UNDER SECTION 151 OF C.P.C.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ASHR NO.

OF 2015

IN

AS

(SR) NO. 901

OF 201

Between:

Sout V. Dhang Laxmu

.. Petitioner/s

Uppala Soidhas

VETOIFIED PETITON

.. Respondent/s


It is submitted that the above case was returned by the registry with office objections by giving 10 days time to comply with the same. After collecting the bundle from the registry by my clerk, he kept the same in the office for complying the same. But the same was misplaced in the disposed of bundles. He could not trace the same immediately. However, he could trace it and complied with the objections raised by the registry. In the process the occurred a delay in representing the above case. The delay is neither willful nor wanton but for the reasons mentioned supra. If the delay is not condoned, we will suffer irreparable loss and injury.

For all the reasons stated supra, it is prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to condone the delay of (L) days in representing the above case in AS (SR) No. 90% of 2015 and pass such other or further orders.

Hyderabad
Date: 24/8/2015

COUNTSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MEMORANDUM OF CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION (FILED UNDER SECTION 5 OF LIMITATION ACT)



AS.MP.NO. 1546 OF 2016

TOO 51 -100 10 2036 14

AS.No. 164 OF 2016

BETWEEN:

- 1. SRI.UPPALA SRIDHAR, S/O NARSAIAH, Aged about 40 years, Occupation: Business, R/o H.No.10-22, Gajwel Village and Mandal, Medak District, Telangana.
- 2. SRI.JEEDIPALLY RAM REDDY, S/O NARAYANA REDDY, Aged about 63 years, Occ. Business, R/o H.No.3-49, Thurkapally Village, Shameerpet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
- 3. SRI.AAKULA KRISHNA MURTHY, S/O LATE.VITTAL, Aged about 47 years, Occ. Business, R/o Plot No. 180/A, Old Vasavi Nagar, Karkhana, Secunderabad.
- 4. SRI.B.SATYANARAYANA, S/O NAI'SAIAH, Aged about 59 years, Occupation: business, R/o Thurkapally Village, Shameerpet Mandal, RR. District.
- 5. G.SANJEEVA, S/O KISHTAIAH, Aged about 58 Years, Occupation: Business, R/o Thurkapally Village, Shameerpet Mandal, RR. District.

.....Petitioners/Appellants

And

SMT.V.DHANA LAKSHMI, W/O V.RAJ KUMAR, Aged about 48 years, Occ: Household, Residing At H.No.24-88/6/5/1A, Anand Bagh, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad.

.....Respondents/Respondent

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is therefore prayed that the Hon'ble court may be pleased to condone the delay of (a) and days in preferring the above Appeal before this Hon'ble Court and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.

PLACE: HYDERABAD.

DATE: 12-08-2016.

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS/APPELLANTS





IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT: HYDERABAD FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

AS.MP.NO.

OF 2016

IM

AS.No.

OF 2016

BETWEEN:

SRI.UPPALA SRIDHAR And 4 Others

.....Petitioners/Appellants

And

SMT.V.DHANA LAKSHMI,

.....Respondents/Respondent

AFFIDAVIT

I, Sri Uppala Sridhar, S/o.Narsaiah, aged about 40 years, Occupation: Business, R/o H.No.10-22, Gajwel Village and Mandal, Medak District, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state on oath as follows:-

- 1. That I am the Deponent herein and Petitioner / Appellant No.1 in the above Appeal and as such well acquainted with the facts of the case and able to depose as under. Further I am deposing on my behalf and on behalf of the other Appellants.
- 2. I humbly submit that the Respondent herein has filed suit in OS. 710/2010 on the file of HON'BLE X ADDL. DISTRICT JUDGE (FTC) RANGA REDDY DISTRICT, AT: L.B.NAGAR against the Petitioners herein for Recovery of money. Further in the said suit after thorough enquiry and trial, the Hon'ble Court was pleased to partly Decree the suit for sum of Rs.12,18,000/- together with interest vide Judgement and Decree dated 27-4-2015.
- 3. I further submit that aggrieved by the Judgement and decree dated 27-4-2015 passed by the Hon'ble Court, I preferred the above Appeal before this Hon'ble court. Further as a matter of fact I suffered with prolonged illness and there was a communication gap with other petitioners, as such I nor the other petitioners could pursue the case or contacted the counsel.
- 4. I further submit that after I recovered from ill health, I and the other petitioners approached the Counsel, who in turn told us that the Judgment need to be challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and that there is a delay of () days. Further the delay in preferring the above Appeal is neither willful nor wanton, but for the above said reason only.

N. SAN.

4

5. I further submit that I got a good case in the above Appeal and there is every chance of succeeding the same, as such it is just and necessary to condone the delay of (9) days, otherwise I shall suffer great irreparable loss and injury.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to condone the delay of $(\sqrt[6]{5})$ days in preferring the above Appeal and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.

Sworn and sign before me on this the 12 h day of June, 2016, Hyderabad.

Identified by: M.V.RAJ KUMAR GABRIEL, ADVOCATE

ADVOCATE FLYDERABAD.

