BEFORE THE AUTHORITY THE SAME AREDDY ZONE, HYDERABAD.

Between:

Asst. Commissioner of Labour

Rangareddy District

And

Sri. T. Soham Modi, Managing Dires M/s Modi Properties & Investments (P) Pvt.

M/s Modi Properties & Investments (F) I Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venchers,

Mallapur, Rangareddy District.

Reg.Office D.No. 5-4-187/3&4, II Floor,

Sohan Mansion, M.G. Road,

Secunderabad 003.1

Respondents

Applicant

ORDER:

This is an application filed under Section 20(2) of Minimum Wages Act 1948 by the Inspector and Asst. Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy claiming the difference of wages to (31) workers employed by the Respondent. The applicant submits that he inspected the Respondent Establishment and found that 31 workers were not paid wages fixed by the Government vide G.O.Ms.No. 85 LET&F Lab-II Department. Therefore he prayed a direction to the Respondent for payment of difference of wages.

The Respondent filed an memo and admitted the claim partially he further submits that the Applicant did not verify the registers showing the actual periods of the works carried on by the workmen of this claim and present claim is filed based on the oral information given by the workers the actual work carried on by the workers.

The respondent also submitted that due to vatriations in the calculations of recently raised VDA points certain amount of the Minimum Wages has became due to the workmen. The respondent also submitted the Annexure showing the actual claim of the workmen based on the actual working days as per the statutary registers.

The Respondent submitted a cheque No. 594267 Dt 02.05.2012 of HDFC Bank, S.D.Road, Secunderabad branch for the amount of Rs.1,35,811/- (Rupess One Lakh Thirty Five Thousand Eight Hundred and Eleven Only) deposited to this authority payable to 31 workmen shall be disbursed to them by the Asst. Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy, by obtaining individual account payee Cheques issued from this Authority. In view of the above this application is disposed off. No order for Costs.

Given under my hand and seal of this Authority, this the 31st day of May, 2012.

AUTHORITY UNDER MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948&

AND THE TOTAL STONE OF LABOUR, RANGER ENTRY ZOONE HYDERABAD.

To As above

IN THE COURT OF THE AUTHORITY UNDER MINIMUM WAGES ACT, & JONT COMMISSIONER OF LABOUR, RANGAREDDY ZONE, HYDERABAD

M. W. No. 70/2011

Between: Asst. Commissioner of Labour, Ranga Reddy District.

Applicant

And
Sri. Soham Modi,
Managing Partner,
Modi Ventures,
5-4-187/3 &4, II Floor, Soham Mansion,
M. G. Road, Secunderabad – 500 003.

Respondent

MEMO FILED BY THE RESPONDENT

It is submitted that the Respondent organization carries on construction work and engaged the workmen at the work site "Gulmohar Gardens" Sy. Nos. 93, 94 & 95, Mallapur, Uppal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as and when the work is taken up. Most of the workmen works for shorter periods and will not turn up for the new works taken up by the organization. In view of the above most of the workmen engaged by the Respondent are casual workers who worked for few months / shorter periods.

It is also submitted that all the workmen shown in the present claim are also casual workmen and they did not work for the periods shown against them in this claim. These workers come for the work intermittently on daily wage basis and they are not employed regularly.

It is submitted that the Asst. Commissioner of Labour, Ranga Reddy District did not verify the registers showing the actual periods of the works carried on by the workmen of this claim and he filed this claim based on the oral information given by the workers. Therefore it is submitted that the calculation made by the Asst. Commissioner of Labour is not correct and it is not based on the actual work carried on by the workers.

I also submit that due to variations in the calculations of recently raised VDA points certain amount of the Minimum wages has become a due to the workmen. I hereby submit the Annexure showing the actual claim of the workmen based on the actual working days as per the statuary registers. I also submit that the claim made by the Asst. Commissioner of Labour is calculated on the whole period without taking into consideration of actual days of work.

I hereby admit the difference of Minimum wages arised due to the variations on the VDA calculations for the actual days of work by the workmen as shown in the annexure appended to this memo. By admitting the said amount I herewith submit the Cheque No. 594267 for Rs. 1,35,811/-(Rupees One Lakh Thirty Five Thousand Eight Hundred and Eleven only) dated 02.05.2012 drawn on HDFC Bank, S. D. Road Branch, Secunderabad Branch infavour of "Authority Under Minimum Wages Act & Joint Commissioner of Labour, R. R. Zone, Hyderabad". I request the authority kindly disburse the amount to the workers of the claim.

For MODI VENTURES

Varue

Since the actual amount due to the workers is admitted and deposited in this court, I humbly pray this authority kindly dismiss the application.

For MOD! VENTURES

Respondent Partner

Place: Hyderabad.

