 M. Madan Mohan Reddy, S/o. Late M. Krishna Reddy, Aged about 59 years, Occ : Employee, R/o. A-25, Journalist Colony, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad 500 033.

.... PETITIONERS

### AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad-500 026.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first Respondent adding a new Condition No.2 for releasing final layout in its Letter No.12027/MP2/Plg/HMDA/2006, dated 30-01-2009 of the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent insisting for No Objection Certificate (NOC) from the Revenue Divisional Officer / District Collector for Non-Agriculture purpose under the A.P. Act 3 of 2006 R/w Rules notified under G.O.Ms.No.1537 MA dated 19-10-2006, as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.M.V.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.4418 of 2009

Between:

Suchirindia Properties [P] Ltds, # 50-B, Suchir Capital Appolo Cross, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Rep. by its CEO Sri Y. Kiran Kumar.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Hyderabad Metro Politan Development Authority, represented by its Vice Chairman, GHMC. Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, West Maredpalli, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not releasing the lay out for Sy.No.345[P], 348[P], 349[P], 351[P], 353[P], 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364[P], 365[P] of Muthangi [Village], Patancheru Mandal, Medak District to an extent of 287026.64 Sq.Mts. to the petitioner as illegal, null, void.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.10840 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Janachaitanya Housing Limited, Door No.6-3-802, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Zainab Commercial Complex, Ameerpet, Hyderabad -500 016, Rep. by its Regional General Manager, A. Venkataratnam.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of *Writ of Mandamus* declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not releasing the lay out for Sy.Nos.56, 60[P], 62[P], 63 to 67, 73, 74, 75, 78, 79, 81 to 84, 92 of Budvel Village and Sy.No. 90[P], 91, 93, 94, 95 of Hyderguda Village, Rajender Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to an extent of 104307.70 Sqmtrs to the petitioner as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P For Municipal

# W.P.No.10983 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Janachaitanya Housing Private Ltd., Represented by its Regional General Manager, A. Venkata Ratnam, Door No.6-3-802, Zanab Complex, II Floor, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-18.

.... PETITIONER

#### AND

1 Cyberabad Development Authority, represented by its Vice Chairman, Paiga Palace, Opp. Police Lines, Secunderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not releasing the lay out for Sy.Nos.92(P), 94(P), 95(P), 100(P), 101(P) and 103(P) situated at Nanakramguda Village, Sherilingampalli Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to an extent of Ac. 17.35 guntas to the petitioner, as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.12451 of 2009

Between:

Sri Sai Vamsi Developers, Plot No.123, Kalyan Nagar Phase-I, Hyderabad, Represented by its Partner I.Threemurthy.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad

2 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, represented by its Vice Chairman.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the impugned letter No.1215/LO/Plg./HMDA/2009, dated 28-02-2009 as illegal, null, void and arbitrary in so far as directing the Petitioner to submit the documents in so far as conversion certificate/NOC from agricultural to non agricultural from RDO.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

# W.P.No.12464 of 2008

#### Between:

1 G. Saibaba, S/o. Sri Anjaneyulu, aged 38 years, Occ : Business, resident of 104, Vijaya Enclave Sri Nagar Colony, Hyderabad.

2 M/s. Kamala Springfield Private Limited, Represented by its Managing Director T.S.C. Chatterjee, Having its Office 102, Model House, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

### AND

- 1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, GHMC Building, III Floor, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.
- 2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not releasing the lay out for Ac 11-28 guntas in Sy.Nos.523 Part, 524, Part and 388 Part of Gundlapochampally Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to the petitioners as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioners.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : GP For Municipal Administration



### W.P.No.13947 of 2009

#### Between:

M/s Janachaitanya Housing Limited, Door No.6-3-802, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Zainab Commercial Complex, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-500 016, Rep by its Regional General Manager, A.Venkataratnam.

..... PETITIONER

#### **AND**

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not releasing the lay out in Sy.Nos.463, 474 to 478 and 479 (P) of Budvel Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to an extent of Ac.5-28 ¾ Gts., to the petitioner as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.19935 of 2009

### Between:

M/s.Janachaitanya Housing Limited, Door No.6-3-802, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Zainab Commercial Complex, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-500016, rep. by its Regional General Manager, M.Sudhakar.

