ALRANIA SANSKAMRENY COARIBROSSI SANSKAM

STORY STOKA SCINTILLA

To, The Appellate Dy. Commissioner (CT) Punjagutta Division, Hyderabad.

Sir.

Sub:- Filing the appeal in the case of Mrs. Paramount Estates, Hyderabad. - For the Period – 2015-2016/CST - reg.

Please find enclosed herewith the following appeal papers:

L. Form APP 100

2 copies

2. Grounds of Appeal

2 copies.

- 3. Challan no.6101172156 dt.16/7/2021 for Rs.1000/- towards appeal fees.
- Final assessment order no.52721 dt.31/3//2020 passed by Deputy Commercial Tax Officer M.G.Road - S.D.Road Circle Begumper Division, Hyderabad, Original along with Xerox copy
- 5. Copy of the proof of payment of 12.5% Disputed.
- 6. Form APP 400A
- 7. Form APP 406

2 copies.

Thanking you Yours sincerely

du Harman

M.Ramachandra Murthy Chartered Accountant

2021

FORM APP 400 FORM OF APPEAL UNDER SECTION 31

[See Rule 38(2)(a)]

Appeal Office Address 1.

:The Appellate Dy. Commissioner (CT)

Punjagutta Division, Hyderabad

2. TIN/GRN :36604868066

3. Name & Address : M/s.Paramount Estates

5-4-187/3 and 4, Soham Mansion,

M.G. Road, Secunderabad

I wish to appeal the following decision / 4.

assessment received from the tax office on

:17/06/2021

5. Date of filing of appeal : /07/2021

Reasons for delay (if applicable enclose a 6.

separate sheet

: Not Applicable

Tax Period / Tax Periods 7.

: 2015-16/CST

8. Tax Office decision / assessment Order No. : Final assessment order no.52721

dt.31/03/2020 passed by

Deputy Commercial Tax Officer, M.G. Road - S.D. Road Circle, Begumpet Division, Hyderabad.

9. Grounds of the appeal (use separate sheet

if space is insufficient

: Separately Enclosed

If turnover is disputed

Disputed turnover a)

: Rs.8,41,150 @ 14.5%

b) Tax on the disputed turnover : Rs.1,21,967/-

If rate of tax is disputed

a) Turnover involved : NIL

Amount of tax disputed b)

: NIL

11. 12.5% of the above disputed Tax paid

: Rs.15,246/-

Note: Any other relief claimed

: Other grounds that may be urged at the

time of hearing.

12. Payment Details: a)Challan / Instrument No. b)Date c)Bank / Treasury d)Branch Code e)Amount **TOTAL Declaration:** hereby declare that the information provided on this form to the best of my knowledge is true and accurate. Signature of the Appellant & Stamp Date of declaration Name Designation: Please Note: A false declaration is an offence. *****

(The payment particulars are to be enclosed if ready paid along with the reasons on Form APP 400A)

M/S. PARAMOUNT ESTATES HYDERABAD.

2015-16/CST

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

- The appellant is a registered VAT dealer on the rolls of the Commercial
 Tax Officer, M.G.Road S.D.Road Circle, Begumpet Division, Hyderabad
 and is engaged in the business of constructing and selling apartments,
 villas etc.
- 2. The appellant has regularly filed its VAT and CST returns and paid tax under the VAT Act on the corresponding turnovers. The appellant do not have any turnover under the CST Act as the appellant is in the business of constructing and selling apartments, villas etc. However for use in the construction of apartments/villas, the appellant purchased goods from outside the States and for this purpose of getting the goods in to the State, used advance way bills.
- 3. The Deputy Commercial Tax Officer, M.G.Road S.D.Road Circle (herein after referred to as DCTO) has passed the assessment order for the year 2015-16 under the CST Act by determining Gross and Net Turnovers as Rs.8,41,150/- and levied tax of Rs.1,21,967/- vide his Final Assessment order dated 31.03.2020.
- 4. Aggrieved by the Assessment order the appellant prefers the present appeal on the following grounds amongst others that may be urged at the time of hearing of the appeal.

GROUNDS OF APPEAL:

- 1. At the outset the appellant submits that the impugned assessment order is highly illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and against the facts and hence the assessment order is liable to be set aside.
- 2. It is submitted that the DCTO is not assessing authority under the CST Act for the appellant and CTO, M.G.Road S.D.Road Circle is only the assessing authority for the appellant as per the provisions of the CST Act and Rules and hence the impugned order is passed without jurisdiction and hence it is liable to be set asdie.
- 3. The appellant next submits that the learned DCTO claimed that show cause notice is issued to the appellant through email and since the appellant is not responded the impugned order is passed. In this regard it is submitted that as per Rule 64 of TVAT Rules the show cause notice is not served and the appellant is not aware of the issue of show cause notice.
- 4. The appellant submits that the learned DCTO concluded the final assessment proceedings and issued the impugned order dated 31.3.2020 by claiming that the appellant has not availed the opportunity for filing objections. It is submitted that the appellant is not aware of any notice issued under the CST Act. Even the Final assessment order signed copy is also not provided to the appellant till the appellant made a specific request in this regard. The appellant submits that the impugned

SEC'BA

order is passed against the principles of natural justice and hence it is liable to set aside.

