IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH **AT-HYDERABAD** (Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND TEN

PRESENT THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NOS: 26688 of 2007, 10518/2008, 10078/2009, 10213/2009, 4418/2009, 10840/2009, 10983/2008, 12451/2009, 12464/2008, 13947/2009, 19935/2009, 8106/2008, 9241/2009, 10004/2009, 11084/2009, 13967/2009, 14187/2008, 16956/2008, 17431/2009, 17524/2009, 23418/2008, 13367/2009, 11698/2009, 22079/2009, 19914/2009, 22515/2009, 17685/2009, 18900/2008, 26576/2009, 8501/2008, 9969/2008, 10588/2008, 13461/2008, 14762/2008, 16867/2008, 12569/2009, 27311/2007, 1281/2008 3686/2008, 4398/2008, 4703/2008, 4709/2008, 4111/2009, 5627/2008, 9793/2008, 10066/2008, 11472/2008, 13121/2008, 13165/2008, 13469/2008, 13928/2008, 14461/2008, 14583/2008, 15150/2008, 19115/2008, 20043/2008, 25342/2008, 27865/2008, 28567/2008, 2606/200 29094/2008, 1088/2009, 1216/2009, 5197/2009, 5682/2009, 6015/2009, 9034/2009, 9149/2009, 10120/2009, 11089/2009, 22524/2009 and 25565/2009

W.P.No.26688 of 2007

Between:

Sri K.Satyananda Patnaik, S/o.Late KGS Pandya, Aged about 45 years, Occ : Private Service, R/o Plot No.822, Vivekanandanagar Colony, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

2 Sri S.Satyanarayana, S/o Sri Shiva Lingalah, Aged about 46 years, Occ : Private Service, R/o H.No.5-9-22/35, Adarsh Nagar,

Hyderabad.

3 Sri N.V.Subba Raju, S/o Sri N.Sita Rama Raju, Aged about 37 years, Occ : Private Service, R/o Flat No.402, Sruthi Raaga Apartments, Motinagar, Hyderabad.

Sri V.Subrahmanyam, S/o.Late V.Surya Ramam, Aged about 43 years, Occ : Engineer, R/o Block-66, Flat No.1, Potti Sriramulu Nagar, Hyderabad-57.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, represented by its Vice Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition No.(4) in their letter No.11766/MP2/Plg/H/2006 dated 11-11-2007 directing the petitioners to obtain No Objection Certificate from the District Collector, as per A.P.A.L.Act Rules, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, Revenue dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioners .: Sri.M.V.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.10518 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Anuradha Properties & Township (P) Ltd., rep. by its Managing Director, Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, S/o. M.Subba Reddy, Aged about 48 years, G-1, Reliance Krishna Apartments, Hill Fort Road, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice Chairman and Managing Director, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring that the action of the respondent in not releasing the final lay out to the petitioner in respect of Sy.Nos.27 (P) and 28/2 of Neknampur Village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, for want of production of N.O.C. from the authorities under the Act, 2006, is arbitrary and without jurisdiction and direct the respondent to release the final lay out in favour of the petitioner without requiring the petitioner to furnish such N.O.C.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri. Vedula Venkataramana

Counsel for the Respondent : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

W.P.No.10078 of 2009

Between:

. 1 Sri K.S.L.S.S. Sita Rama Raju, S/o. Sri K.V. Subba Raju, aged about 50 years, Occ : Business, R/o. Plot No. 137, Navanirman Nagar, Road No. 71, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

Sri B. Venu Gopal Reddy, S/o.Late B.Lakshmana Reddy, Aged about 43 years, Occ : Business, R/o. B-56, Vengalraonagar,

Hyderabad.

3 Sri N.V. Subba Raju, S/o.N. Sita Rama Raju, Aged about 39 years, Occ : Private Service, R/o.Flat No. 402, Sruthi Raaga Apartments, Motinagar, Hyderabad.

4 Sri O. Surender Reddy, S/o. Sri O. Krishna Reddy, Aged about 44 years, Occ : Business, R/o. MIG 209, APHB, Balaji Nagar Colony,

Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

5 M/s. Vision Avenues Private Limited, a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 having its registered office at Flat No.1C, Parameswara Apartments, 6-3-626, Anandnagar, Khairatabad, Hyderabad 500 064, represented by its Director Sri K. Brahmaiah, S/o. Venkateswarlu, Aged about 44 Years, Occ: Business, R/o. 501, Boppanna Enclave, C-38 and 39, Madhura Nagar, Hyderabad-38,

.... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad - 500 026.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st Respondent in imposing Condition No.(3) in its letter No.15751/MP2/Plg/H/2005, dated 05-05-2009 insisting for Land Conversion Certificate (Conversion of land from Agriculture to Non-Agriculture purpose) from the Revenue Divisional Officer/District Collector under the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural Land act 2006 and Rules, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, Revenue, dated 19-10-2006 for releasing final layout, as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.M.V.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 Administration G.P. for Municipal

W.P.No.10213 of 2009

Between:

1 M/s. U.B. Properties Private Limited, having its registered office at Plot No.11, Type-A, Film Nagar, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Represented by its Director M. Nagi Reddy, S/o. Late M. Subba Reddy, aged about 60 Years, R/o. H.No.166, Gulmohar Park, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad.

2 G. Srinivasa Raju, S/o. G. Subba Raju, Occ : Employee, Aged about 39 years, R/o. Flat No.106, Plot No.110, Hanuman

Mansion, Sri Nagar Colony, Hyderabad.

3 M. Madan Mohan Reddy, S/o. Late M. Krishna Reddy, Aged about 59 years, Occ: Employee, R/o. A-25, Journalist Colony, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad 500 033.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad-500 026.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first Respondent adding a new Condition No.2 for releasing final layout in its Letter No.12027/MP2/Plg/HMDA/2006, dated 30-01-2009 of the 1st Respondent insisting for No Objection Certificate (NOC) from the Revenue Divisional Officer / District Collector for Non-Agriculture purpose under the A.P. Act 3 of 2006 R/w Rules notified under G.O.Ms.No.1537 MA dated 19-10-2006, as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri.M.V.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.4418 of 2009

Between:

Suchirindia Properties [P] Ltds, # 50-B, Suchir Capital Appolo Cross, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Rep. by its CEO Sri Y. Kiran Kumar.

.... PETITIONER

Hyderabad Metro Politan Development Authority, represented by its Vice Chairman, GHMC. Building, 3rd Floor, West Maredpalli, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not releasing the lay out for Sy.No.345[P], 348[P], 349[P], 351[P], 353[P], 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364[P], 365[P] of Muthangi [Village], Patancheru Mandal, Medak District to an extent of 287026.64 Sq.Mts. to the petitioner as illegal, null, void.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.10840 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Janachaitanya Housing Limited, Door No.6-3-802, 2nd Floor, Zainab Commercial Complex, Ameerpet, Hyderabad -500 016, Rep. by its Regional General Manager, A. Venkataratnam.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of *Writ of Mandamus* declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not releasing the lay out for Sy.Nos.56, 60[P], 62[P], 63 to 67, 73, 74, 75, 78, 79, 81 to 84, 92 of Budvel Village and Sy.No. 90[P], 91, 93, 94, 95 of Hyderguda Village, Rajender Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to an extent of 104307.70 Sqmtrs to the petitioner as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 Administration For Municipal

W.P.No.10983 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Janachaitanya Housing Private Ltd., Represented by its Regional General Manager, A. Venkata Ratnam, Door No.6-3-802, Zanab Complex, Il Floor, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-18.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Cyberabad Development Authority, represented by its Vice Chairman, Paiga Palace, Opp: Police Lines, Secunderabad.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not releasing the lay out for Sy.Nos.92(P), 94(P), 95(P), 100(P), 101(P) and 103(P) situated at Nanakramguda Village, Sherilingampalli Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to an extent of Ac. 17.35 guntas to the petitioner, as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the approved lay out to

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.12451 of 2009

Between:

Sri Sai Vamsi Developers, Plot No.123, Kalyan Nagar Phase-I, Hyderabad, Represented by its Partner I. Threemurthy.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development,

2 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, represented by its Vice Chairman.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the impugned letter No.1215/LO/Plg./HMDA/2009, dated 28-02-2009 as illegal, null, void and arbitrary in so far as directing the Petitioner to submit the documents in so far as conversion certificate/NOC from agricultural to non agricultural from RDO.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

W.P.No.12464 of 2008

Between:

1 G. Saibaba, S/o. Sri Anjaneyulu, aged 38 years, Occ : Business, resident of 104, Vijaya Enclave Sri Nagar Colony, Hyderabad.

2 M/s. Kamala Springfield Private Limited, Represented by its Managing Director T.S.C. Chatterjee, Having its Office 102, Model House, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, GHMC Building, III Floor, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not releasing the lay out for Ac 11-28 guntas in Sy.Nos.523 Part, 524, Part and 388 Part of Gundlapochampally Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to the petitioners as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioners.

Counsel for the Petitioners ; Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : GP For Municipal Administration

W.P.No.13947 of 2009

Between:

M/s Janachaitanya Housing Limited, Door No.6-3-802, 2nd Floor, Zainab Commercial Complex, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-500 016, Rep by its Regional General Manager, A.Venkataratnam.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not releasing the lay out in Sy.Nos.463, 474 to 478 and 479 (P) of Budvel Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District to an extent of Ac.5-28 ¾ Gts., to the petitioner as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.19935 of 2009

Between:

M/s.Janachaitanya Housing Limited, Door No.6-3-802, 2nd Floor, Zainab Commercial Complex, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-500016, rep. by its Regional General Manager, M.Sudhakar.

.... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad, rep.by its Vice Chairman.
- 2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Secretary Municipal Administration & Urban Development Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of

the 1st Respondent in not releasing the lay out in Sy.Nos.253(P), 259(P), 339(P), 345(P) & 346(P) of Brahmanpally Village, Torrur Gram Panchaayt, Hayathnagar Mandal, R.R.District to an extent of Ac.27.04 ½ Gts to the petitioner as illegal, null, void and arbitrary, and consequently

direct the 1st Respondent to release the approved layout to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.8106 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Vasudeva Realtors Private Limited, 6-3-347/11, Lalithanjali, Sai Baba Temple Road, Dwarakapuri Colony, Punjagutta, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Managing Director Sri T. Nageswara Rao.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Cyberabad Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, 1-8-323, Paigah Palace, Opp. Police Line, Rasoopura, Secunderabad.