.... PETITIONER

#### AND

- 1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad, rep.by its Vice Chairman
- 2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Secretary Municipal Administration & Urban Development Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of

the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent in not releasing the lay out in Sy.Nos.253(P), 259(P), 339(P), 345(P) & 346(P) of Brahmanpally Village, Torrur Gram Panchaayt, Hayathnagar Mandal, R.R.District to an extent of Ac.27.04 ½ Gts to the petitioner as illegal, null, void and arbitrary, and consequently direct the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent to release the approved layout to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.8106 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Vasudeva Realtors Private Limited, 6-3-347/11, Lalithanjali, Sai Baba Temple Road, Dwarakapuri Colony, Punjagutta, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Managing Director Sri T. Nageswara Rao.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

1 The Cyberabad Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, 1-8-323, Paigah Palace, Opp. Police Line, Rasoopura, Secunderabad.

2 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, 1-8-323, Paigah Palace, Opp. Police Line, Rasoopura, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate order or direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in imposing condition of submission of "No Objection Certificate" from the District Collector through Lr.No.9611/DLO-cum-GHS/CDA/2006, dated 04-04-2008 for release of the draft layout to the Petitioner company in respect of the lands situated in Sy.No.136, 137 and 156 of Khajaguda, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release the draft layout without insisting for submission of NOC from the District Gollector.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Posani Venkateswarlu

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

### W.P.No.9241 of 2009

#### Between:

1 M.Narsing Rao, S/o M.Rama Rao, Aged 50 years, Occ: Business, R/o.5-3-52, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

2 K.Tirupathi Rao, S/o.K.Venkaiah, Aged 36 years, Occ : Business, R/o.2-22, Hydernagar Village, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

3 Sri K.Rama Rao, S/o. K.Venkaiah, Aged 31 years, Occ : Business, 3-66, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

4 Dr.Usha Mohandas, S/o. Sri.K.Mohandas, Aged 37 years, Occ : Business, R/o.Flat No.1A Garden Homes, 27, Aga Abbas Ali Lane, Bangalore.

5 Sri Ajit Mohandas, S/o. K.Mohandas, Aged 31 years, Occ: Business, R/o.8-2-293/82/1/266/A/A, Sri Venkataeswara Co-op, Society, Road No.12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

Petitioners 1 to 5 are rep by their GPA Holder K.Kondal Rao, S/o.K.Narayana Rao.

.....-PETITIONERS

#### AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep.by its Principal Secretary (Revenue), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Hyderabad Metro Politan Development Authority, rep.by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondent No.2 in imposing condition No.2 in his Letter No.11363/MP2/Plg/H/2002, dated 21-7-2008 as arbitrary, illegal, violative of Art. and 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No.2 to release the petitioners sanctioned revised final lay-out with housing to an extent of Ac 27-00 in Sy No.158 P,159 P and 162 by setting aside the condition No.2 imposed respondent by the No.2 in his No.11363/MP2/Plg/H/2002, dated 21-7-2008.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri.A.Sudershana Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

# W.P.No.1004 of 2009

### Between:

- Smt.Sahela Begum, W/o.Late Sheik Saleh, Aged 52 years, Occ : Housewife.
- Shaik Abdul Khader, S/o.Late Sheik Saleh, Aged 23 years, Occ : Student.
- 3. Sri.Shaik Abdullah, S/o.Late Sheik Saleh, Aged 20 years, Occ; Student.

All R/o.H.No.20-5-650/B, Qazipura, Shahlibanda, Hyderabad.

Rep by their GPA Holder, Sri.Shaik Mahmood, S/o.Late Shaik Saleh, Aged 32 years, Occ : Business, R/o.H.No.20-5-650/B, Qazipura, Shalibanda, Hyderabad...

.... PETITIONERS

### AND

Office of the Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep by its Commissioner, G.H.M.C., Buildings, West Marredpally, Secunderabad – 026.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, rep by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, (East), Ranga Reddy District, Goshamahal.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of respondents in not processing and considering the application of the petitioners for grant of approval of layout in respect of the petitioners property admeasuring Ac.3-09 gts in Sy.No.243/1AA, Ac.3;09 Gts in Sy.No.243/E, Ac 0:7 Gts in Sy.No.243/1A, Ac 3:08 Gts in Sy.No.243/1EE and Ac 0:07 in Sy.No.243/1/A totally admeasuring Ac 10:00 Gts situated at Sreenagar Revenue Village, Under Grampanchayat Tukkuguda, Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, by insisting on issue of a NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent under the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non – Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to grant approval of layout insisting on such NOC/conversion certificate from 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.N.Naveen Kumar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 : GP For Revenue

# W.P.No.11084 of 2009

Between:

M/s.Maha Gayatri Real Estate Pvt Ltd., Rep.by its Metropolitan Director, Sri V. Aravinder Reddy, Flat No.107, Gayathri Vihar, S.B.I. Colony, Kothapet, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

#### AND

1 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep.by its Commissioner, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

5 The Zonal Commissioner, Circle No.15, Quthubullapur, Hyderabad.

6 The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.

7 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District.