Date: 14.05.2012

Payable to Employee in respect of Modi Ventures Project Gulmohar Gardens Situated at Sy.Nos. 93, 94 & 95 Mallapur Village, Hyderabad, R.R.Dist. ANNEXURE Statement Showing the Difference of wages

9		∞		7		٥			5		4		ယ			2			·			S.No
Namdev		Nandu		Smt. Sunitha		Smt. Hansa			Dukshwai Bai		Raju	and the second s	Ejash	- The state of the	- A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Smt. Sultana	in programmer and the state of	i i i de de la companya de la compa	S. Venkatamma		,	Name of the Employee
Carpenter		Centreing		Labour		Labour			Labour		Labour		Granite			Labour			Labour			Designation
266	206.00	197.30	206.00	197.30	206.00	197.30		206.00	197.30	206.00	197.30		206.00		206.00	197.30		206.00	197.30		Wages Rs. VDA Rs.	Payable
-	ľ	•	1	•	ŧ	-		-	1	-	-		-		-	1		1	-			ıble
150	150	150	130	130	130	130		130	130	150	150		180		150	150		150	150	Rs.	Already paid	Wages
116.00	56.00	47.30	76.00	67.30	76.00	67.30		76.00	67.30	56.00	47.30		26.00		56.00	47.30		56.00	47.30	Rs.	of amount	Difference
4	15	74	15	74	15	74	,	15	74	10	62		5		15	74		15	74		days	Period in
464.00	840.00	3,500.20	1,140.00	4,980.20	1,140.00	4,980.20		1,140.00	4,980.20	560.00	2,932.60		180.00		840.00	3,500.20		840.00	3,500.20		Rs.	Total Difference

For MODI VENTURES

20 16 19 18 17 13 15 14 12 10 Showraiah. B Smt. Rajeswari Venkatesh Smt. Neelaveni Sastri Balaji .K B. Mallaiah Smt. Sujatha Smt. Kaleshwari Smt. Tulasamma Mymud Security Guard Labour Labour Labour Labour Mastri Labour Labour Labour Labour 6,417.50 6,653.75 234.00 225.46 197.30 206.00 206.00 206.00 206.00 197.30 197.30 206.00 206.00 197.30 206.00 197.30 206.00 206.00 197.30 197.30 197.30 197.30 , 3700 3700 180 150 150 150 150 150 150 130 150 130 180 180 150 150 150 130 160 2,717.50 2,953.75 26.00 56.00 47.30 47.30 56.00 56.00 47.30 67.30 17.30 76.00 54.00 45.46 56.00 47.30 56.00 47.30 67.30 46.00 76.00 37.30 7 3 15 74 15 15 15 15 72 15 74 15 15 74 74 74 15 70 15 | 72 8,424.25 3,500.20 840.00 3,500.20 3,500.20 2,682.14 3,500.20 4,980.20 1,280.20 3,311.00 4,980.20 2,760.20 ,673.79 1,140.00 840.00 390.00 840.00 810.00 840.00 1,140.00 690.00 840.00

For MODI VENTURE

Parines

150,011.05		VERT ONE	For MODI VER	For				
1,000.00	1/	1,854.00	-1⊆	*	3,334.00			
1 050 60	177	1,050.00	2500	1	5,150.00	OTHER DOY	r.K.ISnore	31
5 053 00	03	1. 620.00	2500		£ 120.00	08:-1	7 77 1	2
1,333.93	17	2,354.00	3000	1	5,354.00			
6,603.00	93	2,130.00	3000		5,130.00	House Keeping	Smt.D.Shobha	30
33.00	15	66.00	140	-	206.00			
141.34	74	57.30	140		197.30	Plastering	Yevaraaj	29
767.27	17	1,354.00	4,000.00	ı	5,354.00			
3,503.00	93	1,130.00	4,000.00	-	5,130.00	Labour	Smt. Latha	28
					-			
767.27	17	1,354.00	4000	-	5,354.00			
3,503.00	93	1,130.00	4000	1	5,130.00	Labour	Prabha	27
						-		
814.00	11	74	160	,	234	Painter	Sreerama Murthy	26
	11							-
814.00	11	74	160	ı	234	Painter	M.Babu Rao	25
522.47	17	922.00	6,000.00	1	6,922.00			
2,163.80	93	698.00	6,000.00	ı	6,698.00	Supervisor	B. Buchhanna	24
1,673.79	17	2,953.75	3700	ı	6,653.75			
8,424.25	93	2,717.50	3700	-	6,417.50	Security Guard	Sarjun	23
1,220.46	17	2,153.75	4500	ŧ	6,653.75			
5,944.25	93	1,917.50	4500	1	6,417.50	Security Guard	Bhola	22
					3			
653.79	17	1,153.75	5500	1	6,653.75		Total Actions	
2,844.25	93	917.50	5500	-	6,417.50	Security Guard	Dipu Pradhan	21

Warmer.