2 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, 1-8-323, Paigah Palace, Opp. Police Line, Rasoopura, Secunderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate order or direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in imposing condition of submission of "No Objection Certificate" from the District Collector through Lr.No.9611/DLO-cum-GHS/CDA/2006, dated 04-04-2008 for release of the draft layout to the Petitioner company in respect of the lands situated in Sy.No.136, 137 illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without jurisdiction and consequently submission of NOC from the District Gellector.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.Posani Venkateswarlu

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

W.P.No.9241 of 2009

Between:

M.Narsing Rao, S/o M.Rama Rao, Aged 50 years, Occ: Business, R/o.5-3-52, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

2 K.Tirupathi Rao, S/o.K.Venkaiah, Aged 36 years, Occ : Business, R/o.2-22, Hydernagar Village, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

3 Sri K.Rama Rao, S/o. K.Venkaiah, Aged 31 years, Occ : Business, 3-66, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

4 Dr.Usha Mohandas, S/o. Sri.K.Mohandas, Aged 37 years, Occ: Business, R/o.Flat No.1A Garden Homes, 27, Aga Abbas Ali Lane, Bangalore.

5 Sri Ajit Mohandas, S/o. K.Mohandas, Aged 31 years, Occ: Business, R/o.8-2-293/82/1/266/A/A, Sri Venkataeswara Co-op, Society, Road No.12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

Petitioners 1 to 5 are rep by their GPA Holder K.Kondal Rao, S/o.K.Narayana Rao,

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Revenue), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Hyderabad Metro Politan Development Authority, rep.by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondent No.2 in imposing condition No.2 in his Letter No.11363/MP2/Plg/H/2002, dated 21-7-2008 as arbitrary, illegal, violative of Art. and 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No.2 to release the petitioners sanctioned revised final lay-out with housing to an extent of Ac 27-00 in Sy No.158 P,159 P and 162 by setting aside the condition No.2 imposed by the respondent No.2 No.11363/MP2/Plg/H/2002, dated 21-7-2008.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.A.Sudershana Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

W.P.No.1004 of 2009

Between:

- 1. Smt.Sahela Begum, W/o.Late Sheik Saleh, Aged 52 years, Occ : Housewife.
- 2. Shaik Abdul Khader, S/o.Late Sheik Saleh, Aged 23 years, Occ : Student.
- 3. Sri.Shaik Abdullah, S/o.Late Sheik Saleh, Aged 20 years, Occ; Student.

All R/o.H.No.20-5-650/B, Qazipura, Shahlibanda, Hyderabad.

Rep by their GPA Holder, Sri.Shaik Mahmood, S/o.Late Shaik Saleh, Aged 32 years, Occ: Business, R/o.H.No.20-5-650/B, Qazipura, Shalibanda, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

Office of the Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep by its Commissioner, G.H.M.C., Buildings, West Marredpally,
 Government of Andrea D.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, rep by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, (East), Ranga Reddy District, Goshamahal.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of respondents in not processing and considering the application of the petitioners for grant of approval of layout in respect of the petitioners property admeasuring Ac.3-09 gts in Sy.No.243/1AA, Ac.3;09 Gts in Sy.No.243/E, Ac 0:7 Gts in Sy.No.243/1A, Ac 3:08 Gts in Sy.No.243/1EE and Ac 0:07 in Sy.No.243/1/A totally admeasuring Ac 10:00 Gts situated at Sreenagar Revenue Village, Under Grampanchayat, Tukkuguda, Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy, District, by insisting on issue of a NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3rd respondent under the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non – Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to grant approval of layout insisting on such NOC/conversion certificate from 3rd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.N.Naveen Kumar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3: GP For Revenue

W.P.No.11084 of 2009

Between:

M/s.Maha Gayatri Real Estate Pvt Ltd., Rep.by its Metropolitan Director, Sri V. Aravinder Reddy, Flat No.107, Gayathri Vihar, S.B.I. Colony,

.... PETITIONER

AND

Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department,

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep.by its Commissioner, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, Circle No.15, Quthubullapur,

The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District. The Chief City Planner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal

Corporation, Town Planning Section, Hyderabad. (Respondent No.8 is impleaded as per C.O., dated 08-06-2009 Suo-motu in WPMP.No.14438/09)

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the lands in Ac.No.3-20 guntas in Sy.No.110, 143 (P), and 145 of Jeedimetla Village, Qutubullahpur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District which form part of master plan/zonal development plan notified under AP (Urban Areas) Development Act 1975 are out side the purview of the provisions of the AP Agricultural land (Conversion for non-agricultural purpose) Act 2006 and no permission or NOC from District Collector R.D.O. is required for under taking development in the said land and consequently direct the respondents to release the draft and final layout in terms of the layout approved in L.P.No.34/MP2/PLG/H/2007 (File No.9064/MP2/H/2007) dated 11-11-2007 without insisting reference to NOC/Conversion Certificate from District Collector RDO as required by the Letter dated

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.P.Prabhakara Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3, 6 & 7: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5 : Smt.Kalpana Ekbote

Counsel for the Respondent No.8: Suo Motu

W.P.No.13967 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Vediri Estates Private Limited Company, having its Registered office at M.C.H.No.3-4-496/A, Barakatpura, Hyderabad, Rep by its Managing Director, Sri V. Panduranga Reddy, S/o.Sri Siva Reddy, aged about 54 years, R/o. Barkatpura, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

State of A.P., Represented by Principal Secretary, Revenue Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 Hyderabad Metro Development Authority, rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, Administrative Block, District Commercial Complex, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.

Municipal Administry and Urban Development, Rep by Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, are more particularly in the nature of "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of the respondents in insistiong for NOC/Convertion over the land of the petitioner in Sy.77 measuring Ac. 24.35 situated at Hafeespet, Shareligampally mandal, either under the agriculture Land (Convertion for Non-Agricultural purposes) Act, 2006 or as per the Proc in 340/Estt/AOE/HMDA/09, dated 10-06-2009 issued by 2nd Respondent as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the authorities to sanction layout/Plans.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Jaipal Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : G.P For Municipal

W.P.No.14187 of 2008

Between:

Sri Maram Chandra Sekhar Reddy, S/o. Late M. Ram Reddy, R/o. 11-14-105, Janapriya Gardens, Haripuri Colony, S.R.K. Puram, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

2 Sri Vesi Reddy Laxmi Narasimha Reddy, S/o. Sri V. Mohan Reddy, R/o. Flat No.301, Gayathri Towers Plot Nos. 7-B/1 & 7-B/2, Green Hills Colony, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

Sri Vavilala Prabhakar Reddy, S/o. V. Sekhar Reddy, R/o. 11-14-108, Janapriya Gardens, Haripuri Colony, S.R.K. Puram, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

Sri Kolan Devender Reddy, S/o. Late K. Sathi Reddy, R/o. H.No. 1-1-615, Gayathripuram, Mohan Nagar, Kothapet, Ranga Reddy District.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation,

Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, East Zone, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 imposing Condition No.2 in its Letter 4781/P4/PlgHUDA/2007, dated 16-10-2007 directing the petitioners to obtain "Clearance Certificate" from the District Collector/Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P.A.L.Act, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No. 1537. M.A. dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.Srinivas Velagapudi

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

W.P.No.16956 of 2008

Between:

M/s. A.P.S.E.B. Engineers Cooperative Housing Society Limited, TAB 204, Vidyut Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad-500082., rep. by its Secretary, Sri.C. Venkateswarlu.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary (Revenue), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary (Municipal Admn. Dept.), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority (HUDA), rep. by its Vice-Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in imposing condition No.4 in his letter No.13626/MP2/Plg/H/2005 dated 18-07-2008 as arbitrary, illegal, violative of Art. 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and also violative of Principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent No.3 to release the petitioner's final layout by setting aside condition No.4 imposed by the respondent No.3 in his letter No.13626/MP2/Plg/H/2005 dated 18-07-2008.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.A.Sudershan Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P For Municipality

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

W.P.No.17431 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Golden Gate Properties Limited, Unit No.308, 3rd Floor V.V. Vintage Boulevard, Above Spenser Super Market (Formerly Food World), Raj Bhavan Road, Somajiguda, Hyderabad, represented by its Liaison Officer K.Shoban Babu, S/o.Late Subba Rao, aged about years, R/o.Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, represented by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor G.H.M.C. Building, West The Division of the Property o

4 The Divisional Administrative Officer (Planning), Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, 3rd Floor G.H.M.C. Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad - 500 026.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ, orders, directions and more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the

petitioner shall have to produce the No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer vide letter dated 11-06-2009 bearing reference number, 16880/MP2/Plg/HMDA/2008 of the third respondent for processing, approving and release of the layout plans for the land admeasuring 41,049.69 Square Meters in Plot No.3 of Survey No.102, situate at Shameerpet Retreat, Shameerpet Village & Mandal, Ranga Reddy District is ex facie illegal, arbitrary, against to law and much against to the legal and fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution of India and consequently set aside the same and may be pleased to further direct the third respondent to approve and release the layout plans in accordance with law.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Palivela Satyaraja Babu

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P For Municipal

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

W.P.No.17524 of 2009

Between:

1 Mr.RM.Ramanathan, S/o. S.V.R.M.Chettiar, Aged about 50 years, Occ : Business.

2 Mr.Gurunath Ramanathan, S/o. RM Ramanathan, Aged about 20 years, Occ : Student

Both are R/o. Road No.3, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

Rep by their GPA Holder (vide Regd Doct. No.2572/2002) J.S. Investments Pvt. Ltd rep by its Director, N.K.Agarwal @ Rajesh Agarwal, S/o. Shankarlala Agarwal, Aged about 40 years, R/o. 15-1-53, Osmangunj, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, G.H.M.C.Building, 3rd Floor, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Attapur, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of a "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of the 1st respondent in issuing Letter No.8560/MP2/Plg/H/2006, Dated 16-05-2009 and thereby not releasing the revised draft layout in respect of the petitioners property admeasuring Ac.04-28 gts in Sy.No.63(P) of

Kowkur Village, Malkajigiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy District in continuation of its Draft Layout Permit as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and set aside the said Letter No.8560/MP2/Pig/H/2006 Dated 16-05-2009 and consequently direct the respondents to release the Revised Draft Layout without insisting on such charges and NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3rd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.P.Pandu Ranga Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: G.P for Revenue

W.P.No.23418 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Urbane Edge Properties, Rep. by its Managing Partner P. Mahender Reddy, Plot No.821, Road No.41, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad-500073.

.... PETITIONER

AND.