8 The Chief City Planner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Town Planning Section, Hyderabad.

(Respondent No.8 is impleaded as per C.O., dated 08-06-2009 Suo-motu in WPMP.No.14438/09)

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the lands in Ac.No.3-20 guntas in Sy.No.110, 143 (P), and 145 of Jeedimetla Village, Qutubullahpur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District which form part of master plan/zonal development plan notified under AP (Urban Areas) Development Act 1975 are out side the purview of the provisions of the AP Agricultural land (Conversion for non-agricultural purpose) Act 2006 and no permission or NOC from District Collector R.D.O. is required for under taking development in the said land and consequently direct the respondents to release the draft and final layout in terms of the layout approved in L.P.No.34/MP2/PLG/H/2007 (File No.9064/MP2/H/2007) dated 11-11-2007 without insisting reference to NOC/Conversion Certificate from District Collector RDO as required by the Letter dated 04-03-2009 of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.P.Prabhakara Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3, 6 & 7: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5 : Smt.Kalpana Ekbote

Counsel for the Respondent No.8: Suo Motu

# W.P.No.13967 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Vediri Estates Private Limited Company, having its Registered office at M.C.H.No.3-4-496/A, Barakatpura, Hyderabad, Rep by its Managing Director, Sri V. Panduranga Reddy, S/o.Sri Siva Reddy, aged about 54 years, R/o. Barkatpura, Hyderabad.

... PETITIONER

### AND

1 State of A.P., Represented by Principal Secretary, Revenue Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 Hyderabad Metro Development Authority, rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, Administrative Block, District Commercial Complex, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.

3 Municipal Administry and Urban Development, Rep by Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, are more particularly in the nature of "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of the respondents in insistiong for NOC/Convertion over the land of the petitioner in Sy.77 measuring Ac. 24.35 situated at Hafeespet, Shareligampally mandal, either under the agriculture Land (Convertion for Non-Agricultural purposes) Act, 2006 or as per the Proc in 340/Estt/AOE/HMDA/09, dated 10-06-2009 issued by 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the authorities to sanction layout/Plans.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.Jaipal Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : G.P For Municipal

# W.P.No.14187 of 2008

# Between:

Sri Maram Chandra Sekhar Reddy, S/o. Late M. Ram Reddy,
 R/o. 11-14-105, Janapriya Gardens, Haripuri Colony, S.R.K.
 Sri Vesi Boddy Lawrence, Ranga Reddy District.

2 Sri Vesi Reddy Laxmi Narasimha Reddy, S/o. Sri V. Mohan Reddy, R/o. Flat No.301, Gayathri Towers Plot Nos. 7-B/1 & 7-B/2, Green Hills Colony, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

3 Sri Vavilala Prabhakar Reddy, S/o. V. Sekhar Reddy, R/o. 11-14-108, Janapriya Gardens, Haripuri Colony, S.R.K. Puram, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

Sri Kolan Devender Reddy, S/o. Late K. Sathi Reddy, R/o. H.No. 1-1-615, Gayathripuram, Mohan Nagar, Kothapet, Ranga Reddy District.

.... PETITIONERS

### AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation,

Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

5 The Zonal Commissioner, East Zone, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 in imposing Condition No.2 in its Letter No. Lr.No. 4781/P4/PlgHUDA/2007, dated 16-10-2007 directing the petitioners to obtain "Clearance Certificate" from the District Collector/Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P.A.L.Act, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No. 1537. M.A. dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.Srinivas Velagapudi

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

14. July 1

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5 : Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

# W.P.No.16956 of 2008

#### Between:

M/s. A.P.S.E.B. Engineers Cooperative Housing Society Limited, TAB 204, Vidyut Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad-500082., rep. by its Secretary, Sri.C. Venkateswarlu.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary (Revenue), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary (Municipal Admn. Dept.), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority (HUDA), rep. by its Vice-Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in imposing condition No.4 in his letter No.13626/MP2/Pig/H/2005 dated 18-07-2008 as arbitrary, illegal, violative of Art. 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and also violative of Principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to release the petitioner's final layout by setting aside condition No.4 imposed by the respondent No.3 in his letter No.13626/MP2/Pig/H/2005 dated 18-07-2008.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.A.Sudershan Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P For Municipality

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

# W.P.No.17431 of 2009

#### Between:

M/s. Golden Gate Properties Limited, Unit No.308, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor V.V. Vintage Boulevard, Above Spenser Super Market (Formerly Food World), Raj Bhavan Road, Somajiguda, Hyderabad, represented by its Liaison Officer K.Shoban Babu, S/o.Late Subba Rao, aged about years, R/o.Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

## AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, represented by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor G.H.M.C. Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad - 500 026.