MODI VENTURES

5-4-187/3&4, III Floor, M.G. Road, Secunderabad - 500 003. © : 66335551 (4 lines) Fax : 040-27544058

E-mail: info@modiproperties.com Website: www.modiproperties.com

To
Joint Commissioner of Labour
Labour Dept. Govt. of AP
Ranga Reddy Zone,
Y.Anjaiah Karmika Sankshema Bhavan,
RTC X Roads,
Hyderabad.

Dear Sir,

Sub: Minimum Wages

Ref:: Your Show Cause Notice No. M.W/70/2011 Dt. 01.03.2012.

Dt. 15.03.2012

We have received the above referred show cause notice on 09.03.2012. Please note that earlier notices which are connected to the above show cause notice are received by our earlier Manager Mr. Shanker Reddy but the same has neither been given nor informed to the Management. Now that person is absconding and not reporting to the office.

Due to the above reason we are not aware of the notices issued by you.

Based on the above reason we request you to re-open our file and give an opportunity for personal hearing.

Please consider our request and give us opportunity for personal hearing at an early date.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully, For Modi Ventures,

(Soham Modi)



GOVERNMENT OF ANDERSH PRADESH

OFFICE OF THE JOINT COMMSSIONER OF LABOUR, RANGREDDY ZONE, HYDERABAD AND AUTHORY UNDER HYDER WAGES ACT, 1948, Y. ANJAIAH KARMIKA SANKSHEMA BHAVA BENHUD DEVI OMM, RTC 'X' ROADS, HYDERABAD.

Show-Cause-Notice

No. M.W. / 70/2011

Dated 01.03.2012

Sub: M.W.Act, 1948-Non-Compliance of the Orders of the Authority under Minimum wages Act, 1948 and Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy Zone, Hyderabad-Regarding.

Ref:- Order passed in M. W.No.70/2011 Dated 23.01.2012 by the Authority under M.W. Act and Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy Zone, Hyderabad.

Order have been passed by this Authority under Minimum Wages Act and Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy Zone, Hyderabad in the above case on 28.06.2011 directing the respondents Sri. Sohan Modi, Managing Director, Modi Properties Investments(P) Ltd, Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venture, Mallapur, Rangareddy District to deposit an amount of Rs.11,67,337.05/-(Rupees Eleven Lakhs Sixty Seven Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Seven and Five Paise Only).

But, the respondent Sri. Sohan Modi, Managing Director, M/s Modi Properties Investments(P) Ltd, Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venture, Mallapur, Rangareddy District has failed to deposit the above awarded amount within the stipulated time.

Therefore, he is directed to Show-Cause as to why the awarded amount should not be recovered from him under Section 20(5) of Minimum Wages Act, as a fine imposed by the Magistrate. The reply to the show-Cause Notice should reach to this office within (7) days from the date of this notice.

₩~

Authority under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 & Authority Commissioner of Labour?

Rangareday Zone, Hyderabad.

Ranga Raddy Zone, Hyderabad.

 $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{G}$

Sri. Sohan Modi. Managing Director, M/s Modi Properties Investments(P) Ltd, Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venture, Mallapur, Rangareddy District Reg Office 5-4-187/3&4, M.G. Road, Secunderabad. GOVERNMENT SANDHRA PRADESH

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE JOINTACOMMS SIONER OF LABOUR, RANGREDDY ZONE,
HYDERABAD AND AUTHORY LINES MINLOW WAGES ACT, 1948, Y. ANJAIAH
KARMIKA SANKSHEMA BHAVAN BENNING DEVI OMM, RTC 'X' ROADS, HYDERABAD.

Show-Cause-Notice

No. M.W. / 70/2011

Dated 01.03.2012

Sub: M.W.Act, 1948-Non-Compliance of the Orders of the Authority under Minimum wages Act, 1948 and Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy Zone, Hyderabad-Regarding.

Ref:- Order passed in M.W.No.70/2011 Dated 23.01.2012 by the Authority under M.W. Act and Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy Zone, Hyderabad.

Order have been passed by this Authority under Minimum Wages Act and Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy Zone, Hyderabad in the above case on 28.06.2011 directing the respondents Sri. Sohan Modi, Managing Director, M/s Modi Properties Investments(P) Ltd, Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venture, Rangareddy District to deposit an amount of Rs.11,67,337.05/-(Rupees Eleven Lakhs Sixty Seven Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Seven and Five Paise Only).