1 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpalli, Secunderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division (Ranga Reddy District), Rajndranagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition in their letter No.12270/p4/Plg/HMDA/2008/, dated 18-10-2008 directing the petitioner to obtain clearance certificate from the competent Authority i.e., Collector / RDO / DRO concerned under APAL (Conversion of Non-Agricultural purpose) Act 2006, as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.V.V.Anil Kumar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 4: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

Between:

M/s. Ambience Properties Limited, A Company incorporated under Indian Companies Act, 1956 With its office at 612/613, Swapnalok Complex, 92/93, SD Road, Secunderabad Rep. by its Managing Director, Sri Bimal Kumar Kedia, S/o. B.N. Kedia, aged 52 Years, Plot No. 108, Abience Fort, Attapur Village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its
 The Government of Andres Barris

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Chief Commissioner, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ, Order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition No.3 in their Letter No. 4836/MP2/Plg/H2005, dated 11-06-2009 directing the petitioner to obtain" No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional officer/Competent Authority as per A.P.A.L. Act 2006 notified vide GO Ms.No. 1537 M.A., dated 19-10-2006 as illegal contrary to law, arbitrary and without jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Deepak Bhattacharjee

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 4: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.11698 of 2009

Between:

Sarath Babuji Projects Pvt. Ltd, Having its Office at 6-3-347/15, Dwarakapuri, Punjagutta, Hyderabad, rep. by its Managing Director, Shri.P. Subba Raja.

.... PETITIONER

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, Green Lands, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal & Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in imposing Condition No.4 imposed in its letter No.11921/MP-II/PIg/H/2005, dated 12.05.2009 directing the petitioner to obtain. "No Objection Certificate" from the District Collector / Revenue Divisional Officer as A.P.A.L. Act, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, M.A. dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.G.Kalyan Chakravarthy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.22079 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Mahalaxmi Real Estates a Partnership Firm, having its Office at Plot No.402/3RT, 7-1-621/3336, Pankaj Ram Edifice, 3rd Floor, Opp. City Library, S.R.Nagar, Hyderabad-500 038, A.P. Rep. by its Managing Partner S. Janardhan Reddy S/o. A. Veera Reddy, Aged 47 years.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, G.H.M.C. Building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Vice Chairman.

2 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Collector, Ranga Reddy District Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer Medchal Division, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction of the like nature declaring the impugned Proceedings of the 1st respondent dated 10-09-2009 bearing Letter No. 5593/MP2/Plg./HMDA/2009 in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit NOC from the 4th Respondent for conversion under the A.P. Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act 2006 as a condition No.1 precedent for release of draft layout in respect of the land belonging to and developed by the petitioner in Sy.No.71 (Part) situated at Dabilpur Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as bad illegal, arbitrary without jurisdiction and ultra virus the A.P. Urban Areas Development Act and consequently declare the same as unenforceable.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.E.Ajay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: G,P For Revenue

W.P.No.19914 of 2009

Between:

Y.Shankaraiah, S/o.Late Y.Narsaiah, aged 76 years, Occ : Business, R/o.Plot No.8, Ashok Enclave , Kapra, ECIL Post, R.R.District, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, GHMC Building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, rep.by its Metropolitan Commissioner.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus

declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in not releasing the approved Final Layout and directing to submit NOC from RDO/Collector, to use the land for Non agriculture purpose as per AP Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agriculture Purposes), Act 2006 vide Letter No.9675/MP2/Plg/H/2003 dated 6/22-7-2009 as arbitrary, illegal, unreasonable unauthorised violative of principles of natural justice and violative of Articles, 14, 21 and 300A of Constitution of India and the same maybe set aside

Costs be awarded.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.B.Mahender Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

W.P.No.22515 of 2009

Between:

1 M/s. Sahara India Commercial Corporation Ltd., a Company incorporated and registered under the Companies Act, 1956, having its Regd.Office at 2-A, Shakespeare Saroni, Calcutta, and local office at Sahara Manzil, Opp: Secretariat, Hyderabad.

M/s. SAHARA India Financial Corporation Ltd. a Company incorporated and Registered under the Companies Act, 1956, having its registered Office at Sahara India Bhawan, 1, Kapoorthala, Aligani, Lucknow.

M/s. Sahara India Investment Corporation Itd. a Company incorporated and Registered under the Companies Act, 1956, having its registered Office at Sahara India Bhawan, 1, Kapoorthala, Aligani, Lucknow.

4 M/s. Sain Processing & Weaving Mills Pvt.Ltd. a Company incorporated and Registered Office at 7th Floor Gopala Tower, Rajendra Place, New Delhi.

The Petitioners Nos.1 to 4 are rep by their authorised rep Sri G.P.Patnaik, S/o. Ch. Narsing Patnaik, aged about 42 years, Managar, Sahara States, Mansoorabad, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Greater Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, having its Office at West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

The Govt. of A.P. rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad

The Govt. of A.P. rep by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the 1st respondent imposing condition to obtain the No. Objection Certificate from RDO/Competent authority through letter No.14870/MP2/PLG/GHMDA/1998, dated 01-10-2009 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Memo No.22444/11/96, M.A dated 10-3-1998 and Article 300-A of Constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.K.V.L.Narasimha Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.17685 of 2009

Between:

B. Srikanth Reddy, S/o. B. Ramesh Reddy, Aged about 34 years, Occ: Business, R/o.H.No.8-2-248/A/5, Plot No.37, Venkateshwara Hills, Banjara Hills, Road No.3, Hyderabad, Rep. by his GPa Holder M. Sujeet Kumar, S/o.M. Surya Pratap Rao, Aged about 31 Years, Occ: Business, R/o. 3-6-190/2, Hyderguda, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, GHMC Building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

....RESPONDENT

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other writ or order, declaring that the action of the respondent in insisting on the petitioners to produce No Objection Certificate from the Collector, Ranga Reddy District, about conversion of land use under the provisions of the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion of Land Use) Act, 2006 as a condition precedent for grant of tentative lay out for Development of the land in Sy.No. 143/Part situated at Doolapally Village, Qutubullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District is arbitrary; illegal, colourable exercise of power and contrary to the provisions of:A.P. Urban Area Development Act of 1975, and consequently, direct the respondent to release the revised lay out without insisting on the No Objection Certificate from the Collector, Ranga Reddy District, as stipulated in condition No.4 of the impugned letter, dated 1-8-2009 by the Respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.B.Venkat Rama Rao

Counsel for the Respondent : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

W.P.No.18900 of 2008

Between:

- 1 Sri Shanagala Narsaiah, S/o. Late S.Mallaiah,
- 2 Sri Shanagala Ilaiah, S/o. Sri S.Narasiah,
- 3 Sri Shanagala Parvatalu, S/o. S.Narasaiah.
- 4 Sri Shanagala Suresh, S/o. S.Narasajah,
- 5 Smt. Shanagala Sivalaxmi, W/o. Late S. Vadaiah,
- 6 Sri Shanagala Srinu, S/o. Late S. Yadaiah,
- 7 Sri Shanagala Kumar, S/o.Late S.Yadaiah,

Sri Shanagala Ashok, S/o. late S.yadaiah, 8

Smt. Shanagala Laxmamma, W/o. Late S. Yellaiah, 9

10 Master Shanagala mallikarjun, S/o. Late S.Yellaiah,

Master Shanagala Srisailam, S/o. Late S.Yellaiah,

12 Kumari Shanagala Sujatha, D/o. Late S.Yellaiah, (All are residents of Nizampet Village, Quthbullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District and rep by their G.P.A Holder, A.V.K.V.Raju, Plot No.402, A.S.Raju Nagar, Vignyam Towers Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

13 Sri Sai Dilip Developers and Estates, a firm rep by its Managing Partner, A.V.K.V.Raju, Plot No.402, A.S.Raju Nagar, Vignyan

Towers, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The State of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue

Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The State of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the notice/ letter of the 1st respondents in imposing condition in his letter No.2717/MP2/Plg./H/2002, dated 01-07-2008 directing the petitioner to obtain " No Objection Certificate' from the Collector / Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P.Agricultural Lands Act, Rules, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537 Revenue dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri Peri Prabhakar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.26576 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Aditya Constructions, a Partnership Firm, having its office at 8-2-674/2/2/A, White House, Road No.13, Banjara hills, Hyderabad rep. by its Managing Partner P.Ravinder Rao, S/o. Late P.Jagan Mohan Rao, Aged: 53 years.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority G.H.M.C. building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Vice-Chairman.

2 The State of A.P., Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Medchal Division, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or direction of the like nature declaring the impugned proceedings of the 1st respondent dated 26-08-2009 bearing Letter 13038/MP2/Plg./HMDA/2008 in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit NOC from the 4th respondent for conversion under the A.P.Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 as a condition No.1 precedent for release of draft layout in respect of the land belonging to and developed by the petitioner in Sy.No.310/B, 316/B, 317/B, 317/C & 317/D situated at Bachupally Village, Quthubullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as bad, illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and ultra virus the A.P.Urban Areas Development Act, and consequently declare the same as unenforceable and be pleased to pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.E.Ajay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No!2: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: G.P for Revenue

W.P.No.8501 of 2008

Between:

C.Vijaya Kumar Reddy, S/o. C.Venkat Reddy, Aged 39 yeas, Occ : Business, R/o. 302, Skill Spectrum, No.3-6-369, Liberty junction, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad-29.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

2 The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15), Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring: the impugned Intimation Notice No.G/1780/07-08, dated 19-03-2008 Issued by the 2nd Respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L.(Conversion fro Non Agriculture

Purpose) Act 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approve building plan without insisting on 'No Objection 'Certificate' from District Collector under A.P.A.L.(Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

W.P.No.9969 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Cascade Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., Rep. by its Director Sri Somnath Rao Kapatkar, S/o. Sitaram Kapatkar, aged 70 years, Occ : Business, R/o.H.No.2-2-647/97/A, Flat No.503, Laxmi Nivas Central Excise Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone; Qutbullapur Circle, Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter; Secunderabad.

The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15) Autbullapur Village, R.R. District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No. 158/TP/NZ/GHMC/07 dated 01-03-2008 issued by the 3rd respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act, 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction, and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act, 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

Between:

Dandu Pratap, S/o.Late D.Komuraiah, Aged 41 years, R/o.H.No.4-84, Swamy Venugopala Swamy Temple Quthbullapur Village and Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

.... PETITIONER

ÄND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone, Qutbullapur Circle Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter, Secunderabad.

3 The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15)
Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No.G/30/2008-09 dated 18-04-2008 issued by the 3rd respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit "No Objection Certificate: from the District Collector as per APAL (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act, 2006 before releasing the approved Residential Apartment Building plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on "No Objection Certificate" from District Collector Under APAL (Conversion for Non Agricultural purpose) Act, 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

W.P.No.13461 of 2008

Between:

Salike Sanjay, S/o. S. Prakash Rao, R/o. Plot No. E-11, Vikrampuri, Secunderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

2 The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone, Qutbullapur Circle, Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter, Secunderabad.