The Divisional Administrative Officer (Planning), Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor G.H.M.C. Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad - 500 026.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ, orders, directions and more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the

petitioner shall have to produce the No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer vide letter dated 11-06-2009 bearing reference number 16880/MP2/Plg/HMDA/2008 of the third respondent for processing, approving and release of the layout plans for the land admeasuring 41,049.69 Square Meters in Plot No.3 of Survey No.102, situate at Shameerpet Retreat, Shameerpet Village & Mandal, Ranga Reddy District is ex facie illegal, arbitrary, against to law and much against to the legal and fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution of India and consequently set aside the same and may be pleased to further direct the third respondent to approve and release the layout plans in accordance with law.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Palivela Satyaraja Babu

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

### W.P.No.17524 of 2009

#### Between:

- 1 Mr.RM.Ramanathan, S/o. S.V.R.M.Chettiar, Aged about 50 years, Occ : Business.
- 2 Mr.Gurunath Ramanathan, S/o. RM Ramanathan, Aged about 20 years, Occ : Student

Both are R/o. Road No.3, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

Rep by their GPA Holder (vide Regd Doct. No.2572/2002) J.S. Investments Pvt. Ltd rep by its Director, N.K.Agarwal @ Rajesh Agarwal, S/o. Shankarlala Agarwal, Aged about 40 years, R/o. 15-1-53, Osmangunj, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

### AND

- Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, G.H.M.C.Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, West
   Maredpally, Secunderabad.
- 2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
- The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Attapur, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of a "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in issuing Letter No.8560/MP2/Plg/H/2006, Dated 16-05-2009 and thereby not releasing the revised draft layout in respect of the petitioners property admeasuring Ac.04-28 gts in Sy.No.63(P) of

Kowkur Village, Malkajigiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy District in continuation of its Draft Layout Permit as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and set aside the said Letter No.8560/MP2/PIg/H/2006 Dated 16-05-2009 and consequently direct the respondents to release the Revised Draft Layout without insisting on such charges and NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.P.Pandu Ranga Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: G.P for Revenue

# W.P.No.23418 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Urbane Edge Properties, Rep. by its Managing Partner P. Mahender Reddy, Plot No.821, Road No.41, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad-500073.

.. PETITIONER

#### AND.

1 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpalli, Secunderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division (Ranga Reddy District), Rajndranagar, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition in their letter No.12270/p4/Plg/HMDA/2008/, dated 18-10-2008 directing the petitioner to obtain clearance certificate from the competent Authority i.e., Collector / RDO / DRO concerned under APAL (Conversion of Non-Agricultural purpose) Act 2006, as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.V.V.Anil Kumar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 4: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.13367 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Ambience Properties Limited, A Company incorporated under Indian Companies Act, 1956 With its office at 612/613, Swapnalok Complex, 92/93, SD Road, Secunderabad Rep. by its Managing Director, Sri Bimal Kumar Kedia, S/o. B.N. Kedia, aged 52 Years, Plot No. 108, Abience Fort, Attapur Village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

.... PETITIONER

#### AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Chief Commissioner, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ, Order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition No.3 in their Letter No. 4836/MP2/PIg/H2005, dated 11-06-2009 directing the petitioner to obtain" No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional officer/Competent Authority as per A.P.A.L. Act 2006 notified vide GO Ms.No. 1537 M.A., dated 19-10-2006 as illegal contrary to law, arbitrary and without jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Deepak Bhattacharjee

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 4: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : G.P for Municipal Administration

### W.P.No.11698 of 2009

Between:

Sarath Babuji Projects Pvt. Ltd, Having its Office at 6-3-347/15, Dwarakapuri, Punjagutta, Hyderabad, rep. by its Managing Director, Shri.P. Subba Raja.

.... PETITIONER

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, Green Lands, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal & Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in imposing Condition No.4 imposed in its letter No.11921/MP-II/Plg/H/2005, dated 12.05.2009 directing the petitioner to obtain." No Objection Certificate" from the District Collector / Revenue Divisional Officer as A.P.A.L. Act, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, M.A. dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.G.Kalyan Chakravarthy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.22079 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Mahalaxmi Real Estates a Partnership Firm, having its Office at Plot No.402/3RT, 7-1-621/3336, Pankaj Ram Edifice, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Opp. City Library, S.R.Nagar, Hyderabad-500 038, A.P. Rep. by its Managing Partner S. Janardhan Reddy S/o. A. Veera Reddy, Aged 47 years.