But, the respondent Sri. Sohan Modi, Managing Director, M/s Modi Properties Investments(P) Ltd, Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venture, Mallapur, Rangareddy District has failed to deposit the above awarded amount within the

Therefore, he is directed to Show-Cause as to why the awarded amount should not be recovered from him under Section 20(5) of Minimum Wages Act, as a fine imposed by the Magistrate. The reply to the show-Cause Notice should reach to this office within (7) days from the date of this notice.

Authority under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 & Authority Chimits Ione for Labour 43, Ranga Roddy Zone, Hyderabad.

To Ranga Reddy Zone, Hyderabad Ranga Reddy Zone, Hyderabad Ranga Reddy Zone, Hyderabad.

Sri. Sohan Modi. Managing Director .

M/s Modi Properties Investments(P) Ltd,
Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venture, Mallapur, Rangareddy District Reg Office 5-4-187/3&4, M.G. Road, Secunderabad.



BEFORE THE AUTHORITY UNDER MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 & JOINT COMMISSIONER OF LABOUR, RANGAREDDY ZONE, T. ANJAIAH KARMIKA SANKSHEMA BHAVAN, RTC 'X' ROAD, HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

P.V. MURALISAGAR

STATION:

HYDERABAD

M.W. CASE NO 70/2011

Between:
The Asst. Commissioner of Labour
Rangareddy
And
Sri. Sohan Modi, Managing Director
M/s Modi Properties Investments(P) Ltd,
Gulmohar Gardens, Modi Venture,
Mallapur, Rangareddy District.

... Respondent.

..... Applicant.

ORDER:

This an application filed under Section 20 of Minimum Wages Act, 1948 by the Inspector & Asst. Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy claiming a difference of minimum wages amounting to Rs. 2,33,467-05/- payable by the Respondent to the 31 employees employed in the Respondent's establishment.

Notice dated 13.06.2011 was issued to the Respondent for hearing the application by posting the matter on 29.06.2011 and therefore the matter was posted for filing counter by the Respondent on 16.07.2011, 23.07.2011, 04.08.2011, 11.08.2011, 20.08.2011, 29.11.2011, 17.09.2011, 03.11.2011, 08.11.2011, 14.11.2011 and 22.11.2011 Inspite of giving several hearings the respondent has not attended the hearings and also not filed the counter. As the respondent repeatedly absenting the hearings and also no representation for him, he was set exparte on 22.11.2011 and posted the matter on 09.12.2011 for Applicant evidence.

The Applicant filed an Affidavit in lieu of Chief Examination. In his Affidavit in lieu of Chief Examination the applicant has stated that he is the notified Inspector u/s 20 of the Minimum Wages Act. Further it is stated that in compliance with the instructions of the higher Authorities he inspected the Respondent establishment situated at Mallapur, Rangareddy 19, 199, 199, 199, 201, 201. The respondent was carrying out the work which is a scheduled employment specified in part-I u/s 2(g) and Sec. 27 of the Minimum Wages

to attend the proceedings before this Authority to rectify the anomaly. It indicates his indifference towards payment of minimum wages to the workers employed by him. Therefore I opine it a fit case to impose compensation. Accordingly 4 times of the total amount of difference of minimum wages claimed in this case is imposed as compensation.

The Respondent is therefore directed to deposit the claim amount of Rs.,2,33,467=05 together with 4 times of compensation of Rs.9,33,870 and in all the total sum of Rs.11,67,337=05/- (Rupees Eleven Lakhs Sixty Seven Thousand Three Hundred Thirty Seven and Five Paisa Only) by way of demand draft payable in any Nationalised Bank in favour of the Authority under Minimum Wages Act and Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy Zone, Hyderabad with in 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

Given under my hand and seal of this Authority on this 23rd day of January, 2012.

Authority under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 & Joint Commissioner of Labour,

Authority under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 & Joint Commissioner of Labour,

& Joint Commissioner of Hyderabad.

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCES WITNESSES EXAMINED

For Applicant:

AW1-Sri.Mohd. Shabbir

Asst.Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy

For Respondent

Nil

EXHIBTS MARKED

For Applicant:

Ex A-1- Inspection report

Ex A-2 - Employees Statement

Ex A-3- G.O.Ms.No. 85 of LET&F Department

Dated 22.09.2007.

For Respondent

Nil

Authority under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 & Authorit Curanissisme wof Lappyr,
Rangareddy Zone, Hydenabad.
Ranga weddy Zone, meraoad.

То

The Parties concerned.

3

BEFORE THE AUTHORITY UNDER MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 AND JOINT COMMISSIONER OF LABOUR, RANGAREDDY ZONE, TAKS BHAVAN, RTC'X' ROADS; HTDERAL AD -20.