- 5. The appellant also submits that they are in the business of constructing and selling apartments, Villas and the gross turnover determined in the assessment order is nothing but their inter State purchase of goods which are used in the construction of apartment/villas. The appellant submits they are liable to pay tax only on their sales but not on their inter State purchases. As the assessing authority wrongly adopted the way bill utilization of inter state purchase as taxable turnover, the same is liable is to set aside.
- 6. The appellant submits that as the impugned order is passed without verifying the books of account and by wrongly adopting the inter State purchases value as inter State sales, the same is liable to be set aside as illegal.

In view of the above grounds and the other grounds the appellant prays the Honourable Appellate Deputy Commissioner to set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal.

(APPELLANT

SEC'BAD

APPLICATION FOR STAY OF COLLECTION OF DISPUTED TAX

[Under Section 31(2) & 33(6)] [See Rule 39(1)]

01. Appeal Office Address:

To,

The Appellate Deputy Commissioner (CT)

Punjagutta Division,

Hyderabad

 Date
 Month
 Year

 09
 07
 2021

02 TIN **36604868066**

03. Name

: M/s. Paramount Estates

Address: 5-4-187/3 and 4, Soham Mansion,

M.G. Road, Secunderabad

04.	Tax period	2015-16/CST
05.	Authority passing the order or proceeding disputed.	Final assessment order no.52721 dt.31/03/2020 passed by Deputy Commercial Tax Officer, M.G. Road – S.D. Road Circle, Begumpet Division, Hyderabad
06	Date on which the order or proceeding was Communicated.	17/06/2021
07.	(1) (a) Tax assessed	Rs.1,21,967/-
	(b) Tax disputed	Rs.1,21,967/-
	(2) P enalty / Interest disputed	NIL
08	Amount for which stay is being sought	Rs.1,21,967/-
09.	Address to which the communications may be sent to the applicant.	M/s. Paramount Estates 5-4-187/3 and 4,Soham Mansion, M.G. Road, Secunderabad

Signature of the Dealer(s)

Signature of the Authorised Representatives if any

10. GROUNDS OF STAY

- 1.) Substantial question of facts and law that may arise in the appeal.
- 2.) The appellant will be hard hit if it is called upon to pay this heavy amount of tax pending disposal of the appeal.
- 3.) The grounds that are stated in the main appeal may kindly be read as grounds of this appeal.
- 4.) The appellant has already paid 12.5% of disputed tax for the purpose of admission of the appeal and hence it is requested grant stay on the balance disputed tax till the disposal of the appeal.
- 5.) In this regard the appellant relied on the latest decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a case wherein the Hon'ble Court dismissed the SLP filed against the order of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh & Telangana in the case of Deputy Commercial Tax Officer-I, Bhavanipuram Circle, Vijayawada Vs. Sri Dedeepriya Paints in Diary No.11711 of 2019 dt.22/04/2019.

The Honourable High Court of Andhra Pradesh & Telangana in its decision in WP No.20922 of 2018 dated 22.06.2018 in the case of Sri Dedeepriya Paints Vs Deputy Commercial Tax Officer-I, Bhavanipuram Circle, Vijayawada held as follows:-

- "When the petitioner concern already paid 12.5% of the disputed tax amount for the purpose of maintaining an appeal as required by law, it would be wholly unjust for the tax authorities to demand the balance of the disputed tax amount notwithstanding the pendency of the appeal".
- 6.) The appellant relied on the latest decision of the Honourable High Court of Telangana in the case of M/s. Capart Industries, Hyderabad in WP Nos.3954,3976,4089,4115,4518,4556 and 4577 of 2020, wherein it is held as follows:-
 - "4. Counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order of the Division Bench of this court in Sri Dedeepriya Pains Vs. Deputy Commercial Tax Officer I wherein a similar action on the part of the Department in proposing to collect the balance disputed tax through 12.5% of the disputed tax amount was already deposited with the Department pending appeal before the Appellate Deputy Commissioner fell for consideration. In that case, this court held that once the assesse had already paid 12.5% of the disputed tax amount for the purpose of maintaining an appeal as required by law, it would be wholly unjust for the tax authorities to demand the balance of the disputed tax amount notwithstanding the pendency of appeal.
 - 5. This above order was later confirmed by the Supreme Court in SLP (CIVIL)Diary No.11711 of 2019 on 22.04.2019.
 - 6. The special Government Pleader for Commercial Taxes appearing for respondents does not dispute **the principle laid down** in these cases.
 - 7. Since the petitioner had already paid 12.5% or more of the disputed tax pending appeals before the Appellate Deputy Commissioner and the Telangana VAT Appellate Tribunal, we are of the considered opinion that the respondents are not justified in refusing to grant the petitioner stay of collection of the balance disputed tax and issuing Garnishee orders to the Petitioner's banker for recover of the balance disputed tax".