..... PETITIONER

### AND

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, G.H.M.C. Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Vice Chairman.

2 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Collector, Ranga Reddy District Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer Medchal Division, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction of the like nature declaring the impugned Proceedings of the 1st respondent dated 10-09-2009 , bearing 5593/MP2/Plg./HMDA/2009 in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit NOC from the 4th Respondent for conversion under the A.P. Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act 2006 as a condition No.1 precedent for release of draft layout in respect of the land belonging to and developed by the petitioner in Sy.No.71 (Part) situated at Dabilpur Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as bad illegal, arbitrary without jurisdiction and ultra virus the A.P. Urban Areas Development Act and consequently declare the same as unenforceable.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.E.Ajay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: G.P For Revenue

# W.P.No.19914 of 2009

Between:

Y.Shankaraiah, S/o.Late Y.Narsaiah, aged 76 years, Occ : Business, R/o.Plot No.8, Ashok Enclave , Kapra, ECIL Post, R.R.District, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

1 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, GHMC Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, rep.by its Metropolitan Commissioner.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus

declaring the action of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in not releasing the approved Final Layout and directing to submit NOC from RDO/Collector, to use the land for Non agriculture purpose as per AP Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agriculture Purposes), Act 2006 vide Letter No.9675/MP2/Plg/H/2003 dated 6/22-7-2009 as arbitrary, illegal, unreasonable unauthorised violative of principles of natural justice and violative of Articles, 14, 21 and 300A of Constitution of India and the same maybe set aside

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.B.Mahender Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

# W.P.No.22515 of 2009

### Between:

M/s. Sahara India Commercial Corporation Ltd., a Company incorporated and registered under the Companies Act, 1956, having its Regd.Office at 2-A, Shakespeare Saroni, Calcutta, and local office at Sahara Manzil, Opp: Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 M/s. SAHARA India Financial Corporation Ltd. a Company incorporated and Registered under the Companies Act, 1956, having its registered Office at Sahara India Bhawan, 1, Kapoorthala, Aligani, Lucknow.

3 M/s. Sahara India Investment Corporation Itd. a Company incorporated and Registered under the Companies Act, 1956, having its registered Office at Sahara India Bhawan, 1, Kapoorthala, Aligani, Lucknow.

4 M/s. Sain Processing & Weaving Mills Pvt.Ltd. a Company incorporated and Registered Office at 7th Floor Gopala Tower, Rajendra Place, New Delhi.

The Petitioners Nos:1 to 4 are rep by their authorised rep Sri G.P.Patnaik, S/o. Ch. Narsing Patnaik, aged about 42 years, Managar, Sahara States, Mansoorabad, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

# AND

1 The Greater Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, having its Office at West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

The Govt. of A.P. rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Govt. of A.P. rep by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent imposing condition to obtain the No. Objection Certificate from RDO/Competent authority through letter No.14870/MP2/PLG/GHMDA/1998, dated 01-10-2009 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Memo No.22444/11/96, M.A dated 10-3-1998 and Article 300-A of Constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.K.V.L.Narasimha Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

### W.P.No.17685 of 2009

#### Between:

B. Srikanth Reddy, S/o. B. Ramesh Reddy, Aged about 34 years, Occ: Business, R/o.H.No.8-2-248/A/5, Plot No.37, Venkateshwara Hills, Banjara Hills, Road No.3, Hyderabad, Rep. by his GPa Holder M. Sujeet Kumar, S/o.M. Surya Pratap Rao, Aged about 31 Years, Occ: Business, R/o. 3-6-190/2, Hyderguda, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

#### AND

Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, GHMC Building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other writ or order, declaring that the action of the respondent in insisting on the petitioners to produce No Objection Certificate from the Collector, Ranga Reddy District, about conversion of land use under the provisions of the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion of Land Use) Act, 2006 as a condition precedent for grant of tentative lay out for Development of the land in Sy.No. 143/Part situated at Doolapally Village, Qutubullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District is arbitrary; illegal, colourable exercise of power and contrary to the provisions of A.P. Urban Area Development Act of 1975, and consequently, direct the respondent to release the revised lay out without insisting on the No Objection Certificate from the Collector, Ranga Reddy District, as stipulated in condition No.4 of the impugned letter, dated 1-8-2009 by the Respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.B.Venkat Rama Rao

Counsel for the Respondent : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

### W.P.No.18900 of 2008

### Between:

- 1 Sri Shanagala Narsaiah, S/o. Late S.Mallaiah,
- 2 Sri Shanagala Ilaiah, S/o. Sri S.Narasiah,
- 3 Sri Shanagala Parvatalu, S/o. S.Narasaiah,
- 4 Sri Shanagala Suresh, S/o, S.Narasaiah,
- 5 Smt. Shanagala Sivalaxmi, W/o. Late S. Vadaiah,
- 6 Sri Shanagala Srinu, S/o. Late S. Yadaiah,
- 7 Sri Shanagala Kumar, S/o.Late S.Yadaiah,