3 The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No. 15), Qutbullapur Village, Ranga Reddy District.

RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No. G/501/TP/NZ/GHMC/08, dated 12-06-2008 issued by the 3rd Respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit "No Objection Certificate" from the District Collector as per A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose) Act 2006 before releasing the approved consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on "No Objection Certificate" from District Collector under A.P.A. L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose) Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

W.P.No.14762 of 2008

Between:

M/s.S.V.S.Constructions, Rep. by its Managing Partner Sri.K.Surya Prakash, S/o.K.Mahadev, Aged about 46 years, C/o.1-9-630/1, Vidyanagar, Hyderabad-500044.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner ,Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15), Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No.345/TP/NZ/GHMC/2007, dated 09-06-2008 issued by the 2nd respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit "No Objection Certificate" from the District collector, as per A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture purpose), Act, 2006 before releasing the approved consequently direct the respondents to release the approved building plan without inserting on "No Objection Certificate" from District Collector under A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose) Act, 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

W.P.No.16867 of 2008

Between:

K.Mahadev, S/o. Late Ramaiah, Aged 62 years, Occ : Business, No.1-9-630, 2nd Floor, Vidyanagar, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Hyderabad.

2 The Zonal Commissioner, North Zone, Qutbullapur Circle, Secunderabad GHMC Head Quarter, Secunderabad.

3 The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Qutbullapur Circle, (Circle No.15), Qutbullapur Village, R.R.District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the impugned Intimation Notice No. 657/TP/NZ/GHMC/2007, dated 25-07-2008 issued by the 3rd respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit 'No Objection Certificate' from the District Collector, as per A.P.A.L. (conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose) Act 2006 before releasing the approved Residential apartment Building Plan as illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release to the approved building plan without insisting on 'No Objection' Certification' from District Collector under A.P.A.L. (Conversion for Non Agriculture Purpose) Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.S.Lakshma Reddy

Counsel for the Respondents : Sri.R.Radhakrishna Reddy

W.P.No.12569 of 2009

Between: ·

1 M/s. Bhanu Estates Pvt. Ltd., Rep. by its Executive Director, S. Naveen Kumar, S/o.S.Bhoom Roa, having the Registered Office at Plot No. 28, Ground Floor, P & T Colony, Karkhana Road, Secunderabad.

M. Indira Reddy, D/o. M.M. Reddy, Aged about 40 years, Occ: House Wife, R/o. H.No. 3-23, Kowkoor Village, Alwal Municipality, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R. District, A.P.

3 M. Bhagya Reddy, D/o. M.M. Reddy, R/o. H.No. 3-23, Kowkoor Village, Alwal Municipality, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R. District, A.P.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, East Division, (Ranga Reddy

District.), Goshamabal, Hyderabad.

The Commissioner, Alwal Municipality, Ranga Reddy District.

Hyderabad Urban Development Department, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, 1-8-323, Paigah Palace, Rasool Pura, Secunderabad 500 003.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly a writ of mandamus directing the 4th respondent to release the final layout relating to lands in Survey No.39A and 40AA of Kowkoor Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R. District which have been developed by the petitioners without insisting for NOC from Collector / RDO by holding the action of the respondents in imposing such a condition in letter No.9237/MP2/Plg/H/2005 dated 16-02-2009 as illegal arbitrary, contrary to law and without jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Smt.l.Maamu Vani

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: Sri.M.Surender Rao

W.P.No.27311 of 2007

Between:

Smt.Y.Prasanna Kumari, W/o.Satyanarayana, aged 45 years, Kamalanagar, ECIL, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Government of A.P. rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Admn. & Urban Development Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

2 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice

Chairman, Green Lands, Hyderabad.

3 The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation rep. by its Dy. Commissioner, Kapra, Administrative Zone.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the letter No.8972/MP2/Planning/H/2005, dated 06-12-2007 of the 2nd respondent directing the petitioner to obtain "No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional Officer/Competent Authority as per the A.P.

Agricultural Land Act, 2006 in respect of the non agricultural land in S.No. 556 and 556 of Kapra Village, R.R. District, as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to release the final lay out in favour of the petitioner in S. No. 556 and 557 of Kapra village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District without insisting No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer/Competent Authority as per the A.P. AL. Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.V.V.N.Narayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

W.P.No.1281 of 2008

Between:

Sri Rakesh Ahuja, S/o.Sri D.R. Ahuja, aged 57 years, Occ: Business, R/o.Gundlapochampally Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, rep. by his G.P.A. Holder, Sri Anil Bhalla S/o.late Sri A.P. Bhalla, Aged 59 years, Occ: Business, R/o.11, Polishetty Enclave, Vikrampuri, Secunderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, G.H.M.C. Building, III Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, rep. by its Vice Chairman.
- 2 The State of A.P., Rep. by its Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.

4 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Medchal Division, Medchal, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus or otherwise declaring the impugned proceedings of the first respondent HUDA dated 18-01-2008 (Annexure XIII) requiring the Petitioner to submit NOC from the Collector/RDO for conversion under the A.P. Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 as a condition precedent for release of final layout as bad, illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and ultra vires the A.P. Urban Areas Development Act, and consequently declare the same as unenforceable and be pleased to pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.M.Papa Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4: G.P for Revenue

Between:

P. Narasimha Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy

2 P. Ashok Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy

3 P. Ravinder Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy

4 P. Shashinder Reddy, S/o. Vittal Reddy All are R/o. 1-9-321, Kushaiguda, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary Municipal Admn & Urban Development Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice

Chairman Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep. by its Dy. Commissioner, Kapra Administrative Zone.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the letter No.6367/MP2/PLG/H/2007, dated 15-12-2007 of the 2nd respondent directing the petitioners to obtain "No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional Officer / Competent Authority as per the A.P. Agricultural Land Act, 2006 in respect of the non agricultural land in S.No.405P, 443P, 444P, 445P, 446P, 447P, 449P, 450P and 542P situated at Kapra Village, Kesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy as illegal, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout in favour of the petitioners in S.No.405P, 443P, 444P, 445P, 446P, 447P, 449P, 450P and 542P situated at Kapra Village, Kesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy without insisting No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer / Competent Authority as per the A.P.A.L. Act, 2006

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri.V.V.N.Narayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.R.Radha Krishna Reddy

W.P.No.4398 of 2008

Between:

G. Nanda Kumar, S/o. G. Damodhar, Age 60 years, Occ : Business, R/o. H.No. 6-1-95, Valluvar Nagar, Ammuguda Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, rep by his GPA Holder P. Sudhakar Reddy, S/o. Late Malla Reddy, Age: 47 Business, R/o. Moula Ali, Hyderabad. .

... PETITIONER

PEHRONER

AND

Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep by its Vice Chairman, Begumpet, Hyderabad.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Recity District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, one more particularly in the nature of a "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the final layout sanctioned in respect of the petitioners' property admeasuring Ac. 6.85 Gts (28,323,68 Square Meters) of land in Sy.No.16/6 of Ammuguda Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy District by insisting on issue of a NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3rd respondent under the AP Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 as being illegal, arbitrary unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout without insisting on such NOC/conversion certificate from 3rd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.P.Sri Harsha Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: GP For Revenue

W.P.No.4703 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Touchstone Developers, Rep. by its Partner Mr. D.Raghava Rao, S/o. Late D.C.H.Subba Rao, Aged about 47 years, Occ : Business, R/o. 589, Arrora Colony, Road No.35, Banjarahills, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Hyderabad Airport Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Greenland's, Hyderabad. 2

The Government of A.P., Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh:, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, A.P.Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, East Division, (Ranga Reddy District), Goshamahal, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in

the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in imposing condition in their letter No.10222 / Layout / HADA / 2006, dated 25-02-2008 directing the petitioner to obtain "No objection certificate" from the competent authority for land conversion, as illegal, contrary to law arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.V.V.Anil Kumar

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.C.S.Kishore

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 4: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.4709 of 2008

Between:

M. Ramulu, S/o. Sri Ramaiah, aged about 50 years, resident of 1-5-111, Habsiguda, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands Hyderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not releasing the final lay out basing on the G.O. Ms.No.923 Municipal Administration & Urban Development (11) Department dated 18-11-2005 as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the approved final lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP For Municipal Administration

W.P.No.4111 of 2009

Between:

1 M/s. K.M.R. Estates & Builders (P) Limited, Regd. Office at Plot No. 38, Parkview Enclave, Manovikas Nagar, Bowenpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Managing Director Sri K. Madhava Reddy, S/o. Gal Reddy.

2 M/s. Wincon Housing (P) Limited, Regd. Office at Plot No. 38, Parkview Enclave, Manovikas Nagar, Bowenpally, Secunderabad, Rep. by its Managing Director, Sri K. Madhava Reddy, S/o. Gal Reddy.

3 Sri T. Laxma Reddy, S/o. Venkatram Reddy, Aged about 45 years, Occ: Business, R/o. Plot No.38, Parkview Enclave, Manovikas Nagar, Bowenpally, Secunderabad,

.... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent in imposing condition No.13, in its Letter No.10843/P4/Plg/HMDA/2007, dated 17-02-2009 directing the local authority to release the plans to the applicant after submission of conversion of Non-Agricultural Certificate under (APAL) Act from the RDO/DRO concerned as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to law and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.K.Ranga Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.5627 of 2008

Between:

M/s Speed Projects (P) Limited, Thimmaiapally Village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Rep by its Managing Director, T.Vinod Rao, S/o.T.Krishna Rao, aged about 37 years, R/o.Flat No.206, V.B.L.Apartments, Road NO.3, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep by its Vice Chairman, Rasulpura, Secunderabad.

2 The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, at Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer. Ranga Reddy East Division, at Goshamahal, Hyderabad.