Copy of the High Court order mentioned above is attached herewith

Hence it is just and necessary that the Appellate Dy. Commissioner (CT) may be pleased to grant stay of collection of the disputed tax of Rs.1,21,967/- pending disposal of the appeal.

VERIFICATION

I, Soham Satish Mode Signatory applicant (s) do hereby declare that what is stated above is true to the best of my / our knowledge and belief.

Verified today the _____day of July'2021

1

Signature of the Dealer(s)

SEC'BAD TA

Signature of the Authorised Representatives if any

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA COMMERCIAL TAXES DEPARTMENT Proceeding of Deputy Commercial Tax Officer Name: G VIJAYA LAKSHMI M.G.ROAD-S.D.ROAD Circle **BEGUMPET Division**



A.O.NO.52721

ASMT:CST/36604868066/15-16

Date: 31-03-2020

Name: PARAMOUNT ESTATES

TIN: 36604868066

Address:, 5-4-187/3 AND 4, SOHAM MANSION, M.G ROAD, SECUNDERABAD, NULL, Ranga Reddy,

36,500003

E-mail: jayaprakash@modiproperties.com

Mobile: 9502288200

FINAL ASSESSMENT ORDER

M/s PARAMOUNT ESTATES were informed that they have scored the following turnovers under the CST Act as per office records i.e., CST VI returns / VAT 200 returns / CST way bills / TINXSYS records for the period April 2015-March 2016, the highest of which was taken as assessable turnover in the SCN (as arrived in the annexure to SCN).

Interstate sales

Rs. 841150

They claimed exemption on the following in the monthly returns.

The above deductions & exemptions were not supported by any documentary evidence and hence the exemptions claimed in the monthly returns were proposed to be disallowed on these turnovers subject to filing of such evidence by the assessee.

Accordingly a Show Cause notice was issued to the assesse. But they have not filed objections and also have not availed personal hearing. They were issued a final notice on dt 06-03-2020. However the dealer has not responded to these/ this notice also. Therefore in view of the limitation prescribed for assessment in sub-rule 5A of rule 14-A of the CST(Telangana) Rules, the proposals in the pre assessment show cause notice are confirmed as follows:

	Rs. 841150
Gross turnover	Rs. O
Exempt turnover	Rs. 841.150
Net turnover	Rs. 121967
Tax on net turnover @ 14.5%	Rs. O
Tax paid by adjustment against VAT ITC	Rs. O
Tax paid	Rs. 121966.75
Balance	

Accordingly a demand notice in Form CST VIII is issued. The Dealer may file appeal with ADC within (30) days of this order.

Gourage Lalerton

D.No.6-3-789, 4th Floor, Pavani Prestige Complex, Above R.S. Brothers, Ameerpet, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500 016.

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA COMMERCIAL TAXES DEPARTMENT Form CST VIII

Notice of final annual assessment and demand [See rule 14-A (7)]

Assessment No.CST/36604868066/15-16

A.O.NO.52721

Registration No.36604868066

10

Name

: PARAMOUNT ESTATES

TIN

: 36604868066

Address : . 5-4-187/3 AND 4, SOHAM MANSION, M.G ROAD, SECUNDERABAD, NULL, Ranga

Reddy, 36, 500003

Take notice that you have been finally assessed under the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956 to a tax of Rs 121966.75 only for the year ending the 31st March 2016 the period upto and inclusive of the date of discontinuance of business and that after deducting the total amount of monthly payment(s) already made by you towards the tax for that year, you have to pay a further sum of Rs 121966.75 only. This balance of the tax shall be paid within twenty one days from the date of service of this notice by money order to the undersigned or by crossed cheque in favour the undersigned or to the Deputy Commercial Tax Officer / Assistant Commercial Tax Officer or to the Bill Collector failing which the amount will be recovered as if it were an arrear of land revenue and you will be liable to tine as provided in Rule 16 of the Central Sales Tax (Telangana) Rules. 1957.

Nature of goods	Rate of tax	Turnover
1	2	3
The second secon	14.50 %	841

Place: Hyderabad

Date:31-03-2020

Deputy Commercial Tax Officer BEGUMPET

M.G.ROAD-S.D.ROAD