Sri Shanagala Ashok, S/o. late S.yadaiah,

Smt. Shanagala Laxmamma, W/o. Late S.Yellaiah,

Master Shanagala mallikarjun, S/o. Late S.Yellaiah, 10

Master Shanagala Srisailam, S/o. Late S.Yellaiah,

Kumari Shanagala Sujatha, D/o. Late S.Yellaiah, 12 (All are residents of Nizampet Village, Quthbullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District and rep by their G.P.A Holder, A.V.K.V.Raju, Plot No.402, A.S.Raju Nagar, Vignyam Towers Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

13 Sri Sai Dilip Developers and Estates, a firm rep by its Managing Partner, A.V.K.V.Raju, Plot No.402, A.S.Raju Nagar, Vignyan

Towers, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONERS

### AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The State of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue

Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The State of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the notice/ letter of the 1st respondents in imposing condition in his letter No.2717/MP2/Plg./H/2002, dated 01-07-2008 directing the petitioner to obtain "No Objection Certificate' from the Collector / Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P.Agricultural Lands Act, Rules, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537 Revenue dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri Peri Prabhakar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.26576 of 2009

#### Between:

M/s. Aditya Constructions, a Partnership Firm, having its office at 8-2-674/2/2/A, White House, Road No.13, Banjara hills, Hyderabad rep. by its Managing Partner P.Ravinder Rao, S/o. Late P.Jagan Mohan Rao, Aged: 53 years.

.... PETITIONER

# AND

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority G.H.M.C. building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Vice-Chairman.

The State of A.P., Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Medchal Division, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or direction of the like nature declaring the impugned proceedings of the 1st respondent dated 26-08-2009 bearing Letter 13038/MP2/Plg./HMDA/2008 in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit NOC from the 4th respondent for conversion under the A.P.Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes ) Act, 2006 as a condition No.1 precedent for release of draft layout in respect of the land belonging to and developed by the petitioner in Sy.No.310/B, 316/B, 317/B, 317/C & 317/D situated at Bachupally Village, Quthubullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as bad, illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and ultra virus the A.P.Urban Areas Development Act, and consequently declare the same as unenforceable and be pleased to pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.E.Ajay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: G.P for Revenue

### W.P.No.8501 of 2008

Between:

C.Vijaya Kumar Reddy, S/o. C.Venkat Reddy, Aged 39 yeas, Occ : Business, R/o. 302, Skill Spectrum, No.3-6-369, Liberty junction, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad-29.

.... PETITIONER

#### **AND**

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

2 The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15), Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring: the impugned Intimation Notice No.G/1780/07-08, dated 19-03-2008 Issued by the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L.(Conversion fro Non Agriculture

Purpose) Act 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approve building plan without insisting on 'No Objection Certificate' from District Collector under A.P.A.L.(Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

# W.P.No.9969 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Cascade Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., Rep. by its Director Sri Somnath Rao Kapatkar, S/o. Sitaram Kapatkar, aged 70 years, Occ: Business, R/o.H.No.2-2-647/97/A, Flat No.503, Laxmi Nivas Central Excise Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone; Qutbullapur Circle, Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter, Secunderabad.

The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15) Autbullapur Village, R.R. District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No. 158/TP/NZ/GHMC/07 dated 01-03-2008 issued by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act, 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act, 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

# W.P.No.10588 of 2008

Between:

Dandu Pratap, S/o.Late D.Komuraiah, Aged 41 years, R/o.H.No.4-84, Swamy Venugopala Swamy Temple Quthbullapur Village and Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone, Qutbullapur Circle Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter, Secunderabad.

3 The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15) Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No.G/30/2008-09 dated 18-04-2008 issued by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit "No Objection Certificate: from the District Collector as per APAL (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act, 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on "No Objection Certificate" from District Collector Under APAL (Conversion for Non Agricultural purpose) Act, 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

# W.P.No.13461 of 2008

Between:

Salike Sanjay, S/o. S. Prakash Rao, R/o. Plot No. E-11, Vikrampuri, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

#### AND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone, Qutbullapur Circle, Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter, Secunderabad.

