0

Date:- 04-05-2021

8-15

From:
Nisha Modi,
5-4-187/3 & 4,
Soham Mansion, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,
M.G Road,
Secunderabad – 500 003.

To:
Assessing Officer,
Ward 10(4),
Hyderabad.

Sir/ Madam,

Sub:Stay Petition – own case – Asst Year 2018-19 – PAN:CFXPM2333G

In connection with the above the following is submitted for your kind consideration.

- The I. T. assessments for the Asst. Year 2018-19 is completed u/s 143(3) vide Order dated 17-03-2021 (DINITBA/AST/S/143(3)/2020-21/1031554931(1)).
- By virtue of the above Order, demand of Rs.5,13,675/- has arisen and for which Demand Notice u/s 156 dated 17.03.2021 has been issued.
- While completing the assessment, addition of Rs.4,94,532/- has been made to the income returned of Rs 5,91,910/-.
- 4. Aggrieved by the Asst. Order passed we have preferred an appeal before the First Appellate Authority-CIT(Appeals). An appeal has been e-filed on 20.04.2021. The acknowledgement copy of the same is attached in **Annexure-1**. We are hopeful to get the relief as prayed for in the appeal.
- Against the demand raised of Rs.5,13,675//- an amount of Rs1,02,800/- is paid on 29-04-2001. The copy of the challan is attached in Annexure-2.
- 6. The amount paid is about 20% of the total demand raised.
- In terms of CBDT circular, it is requested to stay the collection of the balance tax till the disposal of the appeal by the First Appellate Authority.

We hope you will consider this stay petition favourably.

Yours faithfully.

(Authorized Signature).

A. Schulasiv-foo

1 450 1 01 1



# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT OF FORM (Other Than ITR)

Name

r - 4 / 1

NISHA MODI

**PAN** 

CFXPM2333G

Form No

35

Assessment Year 2018-19

e-Filing Acknowledgement Number 343220941200421 Date of e-Filing

20/04/2021

For and on behalf of, e-Filing Administrator

(This is a computer generated Acknowledgment Receipt and needs no signature)

Click to Print the Receipt

Click here to Close the window

## **CYBER RECIEPT**

r-17. 1

TAX Applicable				TAXES ON INCOME(0021)				CHALLAN NO. /	
PAN CFXPM2333G		33G		Assessment Year 2011		2018-1	ITNS 280		
Full Name NISHA		IA I	rissessment rear 2018			12018-1	9		
Address JUBILER									
			280 ROAD NO 25,,						
HVDED			DAD	RAD TELANGANA B: 500000					
HYDERABAD, TELANGANA. Pin: 500033  Type of Payment TAX ON REGULAR ASSESSMENT(400)									
Nature of Payment			IAA	N/A					
racuic									
TAX DETAILS O						Paid in Cash /	Debit to	INTERNET	
			02800		A/c / Cheque I				
Surcharge			0			Drawn on	Internet	Banking through IDBI	
<b>Education Cess</b>			0						
Interest			0			10:0	HAV	Si Marin	
	Penalty							BIBANK	
Others			0						
Fee under sec. 234E			0			I	DBI BA	ANK Ltd.	
Total			10	02800		IDBI Building, Sector 11, CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai			
						BSR Code:6910333			
						Date Of Tende		29/04/2021	
						Realization Date		29/04/2021	
Dungag C	Runees One Labb T T					Challan Serial	No.	12671	
Kupees (	me Lak	nIw	o Tho	ousand Eight Hund	ired (	Only.			

T	axpayers Counterfoil	D	anlı Caal
PAN	CFXPM2333G	Payment Status	ank Seal
Recieved From	NISHA MODI	1 ayment Status	SUCCESSFUL
For Rs:	102800 /-	CAN II	VDI .
Drawn on	Internet Banking Through IDBI		DBIBANK
On Account CHALLAN NO. / ITNS 280			BANK Ltd.
Type of Payment	TAX ON REGULAR ASSESSMENT(400)	IDBI Building, Sector 11, CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai	
Nature of Payment	N/A	BSR (	Code:6910333
Assessment	2018-19	Date Of Tender	29/04/2021
Year		Realization Date	29/04/2021
		Challan Serial No.	12671
		(Internet C	Collection Center)

