



सीमाशुल्क, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क व सेवा कर आयुक्त का कार्यालय
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS, CENTRAL EXCISE & SERVICE TAX
हैदराबाद II आयुक्तालय HYDERABAAD II COMMISSIONERATE

11-5-423/1/A:: सीताराम प्रसाद टावर:: रेड हिलस:: हैदराबाद - 4
SITARAM PRASAD TOWERS:: RED HILLS:: HYDERABAD- 4

OR No: 52/2012 – Adjn (Addl. Commr.)
C.No: IV/16/ 197 /2011-S.Tax.Gr.X

Dt. 24.04.2012

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Sub:: Service Tax – Non payment of Service tax on taxable services rendered by M/s Greenwood Estates – Issue of Show Cause Notice - Regarding.

M/s. Greenwood Estates, #5-4-187/3&4, II Floor, Soham Mansion, MG Road, Secunderabad-500 003 (here-in-after referred as “ **Greenwood** ” or the assessee(s)), The said assessee is registered partnership firm and got themselves registered with the department for payment of Service Tax vide **Service Tax Registration Number AANFA5250FST001**.

2. A Show Cause Notice vide **HQPOR No. 77/2010-Adjn(ST) dt. 21.5.2010** was issued for the period from **January 2009 to December 2009** involving an amount of **Rs. 947737/-** including cess and the same has been adjudicated and confirmed vide **Order-In-Original No:47/2010-ST dt. 24.11.2010**. Further, show cause notice has been issued for the period **January 2010 to December 2010** for an amount of **Rs. 4800391/-** vide **OR No. 61/2011 dt. 23.4.2011**. The present notice is issued in sequel to the same for the period from **January 2011 to December 2011**.

3. As seen from the records, the assessee entered into 1) a sale deed for sale of undivided portion of land together with semi finished portion of the flat and 2) an agreement for construction, with their customers. On execution of the sale deed the right in a property got transferred to the customer, hence the construction service rendered by the assesses

OR No. 52/2012 –Adjn (ST)(ADC)
C.No. IV/16/197/2011-Gr-X

thereafter to their customers under agreement of construction are taxable under Service tax as there exists service provider and receiver relationship between them. As there involved the transfer of property in goods in execution of the said construction agreements, it appears that the services rendered by them after execution of sale deed against agreements of construction to each of their customers to whom the land was already sold vide sale deed **are taxable services under “Works Contract Service”**

4. As per **Section 65 (105) (zzzza) of the Finance Act, 1994** defines that **“taxable service”** means any service provided or to be provided – to any person, by any other person, in relation to the execution of a Works contract, excluding works contract in respect of roads, airports, railways, transport terminals, bridges, tunnels and dams’.

Explanation: For the purposes of this sub-clause, “works contract” means a contract wherein, -

- (i) transfer of property in goods involved in the execution of such contract is leviable to tax as sale of goods, and
- (ii) such contract is for the purposes of carrying out, -
 - (a) erection, commissioning or installation of plant, machinery, equipment or structures, whether pre-fabricated or otherwise,
 - (b) construction of a new building or a civil structure or a part thereof, or of a pipeline or conduit, primarily for the purposes of commerce or industry; or
 - (c) construction of a new residential complex or a part thereof; or
 - (d) completion and finishing services, repair, alteration, renovation or restoration of, or similar services, in relation to (b) and (c); or
 - (e) turnkey projects including engineering, procurement and construction or commissioning (EPC) projects.”

4.1 An optional Composition Scheme for payment of Service Tax in relation to Works Contract Service is provided by the **Notification No.32/2007-ST dated 22-5-2007**, effective from 01-6-2007, under the **‘Works Contract (Composition Scheme for Payment of Service Tax) Rules, 2007**. Under the said scheme, an assessee has to pay an amount

equivalent to two percent of the gross amount charged for the Works Contract, excluding the Value Added Tax (VAT) or Sales Tax paid on transfer of property of goods involved in the execution of Works Contract. W.e.f. 1-3-2008 onwards, the said **rate of 2 % is changed to 4% vide Notification No.7/2008-S.T. dated 1-3-2008.**