(P.Shaver (128)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT: HYDERABAD FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

AS.MP.NO.

OF 2016

IN

AS.No.

OF 2016

BETWEEN:

SRI.UPPALA SRIDHAR And 4 Others

.....Petitioners/Appellants

And

SMT.V.DHANA LAKSHMI,

.....Respondents/Respondent

AFFIDAVIT

I, Sri Uppala Sridhar, S/o.Narsaiah, aged about 40 years, Occupation: Business, R/o H.No.10-22, Gajwel Village and Mandal, Medak District, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state on oath as follows:-

- That I am the Deponent herein and Petitioner / Appellant No.1 in the above Appeal and as such well acquainted with the facts of the case and able to depose as under. Further I am deposing on my behalf and on behalf of the other Appellants.
- 2. I humbly submit that the Respondent herein has filed suit in OS. 710/2010 on the file of HON'BLE X ADDL. DISTRICT JUDGE (FTC) RANGA REDDY DISTRICT, AT: L.B.NAGAR against the Petitioners herein for Recovery of money. Further in the said suit after thorough enquiry and trial, the Hon'ble Court was pleased to partly Decree the suit for sum of Rs.12,18,000/- together with interest vide Judgement and Decree dated 27-4-2015.
- 3. I further submit that aggrieved by the Judgement and decree dated 27-4-2015 passed by the Hon'ble Court, I preferred the above Appeal before this Hon'ble court. Further as a matter of fact I suffered with prolonged illness and there was a communication gap with other petitioners, as such I nor the other petitioners could pursue the case or contacted the counsel.
- 4. I further submit that after I recovered from ill health, I and the other petitioners approached the Counsel, who in turn told us that the Judgment need to be challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and that there is a delay of (q s') days. Further the delay in preferring the above Appeal is neither willful nor wanton, but for the above said reason only.

v.S.Ab

A.

5. I further submit that I got a good case in the above Appeal and there is every chance of succeeding the same, as such it is just and necessary to condone the delay of Adays, otherwise I shall suffer great irreparable loss and injury.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to condone the delay of (Ay) days in preferring the above Appeal and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.

Sworn and sign before me on this the day of June, 2016, Hyderabad.

0

V.S.

Identified by: M.V.RAJ KUMAR GABRIEL, ADVOCATE

ADVOCATE :: HYDERABAD.

MÉMORANDUM OF CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION (FILED UNDER SECTION 5 OF LIMITATION ACT)

21

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT: HYDERABAD FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

AS.MP.NO.

OF 2016

IN

AS.No.

OF 2016

BETWEEN:

- 1. SRI.UPPALA SRIDHAR, S/O NARSAIAH, Aged about 40 years, Occupation: Business, R/o H.No.10-22, Gajwel Village and Mandal, Medak District, Telangana.
- 2. SRI.JEEDIPALLY RAM REDDY, S/O NARAYANA REDDY, Aged about 63 years, Occ. Business, R/o H.No.3-49, Thurkapally Village, Shameerpet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
- 3. SRI.AAKULA KRISHNA MURTHY, S/O LATE.VITTAL, Aged about 47 years, Occ. Business, R/o Plot No. 180/A, Old Vasavi Nagar, Karkhana, Secunderabad.
- 4. SRI.B.SATYANARAYANA, S/O NARSAIAH, Aged about 59 years, Occupation: business, R/o Thurkapally Village, Shameerpet Mandal, RR. District.
- 5. G.SANJEEVA, S/O KISHTAIAH, Aged about 58 Years, Occupation: Business, R/o Thurkapally Village, Shameerpet Mandal, RR. District.

.....Petitioners/Appellants

And

SMT.V.DHANA LAKSHMI, W/O V.RAJ KUMAR, Aged about 48 years, Occ: Household, Residing At H.No.24-88/6/5/1A, Anand Bagh, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad.

.....Respondents/Respondent

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is therefore prayed that the Hon'ble court may be pleased to condone the delay of (94) days in preferring the above Appeal before this Hon'ble Court and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.

PLACE: HYDERABAD.

DATE: -06-2016.

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS/APPELLANTS

RANGA REDDY DISTRICT

HIGH COURT AT HYDERABAD

AS.MP.NO.

OF 2016

IN

AS.No.

OF 2016

DELAY PETITON

Filed on:



Filed by:

M/S. M.V.RAJ KUMAR GABRIEL. (8290)

ADVOCATE SECUNDERABAD COUNSEL FOR APPELLANTS

XV

AS.MP.NC. SUB of 2016 in (SW) Cross Appeal No. 8036 of 2016 in A.S.NO. 164 of 2016

RANGA REDDY ::DISTRICT
Uppala Sridhar, and others,..
Cross Appell

M/s. M.V.Rajkumar Gabriel(8 Counsel for the appellants,

NATURE OF APPLICATION U/s. 5 of Limitation ACt

to condone the delay (95) days in preferring the abov appeal before this Hon'ble Court a pass such other order or orders.

Presented on 12-7-2016
filed on 12-7-2016