Between:

Asst.Commissioner of Labour Rangareddy

And
Sri. Sohan Modi, Managing Director,
M/s Modi Properties& Investments Pvt. Ltd,
Regd. Office 5-4-187/3&4, 2nd Floor,
Sohan Manshion
M.G.Road, Secundrebad.

... Respondents

... Applicant

M.W. NO. 70 /2011

Whereas a claim has been instituted against you for non-payment of minimum wages to the under Section -20(2) of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 to the workers engaged at your work site Gulmohar Gardens, Modi ventures, Mallapur, Rangareddy District (A copy of the application is enclosed).

Take notice that if you wish to disclaim any interest in the subject matter of the application or consider that the applicants particulars are in any respect inaccurate or desire to bring any fact or document to the notice of the Authority or intend to rely on any fact or deny (wholly or partially) your liability to pay the wages under Minimum Wages Act you must file with the Authority such answer.

Take notice that the above case is posted on 16.07.2011 at 3-00PM you are therefore directed to appear before this court on the above said date either in person or through advocate duly authorized by you.

No further date of hearing will be intimated. You should find the dates of hearing from the office of this court from time to time and attend accordingly.

If you fail to attend the hearing case will be proceeded with and decided in your absence.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on the day of 8th day of July of 2011.

Authority under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 & Joint Commissioner of Labour, Rutherity H. Worksholder

To As above Joint Commissioner of Labour, Authority H. 18 20 ne Fry Werschalds, & Joint Commissioner of Labour Ranga Reddy Zone, Hydersbad.

And the second

By Regd.Post with Ack. Due BEFORE THE AUTHORITY UNDER MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 AND JOINT COMMISSIONER OF LABOUR, RANGAREDDY ZONE, TAKS BHAVAN, RTC'X' ROADS, HYDERABAD -20.

M.W. NO. 70 /2011

Between:

Asst.Commissioner of Labour

Rangareddy

And

Sri. Sohan Modi, Managing Director,

M/s Modi Properties& Investments Pvt. Ltd,

Gulmohar Gardens, Modiventures,

Mallapur, Rangareddy District.

Applicant

... Respondents

Whereas a claim has been instituted against you for non-payment of minimum wages under Section -20(2) of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (A copy of the application is enclosed).

Take notice that if you wish to disclaim any interest in the subject matter of the application or consider that the applicants particulars are in any respect inaccurate or desire to bring any fact or document to the notice of the Authority or intend to rely on any fact or deny (wholly or partially) your liability to pay the wages under Minimum Wages Act you must file with the Authority such answer.

Take notice that the above case is posted on 29.06.2011 at 3-00PM you are therefore directed to appear before this court on the above said date either in person or through advocate duly authorized by you.

No further date of hearing will be intimated. You should find the dates of hearing from the office of this court from time to time and attend accordingly.

If you fail to attend the hearing case will be proceeded with and decided in your absence.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on the day of 13th June 4---/

of 2011.

Authority under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 &

Joint Commissioner of Labour, Athority U/S 20 70 of MHy decadad:

To As above Ranga Reddy Zone, Hyuchand.

Ranga Red

E 1975/2011 13/6/2017

(Form of Application by the Inspector or person acting with the permission of the Authority under Section 20) In the Court of the Authority appointed under the Minimum Wages Act. 1948, for area.. joint uninimer glavour, Rangardy zne Application No. Inspector under Minimum Wages Act. 1948 Applicant Commissioner and Asst. Inspector of Labour, Gircle No. RR Hyderabad. 22. Colam Model W.D. HICMARLEDASperties & Trylest Apponent Pat W. Gulmohan Gardens' Modivertines. Mollopus
The applicant above-named begs respectfully to submit as fellows; 1. That above named apponent is Employer of MIMPLU MAPERIA PLANT WAY which is a schedule employment specified in the schedule under Part 1 under Section 2 $_{
m (G)}$ and (27) of the Act. 2. That the above apponent is person responsible for payment of wages to the persons emplayed in the said establishment as per G.O. Ms; No.....Dt. 22.09-200) The apponent is bound to pay wages at the Minimum rate of wages fixed by Govt. but he has paid less wages to the following employees (as per statement enclosed) The applicant estimates the value of the relief sought for employees at the sum of Rs 2 33,947.7.6 S in words It is Lables Hung by Hung Hung and Hand The applicant prays that a direction may be issued under Sub-Section (3) of Section 20 for the M (a) Payment of the difference between the wages due according to the minimum rate of wages fixed by Government and the wages actually paid and (b) Compensation amounting to Rs. As deen fit The applicant begs leave to amend or add to or make alteration in the application if and when necessary Asst. Comm**issioner of Labour** 9-6-2011 Dated: RangasRectty The applicant does solemnly declare that what is stated above is true to the best of his knowledge, belief and information this verification is Huderalisal on 9-6 201