3 The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No. 15), Qutbullapur Village, Ranga Reddy District.

... RESPONDENTS

-RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No. G/501/TP/NZ/GHMC/08, dated 12-06-2008 issued by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit "No Objection Certificate" from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose) Act 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on "No Objection Certificate" from District Collector under A.P.A. L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

# W.P.No.14762 of 2008

Between:

M/s.S.V.S.Constructions, Rep.by its Managing Partner Sri.K.Surya Prakash, S/o.K.Mahadev, Aged about 46 years, C/o.1-9-630/1, Vidyanagar, Hyderabad-500044.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15), Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No.345/TP/NZ/GHMC/2007, dated 09-06-2008 issued by the 2nd respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit "No Objection Certificate" from the District collector, as per A.P.A.L.(Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose), Act, 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release the approved building plan without insisting on "No Objection Certificate" from District Collector under A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose) Act, 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

# W.P.No.16867 of 2008

Between:

K.Mahadev, S/o. Late Ramaiah, Aged 62 years, Occ : Business, No.1-9-630, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Vidyanagar, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone, Qutbullapur Circle, Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter, Secunderabad.

The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15), Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No. 657/TP/NZ/GHMC/2007, dated 25-07-2008 issued by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector, as per A.P.A.L. (conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose ) Act 2006 before releasing the approved Residential apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on 'No Objection Certification' from District Collector under A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose ) Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondents : Sri.R.Radhakrishna Reddy

# W.P.No.12569 of 2009

### Between:

1 M/s. Bhanu Estates Pvt. Ltd., Rep. by its Executive Director, S. Naveen Kumar, S/o.S.Bhoom Roa, having the Registered Office at Plot No. 28, Ground Floor, P & T Colony, Karkhana Road, Secunderabad.

M. Indira Reddy, D/o. M.M. Reddy, Aged about 40 years, Occ: House Wife, R/o. H.No. 3-23, Kowkoor Village, Alwal Municipality, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R. District, A.P.

M. Bhagya Reddy, D/o. M.M. Reddy, R/o. H.No. 3-23, Kowkoor Village, Alwal Municipality, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R. District, A.P.

..... PETITIONERS

#### AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, East Division, (Ranga Reddy

District.), Goshamabal, Hyderabad.

The Commissioner, Alwal Municipality, Ranga Reddy District. Hyderabad Urban Development Department, Rep. by its Vice

Chairman, 1-8-323, Paigah Palace, Rasool Pura, Secunderabad 500 003.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly a writ of mandamus directing the 4th respondent to release the final layout relating to lands in Survey No.39A and 40AA of Kowkoor Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R. District which have been developed by the petitioners without insisting for NOC from Collector / RDO by holding the action of the respondents in imposing such a condition in letter No.9237/MP2/Plg/H/2005 dated 16-02-2009 as illegal arbitrary, contrary to law and without jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Smt.I.Maamu Vani

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 : GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.4 : Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

# W.P.No.27311 of 2007

Between:

Smt.Y.Prasanna Kumari, W/o.Satyanarayana, aged 45 years, Kamalanagar, ECIL, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

Government of A.P. rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Admn. & Urban Development Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Hyderabad.

The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation rep. by its Dy. Commissioner, Kapra, Administrative Zone.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the letter No.8972/MP2/Planning/H/2005, dated 06-12-2007 of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent directing the petitioner to obtain "No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional Officer/Competent Authority as per the A.P.

Agricultural Land Act, 2006 in respect of the non agricultural land in S.No. 556 and 556 of Kapra Village, R.R. District, as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to release the final lay out in favour of the petitioner in S. No. 556 and 557 of Kapra village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District without insisting No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer/Competent Authority as per the A.P. AL. Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.V.V.N.Narayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

## W.P.No.1281 of 2008

#### Between:

Sri Rakesh Ahuja, S/o.Sri D.R. Ahuja, aged 57 years, Occ: Business, R/o.Gundlapochampally Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, rep. by his G.P.A. Holder, Sri Anil Bhalla S/o.late Sri A.P. Bhalla, Aged 59 years, Occ: Business, R/o.11, Polishetty Enclave, Vikrampuri, Secunderabad.

.... PETITIONER

# AND

- 1 Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, G.H.M.C. Building, III Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, rep. by its Vice Chairman.
- 2 The State of A.P., Rep. by its Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabåd.
- 3 The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
- 4 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Medchal Division, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus or otherwise declaring the impugned proceedings of the first respondent HUDA dated 18-01-2008 (Annexure XIII) requiring the Petitioner to submit NOC from the Collector/RDO for conversion under the A.P. Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 as a condition precedent for release of final layout as bad, illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and ultra vires the A.P. Urban Areas Development Act, and consequently declare the same as unenforceable and be pleased to pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.M.Papa Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4: G.P for Revenue

### W.P.No.3686 of 2008

#### Between:

1 P. Narasimha Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy2 P. Ashok Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy

