IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

W.P. NO. 15838 OF 2021

BETWEEN:

MODI REALTY VIKARABAD LLP
A Limited Liability Firm, Rep. by its Designated Partner,
Palle Balaram Reddy, S/o. Sanjeev Reddy,
Aged about 34 Year, Having its Office at 5-4-187/3 & 4,
2nd Floor, Soham Mansion, M.G. Road,
Secunderabad.

...Petitioner

AND

- State of Telangana,
 Rep. by its Principal Secretary
 Municipal Administration and Urban Development
 Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
- 2. Vikarabad Municipality, Rep. by its Commissioner, Vikarabad, At Vikarabad District.
- 3. Town Planning Officer, Vikarabad Municipality At Vikarabad District.

....Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Palle Balaram Reddy, S/o. Sanjeev Reddy, aged about 34 Years, Occupation: Designated Partner at M/s. Modi Realty Vikarabad LLP, office at 5-4-187/3 & 4, Second Floor, Soham Mansion, M.G. Road Secunderabad, Telangana State, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows.

For MODI REALTY VIKARABAD LLP

Bolyon

Pertiner

- 1. I am the Petitioner herein and I am the Designated Partner of the Petitioner Firm and as such I am well acquainted with the facts of the Case.
- Petitioner The is filing the present writ questioning the shortfall notice dated 01.10.2020 in File No.3042/W14/2020/1727 issued by the Respondent No.3 requiring the petitioner to obtain No Objection Certificate (NOC) from the Railway Authorities for the purpose of issuing construction permission for constructing residential apartments though there is no such requirement as per law as arbitrary and illegal.
- The petitioner submits that it is the absolute owner and possessor of land admeasuring 0-39 Guntas (4719 Sq,.. Yards) Survey No.83, Gangaram Village, Vikarabad Mandal, Vikarabad District having purchased the same Registered Sale Deed bearing Doct. No.7080 of 2018 dated 13.08.2018 from the owners of the property. Subsequently the petitioner also obtained the Deed of Rectification dated 27.07.2020 bearing Doct. No.3552 of 2020 when there was a typographical error in the document. Thus, under both the documents the petitioner is the absolute owner and possessor of the land mentioned above. It is submitted that the petitioner had made application an dated 20.06.2020 B.A.No.3042/W14/2020/1727 seeking permission to construct

For MODI REALTY VIKARABAD LLD

residential apartments consisting of stilt (parking), Five Upper floors in the said Plot. It is submitted that there is a Railway property whose boundary is falling at a distance of 8.10 meters from the outer edge of the property of the petitioner. It is submitted that G.O.Ms.No.168, dated 07.04.2012 governs the building applications in the State of Telangana. It is submitted that the said G.O., is amended by way of G.O.Ms.No.7, dated 05.01.2016 to enable ease of doing business and to encourage building construction sector. By virtue of this amendment, Rule 3(b) of 2012 Rules were amended and Rule 3(b) as on today reads as follows:

Rule 3(b): In case a site abutting Railway Properties, No Objection Certificate (NOC) from Railway Authorities could not be insisted. Further it is the responsibility of the Applicant to follow Rules as prescribed by the Railway Authorities in their Rules.

4. Thus, as on today there is no requirement of the petitioner to obtain an NOC from the Railway Authorities. Inspite of all this rule position the Respondent No.3 has issued a shortfall notice stating that as the distance between the Railway property boundary and edge of the building is 30 meters hence required NOC from the authorities. It is submitted that the short fall notice issued by the 2nd and 3rd Respondents is on the basis of the un-amended Rule 3(b) in G.O. No.168. However, pursuant to the amendment there is no such requirement of

For MODI REALTY VIKARABAD LLP

- NOC. It is submitted that the shortfall notice of the Respondent Municipality is clearly arbitrary and illegal and contrary to the rule position and as such the petitioner is constrained to file the present writ petition for appropriate relief.
- 5. The petitioner has no other equally efficacious alternative remedy except to approach this Hon'ble Court invoking the extra ordinary original jurisdiction vested in this Hon'ble Court under Article 226 of The Constitution of India.
- 6. The petitioner has not filed any other Writ Petition or proceeding for the same relief which is claimed in the present Writ Petition and no other proceedings are pending before any other forum with respect to the same subject matter.
- 7. It is necessary that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents 2 and 3 to grant construction permission to the petitioner as per Building Application bearing B.A.No.3042/W14/2020/1727, dated 20.06.2020 without insisting on the NOC from the Railway Authorities pending disposal of the writ petition.
- 8. It is therefore prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or order or direction declaring the shortfall notice issued by the Respondents 2 & 3 in File No.3042/W14/2020/1727, dated 01.10.2020 to the extent it requires the petitioner to

MODI Renal Balan

obtain NOC from Railway Authorities as arbitrary, illegal and contrary to Rule 3(b) of the Telangana Building Rules, 2012 (G.O.Ms.No.168, dated 07.4.2012) as amended by G.O.Ms.No.7, dated 05.01.2016 and set aside the shortfall notice to the said extent and consequently direct the Respondents 2 and 3 to consider and grant construction permission to the petitioner in respect of Building Application B.A. No. 3042/W14/2020/1727, dated 20.06.2020 for constructing residential apartments in land admeasuring Ac.0-39 Guntas in Survey No.83, Gangaram Village, Vikarabad Mandal, Vikarabad District and pass such other order or orders in the interest of justice.

FOT MODI REALTY VIKARABAD LLP

Sworn and signed before me on this the ___ day of July, 2021 at Hyderabad.

Deponent

Advocate/Hyderabad

VERIFICATION

I, Balaram Reddy, S/o. Sanjeev Reddy, Aged about 34 Year, Occupation: Designated Partner at M/s. Modi Realty Vikarabad LLP, office at 5-4-187/3 & 4, Second Floor, Soham Mansion, M.G. Road Secunderabad Telangana State, being the deponent herein do hereby verify and declare that the above paragraphs are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and as per legal advice.

Verified on this the ___ day of July 2021 at Hyderabad.

FOR MODI REALTY VIKARABAD LLP

Partner

Counsel for the Petitioner

Deponent

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

APPELLATE SIDE

No.

OF 2021

AGAINST

No.

OF 2021

On the file of the Court of

Appellant/ Petitioner

VERSUS

Respondent

I/We

Appellant/Respondent in the above application do hereby appoint and retain

PERI PRABHAKAR (6390) ADVOCATE

Advocate/s of the High Court to appear for ME/US in the above APPEAL/PETITION and to conduct and prosecute (or defend) the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same or any decree or order passed therein including all applications for return of documents or the receipt of any money that may be payable to ME/US in the said Appeal/ Petition and also to appear in all applications under Clause XV of the Letters patent and in all applications for review and for leave to the Supreme Court of India and in all applications for review of Judgment.

FOR MODI REALTY VIKARABAD LLP

Partner

I certify	y that the	conter	nts of t	his Vakal	at were	read ou	ıt and e	explaine	d in
() i	in my	presence	to the	execute	ents of	executa	ants
who a	ppeared	perfec	tly to	underst	and th	e same	e and	made	his
/her/their signatures or mark in my presence.									

Executed before me thisday of2021

Advocate, Hyderabad