4 State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, declaring that the action of the 1st respondent contained in Letter No.13586/MP2/Plg./H/2005, dated 26-03-2006, in insisting the petitioner to furnish No Objection Certificate from respondents 2 and 3 for compliance of the provisions of the A.P. Agricultural Land Conversion act, 2006 as a condition precedent for release of lay out in respect of land in Sy.Nos.82 (P), 83 to 85 and 86(P) of Thimmaiahpally Village, Keesara Madnal, Ranga Reddy District, is arbitrary and ultra virus and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the lay out in favour of the petitioner without compliance of the said condition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.Vedula Venkataramana

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.9793 of 2008

Between:

M/s. PRAJAY Engineers Syndicate Limited, a Public Limited Company, represented by its Executive Director, Sri D. Vijay Sen Reddy, S/o Late D.S.P. Reddy, resident of 1-1-380./11, Ashok Nagar, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Hyderabad Air Port Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Paigah Palace, Greenlands, Begumpet, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring that the action of the respondent contained in the letter, dated 8-7-2007 in so far as it relates to insistence on production of NOC from the competent Authority under the A.P. Land Conversion Act 2006 for considering the application of the petitioner for Group Housing Permission-Mega Township, in Sy.Nos.405, 447 to 451, 453, 454, 457, 487 and 488 of Mankhal Village Maheswaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy is arbitrary and illegal, and consequently direct the Respondent to consider the application of the petitioner dated 23-04-2007 without insisting on production of NOC from the competent authorities under the A.P. Land Conversion Act 2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Vedula Venkataramana

Counsel for the Respondent : Sri.C.S.Kishore

W.P.No.10066 of 2008

Between:

Satya Murthy Sivalenka, S/o. S. Ramalingam, Aged about 42 years, Occ : Managing Director, M/s.Sameera Homes Pvt Ltd., R/o. 54 & 55, A.G. Arcade, Balaji Co-operative Housing Society, Transport Road,

..... PETITIONER

AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice-Chairman, Begumpet, Hyderabad.

3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction(s) essentially in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the Respondents herein in insisting to obtain No Objection Certificate / Conversion Certificate from the 3rd respondent under the Andhra Pradesh Urban Area Development Act, 1977 in respect of the property covered by Survey Nos.34/A, B, C, D, G and Survey Nos.29/1 and 29/P of an extent of Gram Panchayat, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, for issuance of layout.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.P.R.Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 3: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Surender Rao

W.P.No.11472 of 2008

Between:

1 Smt. Thota Uma, W/o. T. Krishna Murthy, R/o. Block-24, Flat -7, LIG Quarters, Bagh Lingampally, Hyderabad.

2 Kodati Jayapratap, S/o. K. Narsaiah, R/o. 3-10-58, Near Rama Rice Mill Road, Suryapet, Nalgonda District.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice
 Chairman, Green Lands, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

The Dy. Commissioner, L.B. Nagar Circle, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.5 in imposing condition No. 18 in Notice No. G/BP/63/GHMC/EZ/LBNC/2008, dated 19-05-2008 directing the petitioners to obtain "Clearance Certificate" from the District Collector/Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P.A.L. Act 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537 M.A., dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to Law, Arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.K.Joseph

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5 : Smt.Kalpana Ekbote

W.P.No.13121 of 2008

M/s. Rowfin Real Esates (P) Limited., D.No.27-17-48, Peddi Bhotla Vari Street, Governorpet, Vijayawada 520 002., rep by its Managing Director.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Revenue Divisional Officer, Nuzvid, Krishna District.

Tahsildar, Gannavaram (MRO Office), Krishna District. Vijayawada, Guntur, Tenali, Mangalagiri Urban Development Authority, Lenin Centre, Governorpet, Vijayawada, rep by its Vice

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the lands in R.S.No.156/1A, 1C, 6 & 159/4 of Chinaoutapalli Village, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District which from part of the zonal developmental plan notified under A.P (Urban Areas) Development Act, 1975 are outside the purview of the provisions of the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 and no permission is required from any authority for undertaking development in the said land except the 4th respondent and consequently set aside

Rc.E337/2008 dated 15-05-2008 issued by the $3^{\rm rd}$ respondent as one without authority of law.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.B.Adinarayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: Smt.K.Aruna

W.P.No.13165 of 2008

Between:

S. Purnachandra Rao, S/o Sri S. Venkateswarlu, R/o 501, Sandhya Enclave Model House Lane, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep by Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Begumpet, Hyderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep y its Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not releasing the lay out for Ac. 8-16 guntas in Sy. No.12 & 13 Maripalyguda Village, Ghatkesar mandal of Ranga Reddy District to the petitioner as illegal, null, void and arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to release the approved lay out to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.K.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : G.P for Municipalities

W.P.No.13469 of 2008

Between:

1 Dattada Bhimeswara Rao, S/o.Late Sri.D.Venkateswara Rao, aged about 62 years; R/o.548-A9, Road No.27, Jubilee Hills,

2 B.Vimalamma, W/o.Shri Appala Raju, aged about 77 years, R/o.548-A9, Road No.27, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

(Both rep by his General Power of Attorney Holder, Sourabh Modi, S/o.Satish Modi, aged about 36 years, R/o.Plot No.280, Road No.25, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.)

.... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep.by its Vice Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary

Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the notice letter of the 1st respondent in imposing condition in their letter No.1540/MP2/Plg/H/2006, dated 15-06-2008 directing the petitioners to obtain No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer Competent authority as per A.P Agricultural Lands act Rules 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537 Revenue dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : SriiVedula Venkataramana

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 G.P For Municipal Administration

W.P.No.13928 of 2008

Between:

B. Mariamma, W/o. Late Sri Mikhayalu , Hindu, R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District. 2

B. Joseph, W/o. Late Sri Mikhayalu , Hindu,

R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District.

B. Prabhudas, S/o. Late Sri Mikhayalu , Hindu, 3

R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District. B. Bujji @ Bala Sowry, S/o. Late Sri Mikhayalu , Hindu, R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District.

- Panam Mery Rani, W/o. Sri Naga Malleswara Rao, D/o. Late Sri Mikhayalu, R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna 6
- Mannem Joji, S/o. Sri Bairaji, Hindu,
- R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District. Mannem Mohana Jayakumar, S/o. Sri Joji, Hindu,
- R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District. Mannem Ananda Banerjee, S/o. Sri Joji, Hindu, 8
- R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District. Narra Kirita Raju, S/o. Mariadasu, Hindu,
- R/o. Peda Avutupalli, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District. 10 Kolsu Venkata Reddy, S/o. Late Sri Mahalakshmi, Hindu,
- R/o. 54-14/8-15, Plot No. 10, Bharathinagar, Vijayawada 8./ Putti Krishna Murthy, S/o. Sri Venkateswara RAo, Hindu, R/o. Door NO. 2-85, Allapuram Village, Gannavaram Mandal,

Krishna District.

- 12 Kambhampati Giri Sankar, S/o. Sri Venakta Subba Rao, Hindu, R/o. Door No. 8-118A Roynagar, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District.
- 13 Chigurupati Sumanth, S/o. Sri Atma Rao, Hindu, R/o. Door No. 15-91, Gollapudi, Vijaywada Rural Mandal, Krishna District.
- 14 Chigurupati Shanmukha Rao, S/o. Vasudeva Rao, Hindu, R/o. Door No. 2-54, Gollapudi, Vijaywada Rural Mandal, Krishna District.

(All are Rep. by their G.P.A Holder Chanumolu Krishna Rao, S/o.Late Sri Rama Rao, Age 49 years, Vijayawada, Krishna District)

.... PETITIONERS

AND

- 1 Vijayawada, Guntur, Tenali, Mangalagiri, Urban Development Authority, Lenin Centre, Governorpet Vijayawada, Rep. by its Vice Chairman.
- 2 Revenue Divisional Officer, Nuzvid, Krishna District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the lands of an extent of Ac. 14-25 cents in R.S.Nos.10/6, 177/1D, 2, 181/1A, 1B, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 182/1 of Chinaoutpalli Village, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District which form part of the zonal developmental plan notified under A.P. (Urban Areas) Development Act, 1975 are outside the purview of the provisions of the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purpose) Act., 2006 and no permission is required from any authority for undertaking development in the said land and consequently set aside Rc.No. C2-7311/2008 dated 26-06-2008 issued by the 1st respondent as one without authority of law.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.B.Adinarayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Smt.K.Aruna

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

W.P.No.14461 of 2008

Between:

1 Dr. A. Jagan Mohan Reddy, S/o. Sri A. Venkat Reddy, R/o. H.No. 2-2-641/2, Amberpet, Hyderabad.

2 Smt. A. Vijaya Laxmi, W/o. Sri Laxma Reddy, R/o. 66, 2 RT, Municipal Colony, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department; Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, East Zone, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, L.B.Nagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 imposing Condition No.2 in : its Letter 5883/P4/Plg/HUDA/2007, dated 18-12-2007 directing the Petitioners to obtain "Land Conversion Certificate" from the District Collector/Revenue Divisional officer as per A.P.A.L. Act, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No. 1537 M.A. dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary, and without any jurisdiction. .

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.Srinivas Velagapudi

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Noi2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5: Sri.R.Radha Krishna

W.P.No.14583 of 2008

Between:

B.H. Venkata Subbamma, W/o. B.H. Venkateswarlu, aged about 75 years, R/o. Sanathnagar, Hyderabad, rep. through her registered GPA Holder K. Venkateshwar Reddy, S/o. Yadagiri Reddy, Age 34 Yrs, Occ: Business, R/o. 11-4-143/1, Sri Venkateshwar Colony, Saroornagar, Hyderabad.

2 Desi Reddy Raja Reddy, S/o. Rami Reddy, R/o. Flat No.308, Abhyudaya Apartments, Saroornagar, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tank Bund, Hyderabad, rep. by its Commissioner.

The Deputy Commissioner, L.B. Nagar Circle, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.1 in imposing Condition No.2 in Letter No.12120/Plg/P4/Huda/2007, dated 12-05-2008 directing the petitioners to obtain Clearance Certificate from the District Collector / Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P.A.L.Act, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, M.A., dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, achitrary, contrary to law and without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to grant building permission to the petitioners in respect of Plot No.39 and 40 of S.No.102/4, Nagole Village, Uppal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioners : SrilL.Prabhakar Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

W.P.No.15150 of 2008

Between:

M.Manjula, W/o.Chandra Reddy, Aged about 40 years, R/o.H.No.2-2-645/4/A, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep. by its Zonal Commissioner, East Zone, Hyderabad.

2 Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice-Chairman, 3rd Floor, G.H.M.C. Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the action of the respondents in insisting the petitioner to get land conversion certificate from the District Collector as per G.O.Ms.No.1537 dated 19.10.2006 in respect of the Non-Agriculture Land bearing Plot No.5P, situated at Sy.No.94/1, block 4, Sairamnagar, Nagole Village, Uppal Mandal, L.B.Nagar Municipality, R.R. District as illegal, arbitrary, unjust and with out any authority and jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to sanction the building plan to the petitioner without insisting for conversion certificate from the District Collector wide G.O.Ms.No.1537 dated 19-10-2006.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.G.L.Nageswara Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy,

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy, SC for HUDA

WP.No.19115 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Modi Builders and Realtors (P) Ltd. having its registered office at 5-4-187/3 & 4, III Floor, Soham Mansion, M.G.Road, Secunderabad, Rep by its Managing Director, Sourabh Modi, S/o. Sathish Modi, aged About 36 years, R/o. Plot No. 280, Road No. 25, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad

Hirala Tulsidas, S/o. Tulsidas,

Sirish Hiralal (HUF), Rep by its Karta Hiralalal, S/o. Hiralal, aged about 53 years, Occ : Business.