3 P. Ravinder Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy

4 P. Shashinder Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy All are R/o. 1-9-321, Kushaiguda, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

### AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary Municipal Admn & Urban Development Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice

Chairman Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep. by its Dy. Commissioner, Kapra Administrative Zone.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the writ of Mandamus declaring No.6367/MP2/PLG/H/2007, dated 15-12-2007 of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent directing the petitioners to obtain "No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional Officer / Competent Authority as per the A.P. Agricultural Land Act, 2006 in respect of the non agricultural land in S.No.405P, 443P, 444P, 445P, 446P, 447P, 449P, 450P and 542P situated at Kapra Village, Kesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy as illegal, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout in favour of the petitioners in S.No.405P, 443P, 444P, 445P, 446P, 447P, 449P, 450P and 542P situated at Kapra Village, Kesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy without insisting No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer / Competent Authority as per the A.P.A.L. Act, 2006

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.V.V.N.Narayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

# W.P.No.4398 of 2008

### Between:

G. Nanda Kumar, S/o. G. Damodhar, Age 60 years, Occ : Business, R/o. H.No. 6-1-95, Valluvar Nagar, Ammuguda Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, rep by his GPA Holder P. Sudhakar Reddy, S/o. Late Malla Reddy, Age: 47 Business, R/o. Moula Ali, Hyderabad.

... PETITIONER

### AND

- Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep by its Vice Chairman, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
- 2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
- 3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, one more particularly in the nature of a "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the final layout sanctioned in respect of the petitioners' property admeasuring Ac. 6.85 Gts (28,323.68 Square Meters) of land in Sy.No.16/6 of Ammuguda Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy District by insisting on issue of a NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent under the AP Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 as being illegal, arbitrary unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout without insisting on such NOC/conversion certificate from 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.P.Sri Harsha Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: GP For Revenue

#### W.P.No.4703 of 2008

#### Between:

M/s. Touchstone Developers, Rep. by its Partner Mr. D.Raghava Rao, S/o. Late D.C.H.Subba Rao, Aged about 47 years, Occ : Business, R/o. 589, Arrora Colony, Road No.35, Banjarahills, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

# AND

1 The Hyderabad Airport Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Greenland's, Hyderabad.

The Government of A.P., Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh:, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, A.P.Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, East Division, (Ranga Reddy District), Goshamahal, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in

the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in imposing condition in their letter No.10222 / Layout / HADA / 2006, dated 25-02-2008 directing the petitioner to obtain "No objection certificate" from the competent authority for land conversion, as illegal, contrary to law arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.V.V.Anil Kumar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.C.S.Kishore

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 4: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.4709 of 2008

Between:

M. Ramulu, S/o. Sri Ramaiah, aged about 50 years, resident of 1-5-111, Habsiguda, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

### AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands Hyderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not releasing the final lay out basing on the G.O. Ms.No.923 Municipal Administration & Urban Development (11) Department dated 18-11-2005 as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the approved final lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : GP For Municipal Administration

# W.P.No.4111 of 2009

### Between:

1 M/s. K.M.R. Estates & Builders (P) Limited, Regd. Office at Plot No. 38, Parkview Enclave, Manovikas Nagar, Bowenpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Managing Director Sri K. Madhava Reddy, S/o. Gal Reddy.

2 M/s. Wincon Housing (P) Limited, Regd. Office at Plot No. 38, Parkview Enclave, Manovikas Nagar, Bowenpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Managing Director, Sri K. Madhava Reddy, S/o. Gal Reddy.

3 Sri T. Laxma Reddy, S/o. Venkatram Reddy, Aged about 45 years, Occ : Business, R/o. Plot No.38, Parkview Enclave, Manovikas Nagar, Bowenpally, Secunderabad,

.... PETITIONERS

#### AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition No.13, in its Letter No.10843/P4/Plg/HMDA/2007, dated 17-02-2009 directing the local authority to release the plans to the applicant after submission of conversion of Non-Agricultural Certificate under (APAL) Act from the RDO/DRO concerned as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to law and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.K.Ranga Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

### W.P.No.5627 of 2008

### Between:

M/s Speed Projects (P) Limited, Thimmalapally Village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Rep by its Managing Director, T.Vinod Rao, S/o.T.Krishna Rao, aged about 37 years, R/o.Flat No.206, V.B.L.Apartments, Road NO.3, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

# AND

1 Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep by its Vice Chairman, Rasulpura, Secunderabad.

The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, at Hyderabad.

3 The Revenue Divisional Officer. Ranga Reddy East Division, at Goshamahal, Hyderabad.

4 State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS