## Form No.36

[See rule 47(1)]

Amexure-3

In the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, Hyderabad

Appeal No	Of
Appear No.	01

M.C. Modi Educational Trust Secunderabad

Versus

Income-Tax Officer Exemption Ward-1(2), Hyderabad

APPELANT

RESPONDENT

Appellant's Personal Information	Name / designation of the Appellant (As applicable)				M.C. Modi Educational Trust AOP		
		PAN (if available) TAN (if available)			Complete address for sending notices		
		AATM5488Q					
		State		Pin Code			
		Telangana		500 003	M.C.Modi Educational Trust		
	Ph	one No	. with S7	TD Code/ Mobile No.	5-4-187/3 & 4, Soham Mansion M.G. Road, Secunderabad		
			9848	450353			
	Email Address						
				@yahoo.com			
rsonal			-	of the Respondent	Income-Tax Officer		
	-	(As applicable)			Exemption Ward-1(2), Hyderabad		
	PAI	N (if ava	ailable)	TAN (if available)	Complete addr	ress for sending notices	
. Pe							
Respondent's Personal Information		State		Pin Code			
		Telanga		500 004		Income-Tax Officer	
	Ph	Phone No. with STD Code/ Mobile No.			Exemption Ward-1(2), Aayakar Bhavan Basheerbagh, Hyderabad		
dsa							
A.	Email Address			Address			
	1	Asses		year in connection with	n which the appeal is	2016-17	
	2	Total		e declared by the ear referred to in item	assessee for the	Rs.NIL	
	3	Detail	s of the	order appealed agains	t		
Appeal Details		121	Section a assed	and sub-section under	r which the order is	263 of the IT Act	
		b. D	ate of o	rder		30.03.2021	
		c. D	ate of se	ervice/ communication	of the order	01.04.2021	
	4	Incom	ne Tax A	uthority passing the ord	der appealed against	CIT(Exemptions), Hyderabad	
	5		State and ris locat	d District in which juri led	isdictional Assessing	Telangana - Hyderabad	
	6	Section		ub-section under which	n the original order is	143(3) of IT Act	

Put in box on 31/3/21-2.

	7	If appeal relates to an	y assessment		No		
Amounts disputed in appeal		Total income as o	Total income as computed by the Assessing Officer				
			Total amount of additions or disallowances made in				
		The state of the s					
	8	If appeal relates to an	No				
	9	If appeal relates to an	appeal relates to any other matter				
		a. Amount disputed					
					Tay offeet		
<u>\alpha</u>	10	Grounds of Appeal - E	nclosed separately		Tax effect		
Grounds of Appeal		1					
of A		2					
ds o		3					
uno		5					
9 D		Total tax effect			N.A.		
		Whether there is an	v delay in filing of	N	VO		
ails	11 a	appeal (if yes, please		If yes, Delay in No.	of Days		
del		seeking condonation of delay)  Condonation P					
ling	12	Details of Appeal Fee					
a	12	BSR Code	Date of Payment	Serial No.	Amount		
Appeal filing details		6390340		01923	Rs.500]		
Ą					TENICATIONIAL TELICO		
				For M/s. MIC MOI	DI EDUCATIONAL TRUST		
				( //20	STATE OF THE STATE		
Signed:			Signed:		TRUSTEE		
(Aut	horise	d representative, if any	· (4. 2. 1 m.) - [2. 1 m.) - [2. 1 m.]		ppellant)		
Name: Name:					Educational Trust		
Designat	ion:		Designation	on:	AOP		
			Form of verificat	ion			
					TRIVERSE		
, Soham	Satis	h Modi, Trustee of th	e appellant do hereb	by declare that what is	stated above is true to		
he best	of my	information and belief.		For M/s. MC N			
Ness II.	٦		Cianatura		TRUST		
Place:Hy Date:		5.21	Signature: Name:		Satish Modi		
	1 1	1 0 1	Manie		i valisii iviuul		

M.C. Modi Educational Trust
Asst. year: 2016-17

## **GROUNDS OF APPEAL:**

- 1. The order of the learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) dated 30.3.2021 is erroneous both on facts and in law.
- 2. The learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) erred in holding that there is any error in the assessment made u/s 143(3) dated 18.12.2018 for the assessment year 2016-17
- 3. The learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) erred in holding that there is any loss to Revenue in the order u/s 143(3) dated 18.12.2018.
- 4. The learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) erred in directing the Assessing Officer to invoke the provisions of Sec.11(3) of the I.T. Act when the Assessing officer did not apply the provisions of Sec.11 of the I.T. Act.
- 5. The learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) ought to have considered the fact that the exemption u/s 11 was not allowed to the appellant for the year under consideration and none of the provisions of Sec.11 would apply to the facts of the case.
- 6. The learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) erred in holding that the order of assessment is erroneous and prejudicial to

the interest of Revenue and further erred in setting aside the assessment for being re-done.

- 7. The learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) having mentioned clearly that registration u/s 12A was rejected vide order dated 27.8.2018 ought to have held that neither the provisions of Sec.11(2) nor Sec.11(3) would be applicable.
- 8. The learned Commissioner of Income-Tax (Exemptions) erred in holding that there is any amount accumulated u/s 11(2) for the financial year 2009-10 and erred in holding that the said amount was not utilized for charitable purposes and that the same is taxable u/s 11(3) for the assessment year 2016-17.

7. Any other ground that may be urged at the time of hearing.

APPELLANT

TRUSTEE