		ް					
					Name of the Employee	A Payable as per (Publisher)	
					Pesignation (Control of Control o	to Employees in R O. Ms. No A.A., I'm A.P. Gazette No	
	& 3 6 3				Payable Wages	Payable to Employees in Respect of M/s - Mis-did find as pen G. O. Ms. No 名文 - 2分子 22 で 3 - 2分分 Published in A.P. Gazette No . 以 b.を Date じ いいつか	
2 2					Wages Already Paid Per Month		
	2	\$\frac{5}{2}	26-25 05-6h		Difference of Amount Per month	PROBLEM DENTURED	
[30.267	(App.	22 kg	Rop (e)	7	Pased		
	\$ 2	142505 142505 143541	00-5091. 00-9211 00-8211		Total F	A LAS	
				9	Re- marks		A COMMENT OF A COM

					Name of the Employee	Fayable Committee Committe	e Assistant Labour Officer
					Desgnation which we have a second control of the co	as per G.O. Ms. No	
			02-9ac		Payable Wages V.D.A	Special distribution of the control	
	7 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	E S	12000 12000		Wages Already Paid Per Month		DERENCE OF WAGES
45 38	\$7-30 36-30		ex95		Difference of Amount Per month		NCE OF WAGES:
sode;	الدال جار		Congress (e de la companya de La companya de la co	8		
102-50 102-50 103-50 10	24369 24369 243760	2046b 2048h 68 2025460	44461 446468		Difference Company of the Company of		
				10 W. Arthur			

			4-50-45 Call 00-4524 May 14248	Employee Designation Wages	Land Carlot Carl
16 dy 1 4 8 d 2 d 3 d 3 d 3 d 3 d 3 d 3 d 3 d 3 d 3	102222 26303 - 12222 750520	2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Period Reprint	

5 and Reference たさら I per Madran Assistant Labour Officer Billionard Name of the Employee Payable to Employees in Respect of M/s STATEMENT SHOWING THE DIFFERENCE OF WAGES Published in A.P. Gazette No.... as per G. O. Ms. No..... でする ---OWING THE DIFFERENCE OF WAGES Designation Successor Supra 2010-8 6683-75 300000 -2953-7 Cak personal ray of supra 2010-8 Sc. 5399 969 988 05-1-CE 08000 ο Ο Ο Ο Wages Payable くりき 100000 POST 56-5511 OG OGSS 2028-2- | advaste 8755-1928-1937-19 533 8 3 8 3 Wages Already Paid Per Month 200 3. 8 のの いのの Difference of Amount Per month 19 3 3 E 4 B 4 B 130 И З <u>.</u> Period 956854 グードイン 100 4.20 M 2,953.95 アンドン 三年など 914960 2000 PA りとめる Total Difference ga-969 3 2 3

	Verticate 11. Salvado Pomiso				2		Zamen in the second of the sec	Publisie	Assistant Labour Officer STA	
	ON CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF T			Leury.	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			as per G. O. Ms. No	STATEMENT SHOWING T	
8	23 73 70	682200 Garreo	5C2599	26-1599 15-1599		Wages V.D.A.	Payable	Date	STATEMENT SHOWING THE DIFFERENCE OF WAGES Pavable to Employees in Respect of M/s	
	8	9200 J.S. 9200 J.S.	2 C C G G C S	ceash		Aiready Paid Per Month	Wages		PFERENCE	
	250	9 5 3 A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	7 C S S E C	-xc-55Hc	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	Per month	Difference		OFWAGES	
	2) Res			12 Z	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4				
0 2	<u> </u>	3 43pmc	2488-00 2488-00	50.85.12 50.85.12				Dale		
					8					

INSPECTION REPORT UNDER BUILDING AND OTHER CONSTRUCTION WORKERS SEGULATION OF EMPLOYMENT AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICES ACT, 1996

DATE: 09-05-2011

1/

TIME : 4.30pm

Name & Address of the Establishment

Grul mohan Gander

Modi Vendus.

Name & Address of the site owner Phone No.

Hodi Property & Sunsta 25 BW. So. Soham todi, M.S.

- 3 a) Name of the Employer (Contractor) & :
 - b) Names of the Sub-Contractors and their : address and Phone No.
- Whether Registered the establishment under Section 7 of the Act.
 - 5 Registration Certificate No.

7

10 A displayed

No.of Building workers / working in each

Male : 43

Female:

Total

(L)

Whether hours for normal working day is fixed under Rule 28.

-- No.-

Whether wholesome drinking water is provided under Sec. 32 of the Act, 1996.

- yes-

Whether provided sufficient no. of latrines & urinals under Sec. 33 of the Act, R.W.R 243.