Rajesh Hiralal (HUF), Rep by its Karta Shri Rajesh Hiralal, S/o.

Hiralal, aged about 53 years, Occ : Business.

5 Rajiv Hiralal (HUF), Rep by its Karta Raji Hiralal, Aged about 41 years, Occ : Business. (Petitioners 2 to 5 are R/o. 3-5-1093/4/A, Venkateshwara Colony, Narayanaguda, Secunderabad (and are rep by GPA Holder, Sourab Modi, S/o. Satish Modi, aged about 36 years, R/o. Plot No. 280, Road No. 25, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad).

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep by its Vice Chairman, Greenlands, Hyderabad.

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary,

Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the notice/letter of the 1st respondent in imposing condition in their letter No.8535/MP2/Plg/H/2006, dated 13-8-2008 directing the "No Objection Collector/Revenue Divisional Officer as per AP Agricultural Lands Rules, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537 Revenue dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.Vedula Venkataramana

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : G.P Administration and Urban Development Authority For Municipal 43

W.P.No.20043 of 2008

Between:

M/s. Kranti Cresent Properties India (Pvt.) Ltd., A regd. Company, Regd. under Companies Act, having its Regd. Office at 101, Kranti Icon, Rukminipuri Colony, Kapra, ECIL Post, Hyderabad, rep. by its Chairman, V.Damodar Rao, S/o. V. Chalama Rao, Aged 43 Yrs, Occ:Business, R/o. Plot No. 38-A, Eashwarpuri Colony, Sainikpuri, Secunderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep. by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Hyderabad.

2 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep. by its Zonal Commissioner, East Zone, L.B.Nagar Head quarters, R.R. District.

3 Deputy Commissioner, Kapra Municipality, Kapra Administrative Zone, Kapra, R.R. District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order, or direction one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in not releasing the Building permission for residential Apartment (Sub-Cellar + Cellar + Stilt + Upper 5 floors) in survey numbers 606, 608/1, 609 Kandiguda, (V) Sainikpuri of Kapra Municipality, Keesara (M), R.R. Dist., thereby directing to submit "Clearance Certificate" from RDO/DRO concerned, as arbitrary, illegal and opposed to statutory provisions and consequently direct 3rd respondent to release the approved building permission to the potitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.N.Prashanth

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 : Sri.R.Ramachandra Rao

W.P.No.25342 of 2008

Between:

1 Sri Valigonda Hanumanthu, S/o. Late V. Ramulu, R/o. H.No. 20-17/D, Sharada Nagar Colony, Gaddiannaram, Ranga Reddy District

2 Smt. V. Vijaya Lakshmi, W/o. Sri V. Hanumanthu, R/o. H.No. 20-17/D, Sharada Nagar Colony, Gaddiannaram, Ranga Reddy District.

3 Sri Rajkaran Goud, S/o. Sri Palki Goud, R/o. H.No. 8-101/10, Narasimhapuri Colony, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

.... PETITIONERS

The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Green Lands, Somajiguda, Hyderabad. 2

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation,

Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

The Zonal Commissioner, East Zone, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 imposing Condition No.2 in its Letter 12590/P4/Plg/HUDA/2007 dated 16-05-2008 directing the Respondents to obtain "land Conversion Certificate" from the District Collector /Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P.A.L. Act, 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537 M.A. dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law, arbitrary and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.Srinivas Velagapudi

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

W.P.No.27865 of 2008

Between:

1 RM Ramanathan, S/o. S.V.RM.S.V. Ramanathan Chettair, Aged 48 years, Occ : Business.

Ms. Bhargavi Ramanathan, D/o. RM Ramanathan, Aged 18 years, (All R/o. H.o. 8-2-337/7, Road No.3, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad., represented by GPA Holder J.S. Investment Pvt. Ltd., Rep. by its Director N.K. Agarwal @ Rajesh Agarwal S/o. Shankarlal Agarwal, 40 yrs, R/o.15-1-53, Osmangunj, Hyderabad).

.... PETITIONERS

AND

Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep.by its Vice Chairman Begumpet, Hyderabad.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Rep. by its Principal Secretary Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Ranga Reddy

.....RESPONDENTS

45

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, one more particularly in the nature of "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of respondents in not releasing the Final Layout sanctioned in respect of the petitioner's property admeasuring Ac 02-76 gts in Sy.No.49(P) of Kowkur Village, Malkajigiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy, District, in Continuation of its Revised Draft Layout Permit No. 46/MP2/H/02, dated 24-10-2003 by insisting on issue of a NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3rd respondent under the A.P Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act 2006 as illegal arbitrary unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to release the Final Layout without insisting on such NOC/conversion certificate from 3rd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri.S.Niranjan Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: G.P for Revenue

W.P.No.28567 of 2008

Between:

1 M/s.Sneha Constructions, having its office at Plot No.11 Sagar Society, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, rep.by its Sri.V.Rajeshwar Reddy, S/o.Late Sri V.Sanjeeva Reddy, aged 43 years Occ:Business, R/o.Plot No.104, Gruha Shilpi Veera Residency, Saleem nagar Malakpet, Hyderabad.

2 Smt.Choti Bai, W/o.Late Kantilal Jain, R/o.H.No.10-2-274/6, S.P.Road West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

3 Sri Gautaham Chand Jain, S/o.Late Kantilal Jain, R/o.Plot No.82, Road No.9 Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

4 Sri Ashok Chand Jain, S/o.Late Kantilal Jain, R/o.Plot No.7&8 Kausalya Estate Kharkana, Secunderabad.

5 Sri.Prakash Chand Jain, S/o.Late Kantilal Jain, R/o.Plot No.441, Road No.19/20, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

6 Sri.Dilip Kumar Jain, S/o.Late Kantilal Jain, R/o.Plot No.13& 14 Kausalya Estate Kharkhana, Secunderabad.
The petitioners 2 to 6 are rep.by their GPA Holder Sri Raaj Kmar Jain, i.e. the petitioner No.7 herein.

Sri Raaj Kumar Jain, S/o.Late Kantilal Jain, R/o.H.No.10-2-274/6, S.P.Road, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep.by its Vice Chairman, Greenlands, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents No.1 in imposing condition No.8 in its Letter No.11199/MP2/Plg/H/2005 dated 23.10.2008 directing the petitioners to obtain "Clearance Certificate" from the District Collector/.Revenue divisional officer, as per A.P.A.L.Act 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537 Revenue dated 19-10-2006 as illegal, contrary to law arbitrary, and without any jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.Srinivas Velagapudi

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : G.P For Municipal

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: Sri.R.Ramachandra Reddy

W.P.No.29094 of 2008

Between:

M/s Serena Park Constructions having its Regd Office at 5-4-187/3 & 4, III Floor Soham Mansion, M.G Road, Secunderabad, rep.by its Partner, Sourabh Modi, S/o. Satish Modi, age (37) years R/o. Plot No.280, Road No.25, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep.by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus declaring the notice/letter of the respondent in imposing condition in their Letter to No.1019/MP2/HMDA/plg/2008, dated 14-11-2008 directing the petitioner to obtain No Objection Certificate from the Collector/Revenue Divisional Officer as per A.P Agricultural Lands act Rule 2006 notified vide G.O Ms No.1537 Revenue, dated 19-10-2006 as illegal contrary to law arbitrary and without any jurisdiction and direct the respondents to process of the without insisting on the No objection Certificate from Collector Revenue Divisional Officer for conversion of agricultural land for non agricultural purpose as per the notice in letter No.1019/MP2/HMDA/Plg/2008, dated

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.Vedula Venkataramana

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : G.P For Municipal

W.P.No.1088 of 2009

Between:

M/s Rowfin Real Estates(P) Ltd., D.No.27-17-48, Peddi Bhotla Vari Street, Governorpet, Vijayawada 520 002, Rep by its Managing Director.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 Joint Collector, Krishna Machilipatnam.

2 Revenue Divisional Officer, Nuzvid, Krishna District.

3 Tahsildar, Gannavaram (MRO Office), Krishna District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari by calling for the records of the 1st respondent in Rc.D1/2485/2008 dated 01-01-2009 affirming the order of the 2nd respondent in Rc.G.2072/2008 dated 01-01-2008 imposing conversion charges and penalty under The A.P.Agricultural Land (Conversion For Non-Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 in respect of Ac.18-26 cents in S.Nos.69, 71/P, 72, 73/1A, 73/1B, 74/1, 74/2 and 76/9 of Allapuram Village, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District which form part of the Zonal Developmental plan notified under A.P(Urban Areas) Development Act, 1975 and are outside the purview of the provisions of the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversions For Non-Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 as being illegal, irrational and without authority of law and consequently set aside the said orders of Respondents 1 and 2.

Counsel for the Petitioner .: Sri.B.Adinarayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondents : GP For Revenue

W.P.No.1216 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Rowfin Real Estates (P) Ltd., D.No.27-17-48, Peddi Bhotla Vari Street, Governorpet, Vijayawada - 520 002 represented by its Managing Director.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 Joint Collector, Krishna, Machilipatnam.

2 Revenue Divisional Officer, Nuzvid, Krishna District.

Tahsildar, Gannavaram (MRO Office), Krishna District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari by calling for the records of the 1st respondent in Rc.D1/2485/2008 dated 01-01-2009 affirming the order of the 2nd respondent in Rc.G.2072/2008 dated 01-01-2008 imposing conversion charges and penalty under The A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 in respect of Ac.155/2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7A, 156, 162, 180, 161/1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D 1611/3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 160/1 and 160/2 of Chinaoutapalli Village, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District which form part of the zonal developmental plan notified under A.P. (Urban Areas) Development Act, 1975 and are outside the purview of the provisions of The A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion For Non-Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 as being illegal, irrational and without authority of law and consequently set aside the said orders of Respondents 1 and 2.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.B.Adinarayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondents : GP For Revenue

W.P.No.5197 of 2009

Between:

Karunamayee Educational Society (Regd. No.6379 of 2000), Nagaram Village, Keesara Mandal, Rangareddy District, Rep. by it's President G.R.Reddy, S/o.Late G.C.Ranga Reddy, Aged 72 years, R/o.36, Santosh Nagar, Mehdipatnam-28.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, R/o.6-3-1190, Green Lands, Begumpet, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner.