#### Nisha Modi

#### Assessment Year -2018-19

#### Statement of Facts

- I am an individual and filed ITR for Asst Year 2018-19 on 13-08-2018 declaring income of Rs.5,91,910/-.
- The case was selected for scrutiny assessment. The case was selected for scrutiny to examine the issue related to foreign financial interest.
- 3. The assessment is completed u/s.143(3) vide Order dated 17-03-2021.
- 4. The income assessed is Rs.10,86,440/-.
- 5. There is addition of Rs. 4,94,532/- to the income returned.
- The addition of Rs. 4,94,523/ comprises of an amount of Rs.3,64,392/. chargeable to tax under the provision of section 1158BE of IT Act and Rs. 1,30,140/- chargeable u/s 56 as Income from Other Sources.
- 7. The amount of Rs. 3,64,392/- relates to US\$ 5000 and US\$ 600 deposited in my account with CITI Bank in US.
- 8. The above 2 deposits in Citi Bank US Bank account are as under:

On 17-01-2018	US\$ 600
On 17-01-2018	US\$ 5000

- In the case of e-assessment proceedings source of deposit was asked to be explained. The details of the above deposits were furnished and explained.
- it was explained that the deposits aggregating to US\$ 5600 on 17-01-2018 s deposited out of withdrawals made from HDFC Forex card. The withdrawal entries in HDFC Forex Card are appearing on 18-01-2018
- 11. While completing the assessment it is viewed by the learned Assessing Officer thatthe deposit date of 17.01.2018 in Citi Bank US account is prior to withdrawal date of 18.01.2018 from HDFC Forex Card account. The learned Assessing Officer therefore held thatthe cash depositsbeing US\$600 and US\$ 5000 in the Citi bank account of the appellant as unexplainedmoney u/s 69A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 and an amount of Rs.3,64.392/added to the total income of the appellant.
- 12. It may noted that the difference in date is only for a day i.e. deposits are on 17-01-2018 and withdrawals are on 18-01-2018. This difference is due to timing difference between India & U5. India is ahead by about 10.30 hrs than in New York (US). This is the only reason that 'prima facie' it appears that there is one day gap in deposit and withdrawal but in fact it is not so.
- 13. This vital fact got missed while completing the assessment and a view is taken that deposit of US\$ 5600 isunexplained money u/s 69A of the income Tax Act, 1961 and the same is chargeable as income u/s.115BBE of IT Act.

Nisha Modi

- 14. On a closer look, the timing of withdrawal from HDFC Bank Forex card is on 18-01-2018 at 01-22 hrs for US\$ 5000 and at City Bank NY the deposit entry on 17-01-2018 at 2.52 pm which is exactly due to time difference of 10.30 Hrs. The HDFC Forex card statement also reflects merchant name as 'Citi Bank NY'. in support, the work clock time zone converter result (as searched in Google) is relied upon. This will beyond doubt clarifies the issue as to why there is a difference in date of withdrawal as 18-02-2018 and date of deposit in Citi Bank NY AS 17-01-2018.
- 15. Keeping in the above facts and circumstances it is prayed in the appeal to grant the relief by deleting such addition of Rs.3,64,392/- as unexplained money u/s 69A of the Income Tax Act, 1961

Nisha Mode

## Nisha Modi

## Assessment Year -2018-19

## **Grounds of Appeal**

- The Order of the learned Assessing Officer, in so far as it is prejudicial to the interest of the
  appellant is against law and the following grounds are without prejudice to each other.
- The Assessing Officer on the facts and circumstances of the case, has erred to hold that the
  cash deposits being US\$600 and US\$ 5000 in the Citi bank account of the appellant as
  unexplained money u/s 69A of the income Tax Act, 1961and is therefore bad in law.
- The Assessing Officer on the facts and circumstances of the case, has erred innot
  appreciating that there is time lag between India and USA and as a consequence there is one
  day date difference between the deposit and withdrawal and is therefore bad in law.

4. The appellant craves leave to add, amend, alter or delete any or all the grounds of appeal.

Nicha Modi