- yan -

Whether accommodation free of charge provided within the work site under Section 34.

Whether creches provided under Sec. 35 : (where more than 50 Female worker are working)

Whether First Aid facilities provided at the : work place under Sec. 36

Q70

13	Whether canteen facilities provided under Section 37, R.W.R 244 (where 250 werkers are working at workplace)	: -No-
14	Whether notice intimating about the commencement of work to the concerned Inspector under Sec. 46 and R.W.R 239.	·>0-
15	What are the safety measures provided as required under Sec. 40 as under:-Rule 34 Excessive noise vibration. Rule 35 Fire protection. Rule 36 Emergency action plan. Rule 37 Fencing of motors. Rule 47 Electrical Hazard. Rule 47 Electrical Hazard. Rule 49 Ensuring stability of structure. Rule 54 Use of safety helmets & shoes. Rule 39 Whether approved health & safety policy adopted by the employer (50 or more workers).	-NONONONONONONONO-
16	Whether the following registers and records maintained as required under Sec. 40: Register of Muster Roll in Form No. XVI under Rule 241.	
· •	ii. Register of Wages in Form No.XVII. iii. Register of overtime in Form No.XXII iv. Whether issuing service certificate to each worker in Form No.XXIV on termination of service on account of completion of work	
17	Whether Annual Return in Form No.XXV sent to the Registering Officer for the end of Calendar year under Section 57.	: No
18	Whether a notice of wages displayed or not under Rule 249.	· ~ ~ ·
19 .	Whether minimum wages paid	: - No-
20	Whether overtime wages paid.	:~
21	Remarks	
	SHUTNAVIGON 109/014 198/1984 199/014 1	
	MODI VENTUI	
Egyfofo dir air faro di mona, a camaca e		

ार्<u>ग स्ट</u>

Inspertin Report

2.15 Jan.

Me. Modi Ventures (Modi Properties 2 Investments Put. 141) Shotel Sai wager, Mallapur. Gulmohar Gardens. F-104,

Engliner: Sr. Soham Modi, M.D.

value gronomers: Marketip office.

No of Emphanees! - 4- fasse stroff

Ins reeled !

ma Product our demand -

- Righted ways in form xxiii mos The dues our dervas

- Register of come in fomper and frodus on demant

mo furnished.

for inspection.

Rie, net obtaine d.

(170

Minimum bogs Art

Loge ships not visus to the

employees.

Absolved of Himinmum ways

and displayed.

Lobus hartfal fund runithans

particulars and turnished.

Particulars and turnished.

Remarks. Profeet Hanager

Resert at The Lime of wish.

project Market Carly

(Jelingh)

Sand (Sand)

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRAPRADESH

Assistant Labour Office

Office of the **Nacitar Amenica** of Labour Rangareddy District, T. Anjaiah Karmika Sankshema Bhavan, RTC Roads, Hyderabad – 20.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Under the Building and other Construction Workers (Regulation of Employment and conditions of services) Act, 1996 and Rules, 1999.

No. ALO[NCM] (Lew) 01/2011

Dated: 26-05-1211

Upon on a recent inspection of your construction work site on <u>DP-DS-2011</u> at <u>U-20 () it</u> was found to the extent indicated below, that certain provision of the Building and Other Construction Workers (Regulation of Employment and conditions of services) Act, 1996 and Rules made there under were not being arried out you are therefore called upon to show cause in writing within 7 days as to why necessary penal action under the Act should not be initiated against you.

Inspector under the Building & Other Construction Workers (Regulation of Employment & conditions of Services) Act

To So. Soham Hodi, McHarmer DIST, MJ. Modi Properties & Innertments (P) LW. Ste: Gulmohar Garden, Mallapine Hoderator J.

1	Section 7 R.W.R.23	Failed to make an application for Registration of your establishment.
· 		
$\frac{2}{2}$	Section 30 R.W.R.	Failed to maintain and produce the following
	241(1)(a)	registers on demand.
		ix) Muster roll in Form No.XVI
		x) Register of wages in form No.XXII
		Register of overtime in Form No.XXIII
		xii) Failed to issue Service certificates to each
		worker in form No.XXIV and termination of
		service on account of completion of work.
3	Section .R.W.R 33-B1	Failed to issue identity cards to your workers
4/	Section 46	Failed to intimate about the commencement of the
	P.W.R.239	work to the concerned Inspector.
5/	Section 30	
	R.W.R.249	wages etc., was not displayed at construction site of
		your establishment.

