

GREATER HYDERABAD MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

Office of the Chief City Planner
Town Planning Section [HO]
GHMC, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

Lr. No: 36678/11/10/2010

Date :07.12.2011

To,
M/s.Vista Homes,
Sy.No.193 to 195,
Kapra, Keesara(m),
R.R.Dist.

Sir,

Sub:- GHMC – TPS – HO – Proposals for construction of Residential Apartment Building consisting of 'A-Block to H-Blocks' with Cellar, Stilt for parking + 5 Upper floors, in Sy.No.193 to 195, situated at Kapra, Keesara(m), R.R.Dist. – Reg.

- Ref:-
1. Your building application dt:11.10.2010.
 2. This Office Lr.No.36678/11/10/2010, dt:11.10.2010.
 3. Minutes of the Building Committee held on 17.06.2011.
 4. This Office Lr.No.36678/11/10/2010/2378, dt:16/27.07.2011.
 5. Orders in W.P.No.22770 of 2011 dt:18.08.2011.
 6. Your representation dt:24.10.2011.

*** **

With reference to the subject cited, it is to inform that the revised proposals submitted vide reference 6th cited, has been examined with reference to actual site / ground position and with the previous building plans and observed the following violations.

1. The 9.0 mtr. wide peripheral road as proposed by the applicants in the site plan gets its end at the neighbours building sites where neighbours houses / buildings are existing towards North-Eastern side (instead of proposing an access with 9.0 mtr. wide road to the rear side lands) which cannot make any connectivity to the neighbours lands and whatever the area shown for peripheral road will be within the premises of applicant site as a retainable land and hence, the rule No.10.7(c) will get defeated / violation. Further the details of surrounding existing features, nala position and the dimensions in between the nala and the site boundary and the area affected under nala widening (as per the report of the Engineer-in-Chief, GHMC dt:02.12.2010 in file No.461/CSC/TP1/EZ/2010) are not indicated in the site plan by the applicants which are required to be shown as per the Building Bye Law No.4.2.(ii) of 1981.
2. Not provided / shown the required 6.0 mtr. wide mandatory setback to the Amenities Block after leaving the 9.0 mtr. wide peripheral road towards eastern side which violates the Rule No.7.1 of G.O.Ms.No.86, MA dt:3.3.2006.

3. Instead of submitting topo detailed plan as per condition No.2, you have submitted the contour levels of the land of the site under reference and doesn't cover the details of the surrounding existing features including the Graveyard, Nala & Government land for a distance of 180 mtr. from the site boundary.

You are therefore, requested to comply the condition No.1 & 2 of the recommendations of the Building Committee has informed in this office letter dt:16/27.07.2011 as desired by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.22770 of 2011.

Yours faithfully


for Chief City Planner,
GHMC