5. **M/s Greenwood** vide their statement received in this office on **07.02.2012** has submitted the Flat-wise amounts received for the period from **January 2011 to December 2011**. The total consideration received by them is **Rs. 11,36,37,141/-** during the period and are liable to pay service tax including cess on the same works out to **Rs. 46,81,850/-** and the interest at appropriate rates under “**Works Contract Service**” respectively. Further, the assessee has submitted service tax paid challan copy for an amount of **Rs.5,98,671/-** vide **challan dt. 27.11.2011.**

6. **M/s Greenwood Estates** registered with the service tax department and not discharging the service tax liability properly and also not filing the ST-3 returns, which are mandatory as per Service Tax Rules made there under. On verification of the records, it is found that **M/s Greenwood Estates** have undertaken a single venture by name **M/s Greenwood Estates** located at **Kowkur Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, RR District** and received amount from customers from towards sale of land and agreement of construction for the said period.

7. **M/s Greenwood** are well aware of the provisions and of liability of service tax on receipts as result of these agreements for construction and have not assessed and paid service tax properly. They have not filed the ST-3 returns for the half-year ending March 2011. Hence, the service tax payable by **M/s Greenwood** appears to be recovered under **Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act 1994.**

8. From the foregoing, it appears that **M/s Greenwood Estates, 5-4-187/3 & 4, II Floor, MG Road, Secunderabad-3** have contravened the provisions of **Section 68 of the Finance Act 1994** read with **Rule 6 of the Service Tax Rules, 1994** in as much as they have not paid the appropriate amount of service tax on the value of the taxable services

and **Section 70 of the Finance Act 1994 read with Rule 7 of the Service Tax Rules 1994** in as much as they have not filed statutory

returns for the taxable services rendered and also did not truly and correctly assess the tax due on the services provided by them and also did not disclose the relevant details/information. Hence, the payment of service tax is liable for recovery under provisions of the **Section 73(1) of the Finance Act 1994** and they are liable for penal action under **Section 76 of the Finance Act, 1994 and 77 of the Finance Act 1994**. Further, the assessee is liable for interest under **Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994**.

9. Therefore, **M/s Greenwood Estates**, are hereby required to show cause to the **Additional Commissioner of Customs, Central Excise & Service Tax, Hyderabad-II Commissionerate, Hyderabad**, within **30 (thirty) days** of receipt of this Notice as to why:-

- (i) an amount of **Rs. 46,81,850/- (Rupees Forty six lakhs eighty one thousand eight hundred fifty only)** including cess should not be demanded on the “**works contract**” service under the **Sub-Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act 1994 for the period from January 2011 to December 2011. An amount of Rs.5,98,671/- vide challan dt. 27.11.2011 paid by them** should not be adjusted against the demand discussed supra; and
- (ii) Interest is not payable by them on the amount demanded at (i) above under **Section 75 of the Finance Act 1994**; and
- (iii) Penalty should not be imposed on them under **Section 77 of the Finance Act 1994** for the contravention of Rules and provisions of the Finance Act 1994 ; and
- (iv) Penalty should not be imposed on them under **Section 76 of the Finance Act 1994** .

10. **M/s Greenwood Estates, Hyderabad** at the time of showing cause, as above, are required to produce all the evidence upon which they intend to rely in their defence. They are also required to indicate in their written reply whether they wish to be heard in person before the case is adjudicated. If no cause is shown against the action proposed to be taken within the stipulated time or having desired a hearing if they do not appear for the personal hearing on the appointed day & time, the case will be decided on merits, basing on the material/evidence available on record.

OR No. 52/2012 –Adjn (ST)(ADC)
C.No. IV/16/197/2011-Gr-X

11. This notice is issued without prejudice to any other action that may be taken against the noticees / others under the Finance Act, 1994 or under any other law for the time being in force in India.

12. Reliance for issue of this notice is placed on the following:

- (i) Statement submitted by **M/s Greenwood Estates** and received in this office on 08.02.2012.


(**G.SREEHARSHA**)
ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER

Place: Hyderabad
Date: .04.2012

To:
M/s Greenwood Estates,
5-4-187/3 & 4, IInd Floor, MG Road, Secunderabad – 500 003

Copy submitted to the Superintendent (Adjudication),Hyd-II
Commra'ate, Hyd
Copy to the Superintendent , Group – X, Hyd-II Commr'ate, Hyd.
Spare copy.