6	Section	
ľ	R.W.R.243	1. GIIGU III NEGGIA
7	Section 34 of the Act	urinals at the work site.
j	of of the Act	Transulti hravida for
		Failed to provide, free of charge & with in the work site or near to it, as may be possible at
8	Section 25 - CH	Taccommodation , as possible, temporary l
9	Section 35 of the Act	Falled to provide
10	Section 36 of the Act	Failed to provide, First Aid facilities at the work site. Failed to provide, wholesome drinking.
	Section 32 of the Act	Failed to provide, First Aid facilities at the work site
11	- Coati	Failed to provide, wholesome drinking water for your
' '	Section 40 R.W.R.34	Failed to work site.
12		Failed to provide, excessive noise, vibration
13	Section 40 R.W.R.35	
113	Section 40 R.W.R.36	
		Failed to provide, emergency action plan at the
14	Section 37	work site.
	<u> R.</u> W.R.244	Failed to provide, canteen facilities at the work site.
15	Section 40 R.W.R.37	Table work site.
16	Section 40 R.W.R.45	Failed to provide, fencing of motors at the work site.
17	Section 40 R.W.R.47	Failed to provide, Eye protection at the work site. Failed to provide, electrical hazard protection.
	1 40 H.W.R.47	Failed to provide, electrical hazard protection at the work site. work site.
18	Section 40 R.W.R.49	work site
	40 R.W.R.49	Failed to provide enquire
119	Section 40 Day	Failed to provide, ensuring stability of structure at
	Section 40 R.W.R.54	Failed to provide was a
20	Sootlando	Failed to provide, use of safety Helmets & shoes to
	Section 40 R.W.R.39	Whether approved the same state of the same stat
121	8	Whether approved health and safety policy adopted by the employer (50 or more workers)
151	Section 57 of the Act	by the employer (50 or more workers).
L		Failed to submit of Annual Return in Form No.XXV to
		the Registering Officer for the end of calendar year.
		- Journal year.

Inspector under the Building & Other Construction Workers (Regulation of Employment & conditions of Services) Act Assistant Labour, R.R.Dist.

GOVERNMENT OF ANOHRAPRADESH

F THE ASSISTED HAS BEEN ASSISTED OF LABOUR, RANGAREDDY AT HYDERABAD.

NACHARAM SHOW PAUSE NOTICE

THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 AND THE ANDHRA PRADESH OFFICE OF THE ASSISTED IN

MINIUM WAGES RULES, 1980 * NO. ALD NOM 03 2011

Dated 26-05-2011

· Employer of Modif Property

Site: Gulmohow Garden, hallopin, Hoderah

Sír,

Upon on a recent inspection of your Shop/Establishment on 69-05-0011 at M.W.Act,1980 and Rules made there under were not being carried out. You are therefore called upon to Show Cause in writing within (7^-) days as to why necessary penal action under the Act should not be initiated against you in the appropriate court of Law for the indicated below mentioned contraventions.

ORDER UNDER MINIMUM WAGES ACT 1948 AND THE AND **MINIMUM WAGES RULE 1980**

1. SECTION 12,Read with G.o Ms No. 85, dw 22

Filed to pay to every employee engaged in your Establishment/Shop/Agriculture land holding the wages at a rate not less than the minimum rate of wages fixed by notification issued in the G.O cited for that class of employees employed under your employment without any deduction except those authorized and in accordance with Rule 32.

Kule 23:

- (1) Failed to Exhibit in English and Telugu a notice in Form No. XII containing the minimum rate of wages fixed for workers under the act in respect of your employment together with in prescribed abstracts of the Act and Rules.
- Failed to Exhibit a notice showing the name and address of the inspector in English and in Telugu, the particulars of which are as follows:

Name of the Inspector

ADDRESS: ASST.Commissioner of Labour& Inspector under M.W. Act.1948, Rnagareddy Dist

3. Rule:29

(1) Failed to pay to workers it workers it worked for more than nine hours in a day of 48 hours in a week, in the case of employment in agriculture wages at one and a half times the ordinary rates of wages and at twice the ordinary rate in case of any other

Rule: 20

(1) Failed to maintain a register showing overtime payments made in the Form No.IV.

5. Rule 30 (1)

(1) Failed to maintain a register of wages in Form No X always up to date with all the particulars prescribed.

Rule 30 (1)

Failed to issue wages slip in Form No XI to all the following employees employed by you atleast a day prior to the date of disbursement of wages. Kule 30 (3)

Failed to obtain the signature or thumb-Impression of all the following employees employed in the Register of wages and wage slips at the time of payment wages.

Rule 31

Failed to keep a Muster Roll in the presided Form No. V at the work-Spot or the principal office attached to it, and maintain it up to date.

Failed to keep always the registers, records and notices maintained and exhibited under the provisions of Rules available at or as near as practicable to the site of employment and produce them to the inspectors of inspection.

> Assistant Labour Office OUR NACHARAMAR ERDDIST.