

0/c
Date:04/03/2019.

From
Nilgiri Estates
5-4-187/3&4
M.G.Road
Secunderabad

To
Asst.Commissioner (ST),
M.G Road S.D.Road Circle,
Begumpet Hyderabad.
Government of Telangana.

Sub: Notice of Arrears of Sales Tax Due received dated.23/02/2019, TIN No:36607622962..

Dear Sir/Madam,

A notice is received to pay arrears for the period July2015 to June2017 for an amount of Rs.39,35,284/-.

Further we gone for appeal on 25/08/2018 against that we have gone for PH on 05/03/2019 and received the Remand order (vide no.494 dt.05/03/2019) (Copy Enclosed)

Hence we requested your good selves to withdraw this notice

Thanking You
Yours Sincerely

Soham Modi
(Authorized Representative)



PROCEEDINGS OF THE APPELLATE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER(CT),
PUNJAGUTTA DIVISION, HYDERABAD

PRESENT: SMT. Y. SUNITHA,

ADC Order No.494

Appeal No.BV/92/2018-19

Date of hearing:05-03-2019

Date of order :05-03-2019

1. Name and address of the Appellant. : M/s Nilgiri Estates, Hyderabad.
2. Name & designation of the Assessing Authority. : Commercial Tax Officer, Maredpally Circle, Hyd.
3. No.,Year & Date of order : TIN No.36607622962,dt.24-07-18, (July, 2015 to June, 2017 / Penalty)
4. Date of service of order : 28-07-2018
5. Date of filing of appeal : 25-08-2018
6. Turnover determined by The Assessing Authority : -
7. If turnover is disputed:
 - (a) Disputed turnover : -
 - (b) Tax on disputed turnover : -
8. If rate of tax disputed:
 - (a) Turnover involved : -
 - (b) Amount of tax disputed : -
9. Amount of relief claimed : ₹39,35,284/- (Penalty)
10. Amount of relief granted : REMANDED
11. Represented by : Sri M. Ramachandra Murthy, Chartered Accountant

NOTE: An appeal against this order lies before the Telangana VAT Appellate Tribunal, Hyderabad within (60) days from the date of receipt of this order:

ORDER

M/s Nilgiri Estates, Hyderabad, the appellant herein, is a registered dealer under the TVAT Act bearing TIN 36607622962 and an assessee

on the rolls of the Commercial Tax Officer, M.G.Road Circle, Hyderabad (hereinafter referred to as the territorial Assessing Authority). The present appeal is filed against the penalty orders dated 24-07-2018 (A.O.No.37780) passed by the Commercial Tax Officer, Maredpally Circle, Hyderabad (hereinafter referred to as the Audit Officer) for the tax periods from July, 2015 to June, 2017 under the TVAT Act, disputing the levy of penalty amounting to ₹39,35,284/-.

Sri M. Ramachandra Murthy, Chartered Accountant and Authorised Representative of the appellant appeared and argued the case. While reiterating the contentions as set-forth in the grounds of appeal, the Authorised Representative, however, stated that the appeal filed against the assessment to tax based on which the impugned penalty is levied was already disposed off as remanded vide appeal orders dated 27-02-2019, the impugned order of penalty needs to be set-aside. Thus, the Authorised Representative pleaded for setting-aside of the impugned levy of penalty.

I have heard the Authorised Representative and gone through his contentions as well as the contents of the impugned orders. The appellant, in the grounds of appeal, and his Authorised Representative, raised certain contentions with regard to the merits of the case placing reliance in certain case law. Without going into the admissibility or otherwise of the same, I have to observe that since the appeal filed by the appellant against the assessment to tax based on which the impugned penalty is levied, was disposed off by me as 'remanded' in Appeal No.BV/26/2018-19 (ADC Order No.432), dated 27-02-2019, the levy of penalty made by the Audit Officer also needs re-consideration. In 11-SCC-101 in the case of Pratibha Processors Vs Union of India (SC), their Lordships of the Apex Court observed that "in a fiscal statute, penalty is ordinarily levied on an assessee for some contumacious conduct or for a deliberate violation of the provisions of a particular statute". Moreover, the penalty

is an appendage of the original orders and its survival depends on the main order that acts as a prop. If the prop is set-aside, the appendage's survival is in question and falls flat. Hence, in fitness of matters, I feel it just and proper to remit the matter back to the Territorial Assessing Authority, who shall pass such orders of penalty as deemed fit and warranted consequent on making of assessment to tax in pursuance of the appeal order as discussed above. With this direction, the impugned orders of penalty are set-aside on a penalty amount of ₹39,35,284/- and the appeal thereon remanded.

In the end, the appeal is **REMANDED**.

APPELLATE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER(CT),
PUNJAGUTTA DIVISION, HYDERABAD.

To

The Appellants.

Copy to the Commercial Tax Officer, M.G.Road Circle, Hyderabad.

Copy to the Commercial Tax Officer, Maredpally Circle, Hyderabad.

Copy to the Dy.Commissioner(CT), Begumpet Division, Hyderabad.

Copy submitted to the Additional Commissioner(CT) Legal, and Joint Commissioner(CT), Legal, Hyderabad.