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by it's Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

 The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.

4 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevalla Division, Attapur, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the proceedings in Lr.No.12130/P4/PLG/HMDA/2008, dated 06-12-2008 of the 1st Respondent in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit "clearance certificate" from the 4th Respondent under the Andhra Pradesh

Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non - Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 as condition precedent for release of Building permission in Ac.2.20 gts in Sy.No.72(part), 73(part) and 81(part) of Nagaram Village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District belonging to the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary without jurisdiction and ultravires the A.P. Urban Areas (Development) Act, 1975 and consequently restrain the 1st Respondent from insisting for production of the same.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.M.S.Ramachandra Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4: GP For Revenue

W.P.No.5682 of 2009

Between:

1 P.Suresh Reddy, S/o.P.Venkat Reddy, R/o.Flat No.203, Karan Residency Bowenpally, Secunderabad-500009.

2 T.Anjaiah Goud, S/o.T:Krishna, R/o.1-7-196, S.D.Road, Secunderabad.

3 P.Narsing Rao, S/o.P.Yadagiri, R/o.1-2-83/84, Park Lane, Secunderabad.

4 R.Rukma Reddy, S/o.Late R.Ramchandra Reddy, R/o.3-6-549, Street No.7, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad-500029.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep.by its Commissioner, GHMC Building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad-500026.

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, East Division, R.R.District, Goshamahal, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, one more particularly in the nature of "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of respondents in not releasing the final layout sanctioned in respect of the petitioners property admeasuring Ac.9.00 gts-in Sy.No.142 situated at Doolapally Village, Quthbullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District in continuation of its draft layout permit No.8588/MP2/Plg./HUDA/2003, dated 10-02-2009 by insisting or issue of a NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3rd respondent under the A.P Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Purposes) Act, 2006 as being Agricultural illegal, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout without insisting on such NOC/Conversion certificate from 3rd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri.S.Niranjan Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: G.P for Revenue

W.P.No.6015 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Aparna Shelters Private Limited, rep. by its Director, Sri K.S.L.S.S.Sitharamaraju, S/o. K.V.Subba Raju, aged about 50 years, R/o. Plot No.137, Navaniram Nagar, Road No.71, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

... PETITIONER

The Hyderabad Metro Development Authority, rep. by its Commissioner, GHMC Building, West Marredpally, Secunderabad-500 026.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring condition No.3 imposed by the respondent in letter No.324/MP2/Plg./HMDA/2009, dated 13-03-2009 as precondition for considering the application of the petitioner for layout sanction as illegal and without jurisdiction and issue a consequential direction to the respondent to sanction the layout for Gate Community Housing without insisting upon the NOC from the competent authority under the A.P. Agricultural Land Conversion Act,

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.M.V.Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondent : Sri.M.Surender Rao

W.P.No.9034 of 2009

Between:

Y.Swamy Reddy, S/o.Late Satti Reddy,

R/o.H.No.16-2-738/E, Asmangadh Malakpet, Hyderabad.

S. Yellaiah, S/o.Late Kantaiah,

R/o.H.No.16-2-146/C/2, Dayanandnagar, Malakpet, Hyderabad. 3 P.Sudheer, S/o.Late Mallappan,

R/o.H.No.16-2-145/8/3, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

M. Venugopal, S/o.M. Satyanarayana,

R/o.H.No.8-4-71, Sri Krishna Colony Station Road, Warangal. M.Nitesh, S/o.M.Venugopal,

R/o.H.No.8-4-71, Sri Krishna Colony Station Road, Warangal. 6 P.Madhusudhan, S/o.Anandam,

R/o.H.No.16-2-146/C/2, Malakpet, Hyderabad. 7 N.Janaki Reddy, S/o.Sri Laxma Reddy,

R/o.H.No.2-2-1100/2, Tilaknagar New Nallakunta, Hyderabad. 8 M.V.Subba Rao, S/o.M.S.R.Anjaneyulu, R/o.H.No.8-4-71, Sri Krishna Colony Station Road, Warangal.

..... PETITIONERS

The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, rep.by its 1 Metropolitan Commissioner, Greenlands, Hyderabad. 2

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The District Collector, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ order or direction especially one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in insisting./directing the petitioners to obtain no objection certificate from the collector as per the AP Agricultural Lands Act Rule 2006 notified vide G.O.Ms.No.1537, Revenue Dated 19-10-2006 in respect of petitioners lands bearing Survey Nos. 547,583 (part), 584, 585, 586, 591, 593 to 608, 610 to 616, 617, (part), 618, 634 (part), 635, 636, (Part) 638, 646(part) 647, 648, 649 (Part) 6540 (part) 735 to 737, 739, and 740 admeasuring Ac.30.21 guntas situated at Kistapur village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to law and

Counsel for the Petitioners : Sri.Ch.Ravinder

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 4: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P for Municipal Administration

W.P.No.9149 of 2009

Between:

M/s All India Developers Consortium, Hyderabad One, Rep by its Managing Partner, Sri .Venkateswar Reddy, S/o. Sri. S.L.Narayana Reddy, aged 39, Business, R/o. Dhaatri House, Road No. 10, Gaffar Khan Colony, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad- 500 034.

M/s Dhatri Constructions, Rep by its Chairman, Sri S. Venkateswar Reddy, S/o. Sri S.L. Nrayana REddy, AGed 39 Occ: business, R/o. Dor No. 8-2-608/32, Plot No. 76, Road - 10, Gafarkhan Colony, Banjara hills; Hyderabad- 500 034.

Sri. S.Venkateswar Reddy, S/o. Sri S.L. Narayana Reddy, R/o. Dor No. 8-2-608/32, Plot No. 76, Road - 10, Gafarkhan Colony, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad- 500 034.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep by its Commissioner GHMC Building, 3rd Floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad 500 026.

52

2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, West Division, Ranga Reddy District Attapur, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, or direction, one more particularly in the nature of a "Writ of Mandamus" declaring the action of Respondents in not releasing the draft layout sanctioned in respect of the petitioners property admeasuring Ac.7-10 gts in Sy.No.18/A and 18/AA situated at Bandlaguda Jagir Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District and Ac.2.00 guntas in Sy.No.18/AA situated at Bandlaguda Jagir Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District in continuation of its draft layout permit No.4667/MP2/Plg/HMDA/2008 dated 18-04-2009 by insisting on issue of a NOC/Conversion Certificate from the 3rd respondent under the A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout without insisting on such NOC/Conversion certificate from 3rd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri.S.Niranjan Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3: G.P for Revenue

W.P.No.10120 of 2009

Between:

P.Sekhar, S/o.P.Satyanarayana, Aged about 40 years, R/o.Plot No.5, Ashok Colony, Kapra, Hyderabad

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

2 Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, rep.by its Vice Chairman, Rasoolpura, Hyderabad.

3 Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Karpa Circle Ranga Reddy District, rep.by the Dy. Commissioner.

Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, GHMC Building 3rd Floor, Secunderabad, rep.by its Chairman.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the letter No.5399/MP2/Plg/H/2004 dated 17-10-2008 of the 4th respondent directing the petitioner to obtain "NOC" from the Revenue Divisonal

Officer/Collector for non-agricultural purpose as per AP Agricultural Land Act 2006 in respect of the non-agricultural land in Sy.No.3(P), 4(P), & 5(P) of Kapra village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as illegal, contrary to law arbitrary, and without jurisdiction and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout in favour of the petitioner in SY.No.3(P) 4(P) &5 (P) of Kapra village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District without insisting No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer./Collector as per the AP Agricultural Lands Act.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.V.V.N.Narayana Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP For Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 4: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri.M.Ramachandra Rao

W.P.No.11089 of 2009

Between:

M/s. Richland Avenues Private Limited, Rep by its Managing Director, Sri V. Aravinder Reddy, Flat No.107, Gayathri Vihar, S.B.I. Colony, Kothapet, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep by its Metropolitan Commissioner, 3rd Floor GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.

5 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella, Ranga Reddy District.

6 The Chief City Planner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Town Planning Section, Hyderabad.

(Respondent No.6 is impleaded as per court order dated 08-06-09 Suo-motto).

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the lands in Ac.No.41.82 cents in R.S.No.32 (P) 33, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39 (P), 40, 41 (P) and 42 (P) situated at Bagh Mankhai Village, Maheswaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District which form part of masterplan/zonal development plan notified under AP (Urban Areas) Development Act 1975 are out side the purview of the provisions of the AP Agricultural land (Conversion for non-agricultural purpose) Act 2006 and no permission or NOC from R.D.O. as required by the letter dt. 22-5-2009 is required for undertaking

development in the said land and consequently direct the respondents to release the final layout in terms of the layout approved in LP No. 4/HADA/Layout/2006 (File No. 7074/Layout/HADA/2006) Dt. 30-11-2006 without insisting/reference to the NOC/Conversion Certificate from R.D.O.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri.P.Prabhakara Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Dhananjaya Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 to 5: G.P for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondent No.6: Suo – Motu

W.P.No.22524 of 2009

Between:

M/s.Doctors Estates Private limited (A Registered company) having its registered office at H.No.6-3-609/14/1/A, Ananda Nagar, Colony, Khairatabad, Hyderabad, rep.by its Managing Director, Dr.K.Srinivas, S/o.Raja Gopala Rao, aged about 52 years Occ:Medical Practitioner R/o.H.No.3-6-457, Himayat nagar, Hyderabad.

.... PETITIONER

AND

Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority 3rd Floor, GHMC Building, West Maredpally, Secunderabad, rep.by its Metropolitan Commissioner.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad.

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Maheswaram Ranga Reddy

....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus any other appropriate writ or direction declaring the action of the respondent herein in imposing a condition to submit a " No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional Officer at the time of payment of development charges in letter Lr.No.13142/Layout/HADA/2006 dated 1.9.2009 as illegal, arbitrary, and one without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to release the layout without insisting for "No Objection Certificate" from the Revenue Divisional Officer.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.O.Manoher Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri.M.Dhananjay Reddy

55

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 to 5: G.P for Revenue

W.P.No.25565 of 2009

Between:

M/s.Green Home Farms & Resorts Pvt Ltd., a company having its office at 11-5-423, 3rd Floor, Shamshiri estate Red Hills, Hyderabad-500004, repby its Managing Director Mr.Hazrath B.Rao, S/o.Lato B.Chenchalah Naidu.

.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, GHMC building, 3rd floor, West Marredpally, Secunderabad, rep.by its Vice Chairman.

2 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Secretary Municipal Administration & urban Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.

4 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy District (E), Division, Goshamahal, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction of the like nature declaring the impugned proceedings of the 1st respondent dated 12-11-2009 bearing letter No.9030/Group Housing/HADA/2009 in so far as requiring the petitioner to submit NOC from the 3rd respondent for conversion under the AP Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act,2006 as a Condition No.4 precedent for release of draft layout of Group Housing in respect of the land belonging to and developed by the petitioner in Sy.No.204 situated at Jalpally Village, Saroornagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as bad illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and ultra virus the AP Urban Areas Development Act, and consequently declare the same as unenforceable.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri.E.Ajay Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri.M.Surender Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4: G.P For Revenue

The Court made the following Common Order: -

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

Writ Petition No.26688 of 2007 & batch

COMMON JUDGMENT:

In this batch of writ petitions, common questions arise for consideration. Hence, they are disposed of, through a common judgment.

Petitioners are, either corporate agencies, undertaking development of townships and residential areas in various places of the State, or individual owners of plot of land. In the recent past, there was phenomenal increase in conversion of agricultural, or barren lands into residential colonies, or house sites. In case the development takes place within the area of operation of an Urban Development Authority, constituted under the A.P. Urban Areas (Development) Act, 1975 (for short 'the 1975 Act'), approval of layout, and in certain cases, permission for construction must be obtained from such authority (for short 'the UDA'). On the other hand, if the land is not within any UDA, but is situated within the area of operation of any Local Authorities, such as, Municipal Corporation, Municipality, or Grampanchayat, the lay-out must be obtained from them, which, in turn, would be subject to approval by the Director of Town Planning. Permission for construction must be obtained from the local authority itself.

The A.P. Non-agricultural Land Assessment Tax Act was in force, till recently. It provided for levy of non-agricultural land-tax,

whenever any agricultural land is put to non-agricultural use. The levy was irrespective of the nature of use, to which, the land is put, or the nature of the local authority, within whose jurisdiction it is situated.

The A.P. State Legislature enacted the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 (for short 'the 2006 Act'), which prohibited conversion of agricultural lands into non-agricultural purposes, except with specific permission by the authority under the Act. The amount of fee to be paid for this purpose is also stipulated.

The petitioners submitted applications for grant of lay-out to the concerned authorities. Tentative lay-outs were sanctioned, by imposing certain conditions. One such condition is that, they must obtain clearance under the 2006 Act. The petitioners contend that such insistence is without any legal or factual basis.

According to the petitioners, the entire matter is covered and governed by the provisions of the 1975 Act, or the A.P. Municipalities Act, and A.P. Panchayat Raj Act, under which, the other local authorities function, and that the provisions of the 2006 Act do not apply to such cases. It is also submitted that the 2006 Act is general in its purport, where the 1975 Act and other related enactments are specific in nature, and that the latter will prevail over the former. Another contention of the petitioners is that even if they are under obligation to obtain clearance, or permission under the 2006 Act, it is for the Authority under that Act, to take necessary

No.

لاشكا

steps, and the UDA, or the local authorities cannot take upon themselves, the task of enforcing the provisions of the 2006 Act.

The Government filed a detailed counter-affidavit, narrating the circumstances, that led to the enactment of 2006 Act. It is stated that a land, which is earmarked for agricultural use, cannot be put to any other use, except by obtaining permission under the 2006 Act. According to them, the object underlying the 1975 Act, on the one hand, and the 2006 Act, on the other hand, are separate and different and hardly there is any overlapping as to their respective areas of operation. They contend that the 1975 Act is intended for a systematic and regulated development of urban areas, whereas the 2006 Act is aimed at preventing the agricultural lands, whether in rural or urban areas from being put to non-agricultural use, except with specific permission. The UDA's have also filed a counter-affidavit, almost on the same lines.

The arguments on behalf of the petitioners were advanced by Sri V. Venkata Ramana, learned Senior Counsel, Sri M.V. Durga Prasad, Sri B. Adinarayana Rao, Sri S. Niranjan Reddy, and Sri O. Manohar Reddy, learned counsel. Broadly stated their contentions are that, the 2006 Act does not apply to the lands unless they are put to agricultural use, and that there is no presumption that every piece of land is put to agricultural use. They submit that the use to which a land can be put, is squarely covered by the 1975 Act, and the master plan published thereunder defines and specifies such uses. It is also submitted that once the land use is stipulated, the authority under that enactment cannot insist on any further

permissions, that too, under different enactment. It is also submitted that the 1975 Act is a complete Code, for sanction of lay-outs and to specify the land use, and there is absolutely no basis for UDA's or the local authorities to insist that, clearance under 2006 Act must be obtained, as a condition precedent for sanction of the lay-out, or for grant of permission to construct.

Learned Government Pleader for Revenue and learned Standing Counsel for the Urban Development Authority, on the other hand, submit that irrespective of the use to which the owner proposes to put his land and irrespective of the location of the land, the provisions of the 2006 Act get attracted, once agricultural land is put to a different use. They contend that the land use, which is regulated under the 1975 Act is totally different from the one, dealt with under the 2006 Act.

Learned counsel appearing on both sides have relied upon precedents, in support of their respective contentions.

The petitioners intended to develop the lands, which are within the area of operation of the UDA's constituted under the 1975 Act, or the local authorities. The applications submitted by them were processed to a substantial extent. However, the concerned authorities insisted that the clearance/permission under the 2006 Act must be obtained, in respect of the lands. The petitioners contend that the action of the authorities amounts to enforcement of the provisions of the 2006 Act, under which they do not figure anywhere.



The two enactments referred to above, no doubt, control the use, to which a piece of land can be put. However, their respective purposes and objectives are totally different from each The 1975 Act is intended exclusively for the systematic development of urban areas. It has no application for the areas outside the defined jurisdiction of the particular UDA. One of the important steps under that Act is to prepare and publish master plan for the urban development area. The master plan in turn, would stipulate the use to which the respective areas shown in it can be put. These include commercial, residential, industrial, recreational uses, etc., and each of the areas are called zones. The types of construction that can be made in the respective zones are also enlisted. Once an area is shown in a particular zone in the master plan, it cannot be put to a different use (Section 15). For example, in the residential zone, establishment of an industry cannot be permitted. The power to convert land use in a particular zone to a different one, is vested in the Government. Section 49 of the 1975 Act contains a provision, which directs that, if agriculture is being carried on any land, within the area covered by master plan, it can be continued without any inhibition, irrespective of the zone in which it is shown.

The 2006 Act, on the other hand, is intended to regulate the conversion of an agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes. That Act operates, vis-à-vis the lands situated in rural as well as urban areas. It is a different matter that the Act in its operation does not reflect the intended purposes. A perusal of the same

discloses that, if a stipulated amount is paid, the concerned authority has no option, whatever, except to accord permission. In a way, the Act turned out to be a money generating devise, than the one, to prevent or curb indiscriminate conversion of agricultural lands to other uses. Once the authority under that Act accords permission to convert an agricultural land, the matter ends there, and it would not at all be concerned, whether the land is put to industrial, residential, commercial, or any such other use.

One of the arguments advanced on behalf of the petitioners is that the 1975 Act is special in nature and the 2006 Act is general in its purport. On this basis it is pleaded that in the event of there being any conflict, the former will prevail upon the latter. Another facet of this contention is the purport of the non-obstante clauses contained in the said enactments. Reliance is placed upon the judgments of the Supreme Court in Ashoka Marketing Limited and another v. Punjab National bank and others. The Supreme Court held that, where the same Legislation has enacted two Acts on the same subject, the one, which is special in nature would prevail upon the enactment, which is general in nature; in the event of there being any conflict. It was further held that, if both the enactments are special in nature, the one, which is later in point of time, would prevail upon the other. The principle that a special enactment would prevail over the general one was reiterated by the Supreme Court in Suresh Nanda v. C.B.1.2.

Meju

^{1 (1990) 4} SCC 406 2 AIR 2008 SC 1414

The scope of the Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act, on the one hand, and the Companies Act, on the other hand, in the context of the jurisdiction of the Tribunal constituted under the former was considered by the Supreme Court in Allahabad Bank v. Canara Bank and another3. It was held that the former Act being special in nature, would prevail upon the latter. Similarly, the scope of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985 and the Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act, was examined in KSL and Industries Limited v. Arihant Threads Limited4 and almost the same view was taken.

There is absolutely no quarrel with the principles enunciated and reiterated in the judgments, referred to above. The occasion to apply them would arise, if only thee is any conflict between 1975 Act, on the one hand, and the 2006 Act, on the other hand. A close analysis of the objects underlying the said enactments would reveal that both of them operate in totally different areas As mentioned in the earlier paragraphs, while the former deals with the promotion and development of urban areas, the latter places restrictions on the use of the land, irrespective of its location.

It is lastly urged by the petitioners that insistence on clearance under the 2006 Act, even where a land ceased to be agricultural, prior to the enactment of that legislation cannot be sustained in law. In this regard, it needs to be observed that there is no indication to the effect that the enactment is retrospective in

³ AJR 2000 SC 1535 ⁴ (2008) 9 SCC 763

operation. It is only from the date on which the Act came into force, that no piece of land which was earmarked for agriculture, and is shown as such in the revenue records, can be put to nonagricultural use. In case the land was already put to residential or other use, much before the said Act came into force, a permission under it cannot be insisted. This, however, is a matter, which needs to be verified by the concerned authority. able to prove that the land has been put to non-agricultural use If the petitioners are much before the Act came into force, they cannot be required to obtain the permission under that Act.

For the foregoing reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of, holding that,

- it shall be competent for the Urban Development a) Authorities, or the Local Authorities, as the case be, to insist submission clearance/permission under the 2006 Act as a condition precedent for releasing of layouts; and
- b) the land has been put to non-agricultural use before the 2006 Act came into force, such clearance/permission shall not be insisted.

Sd/-M.SURYANARAYANA MURTHY ASSISTANT REGISTRAR //TRUE COPY//

One Fair copy to the Honourable Sri Justice L.Narasimha Reddy SECTION OFFICER (for his Lordships Kind Perusal)

1. The Principal Secretary, Government of A.P., Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

The Vice Chairman, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, 8 L.R Copies.

The Under Secretary, Union of India, Ministry of Law, Justice and

5. The Secretary, A.P. Advocates Association Library, High Court

Two CC's to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court of A.P.,

7. Two CC's to the G.P. for Municipal Administration, High Court of