BEFORE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (APPEALS) National faceless Appeal Centre

IN THE MATTER OF

SOHAM MODI

VS.

Income Tax Officer – Ward 16(4)/Hyderabad

APPEAL NO. CIT(A), Hyderabad-4/10608/2019-20

ASST. YEAR 2017-18

BEFORE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (APPEALS) -4 /HYD

Soham Modi	TAX (APPEALS) -4 /HYD		
5-4-187/3 &4, Soham Mansion M.G. Road, SECUNDERABAD – 500 003.		Income Tax officer, Ward 16(4)/Hyderabad.	
Appellant	V/s.	Respondent	
	Bar-		

Appeal NO. CIT(A), Hyderabad- 4/10608/2019-20 Asst. Year 2017-18

In connection with the above appeal the following submissions are made before YOUR HONOURS for kind consideration

- 1. The assessee is an individual and e-filed his IT on 28-10-2017. The total income admitted is Rs.12,44,670/-.
- 2. The case is selected for scrutiny and the assessment is completed u/s.143(3), vide Order dated 27-12-2019. The income assessed is Rs.31.42,745/-. There has been addition of 18,98,075/-.
- 3. The break-up of the additions made of R\$.18,98,075/- is as under:

S.No.		
The second second second second second	Brief details of addition	Amount (INR
i)	Amount appearing in Form 26AS for TDS deducted by M/s. B & C Estates u/s.194C is considered as income	1,05,486
ii)	Amount appearing in Form 26AS for TDS deducted by M/s. Paramount Builders u/s.194H is considered as income	28,233
i)	Proportionate disallowance of interest Paid on borrowings	17,64,356
T	Total additions made	
	*	18,98,075

4. In the course of assessment proceedings, the details and the explanations in respect of all the above additions made have been e-submitted but while completing the assessment, the same have not been considering without giving any reasons.

Op 1

5. In respect of entries appearing of Rs 1,05,486/- in Form 26AS in respect of the deductor M/s. B & C Estate in Form 26AS before TDS correction statement filed by the deductor, it was submitted to the learned Assessing Officer that the deductor has mistakenly filed the TDS statement quoting PAN of the assessee instead of another payee. The deductor later on has filed corrected TDS statement. Form 26AS after such TDS correction has been e-submitted to the Assessing Officer on 12-12-2019. The Ack No 12121912671840 together with the covering letter dated 12-12-2019 and Annexure-3 referred therein is enclosed herewith for your kind perusal as Annexure A. In the updated form 26AS submitted to learned Assessing Officer such amount is not appearing and this was submitted before the passing of assessment Order by him.

The above fact and documentary evidence submitted is ignored while completing the assessment. There is no whisper in the assessment Order as to why the explanation and details summitted is not accepted.

6. In respect of entries of Rs 28,233/0 appearing in Form 26AS in respect of deductor M/s. Paramount Builders, it was submitted that the deductor has shown the amount under wrong section code of 194H instead of correct section code 194A. The total amount appearing in Form 26AS against the deductor M/s. Paramount Builders has been considered by the assessee as interest received. The details of interest received from the party has been esubmitted on 11-11-2019. The Ack No 11111912061242 together with the covering letter dated 07-11-2019 and Annexure-2(Details of Interest received) referred therein is enclosed herewith for your kind perusal as Annexure B.

The above fact and documentary evidence submitted is ignored while completing the assessment. There is no whisper in the assessment Order as to why the explanation and details summitted is not accepted.

7. In respect of interest paid to the creditors, all the requested information and the pattern in which the information required has been e-submitted. The Assessing Officer has ignored the same while completing the assessment. The e-submissions made in this regard are as under:

And.

Notice	ref Coverir	ig e-			
No	letter		e-	Annexure	Annexure
	dated	1	Acknowledgemen	t reference, if	reference fo
		s dated	no	any in	present
		Open and ope		covering	submission
				letter	2001111221011
142(1) dat	ed -	Not	-		
04-01-201	1	responded		However, all	Annexure-C
İ		to the		the details	
,	1)	Notices		asked for in	
dated				the notices	
11-03-2019				have been e-	
				submitted	
				on 04-05-	ing distribution of the second
	7			2019. The	
			,	Ack copy of	
				the	
	Particular de la constante de		1:		
			1.	submissions	
			•	made is	
			18	attached	
			F	erewith as	
	02.05.00		A	nnexure-C	
142(1) dated	02-05-2019	04-05-2019 04	OFA		
11-03-2019			ol		nexure-D
			and the second s	vering	
			1::		
			ı	ter giving	
			l:	erest	
		-	det	ails as	
			req	uested by	
			AO		
42(1) dated	- No	1	- Hov	vever, all Annex	
2-05-2019,	res	ponded	the	details	raie-F
12(1) dated	to	the		d for in	
-08-2019	Not	ices	ì		
d			the	notices	
-			have	been e-	
			subm	itted	I

Muld.

X

142(1)			
dated	h-department		on 04-05-
13-08-20	019		2019,
			11-11-2019,
			09-12-2019,
	***************************************		10-12-2019
			and 12-12-
			2019.
	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PER		The Ack
		· Para in the second se	copies of the
		And the control and the contro	submissions
	or distriction of the control of the		made are
			attached
			herewith as
			Annexure-E
142(1) date	07-11-20	19 11-11-2019	11111912061242 Annexure- Annexure-F
09-10-2019	-	To a deligation of the second	3,4,5 of the
	Africanica-pi-section (dage		covering
			letter giving
			details of
			Interest paid,
			14A
	The state of the s		disallowance
			calculation
			and ledger
		••	account
			copies of
			lenders as a
			proof of
			evidence of
			payment of
		,	Interest.
142(1) dated	04-12-2019	04-12-2019 0	4121912499390 In Point No 7 Annexure-G
18-11-2019	-		of covering
			letter, it is

de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della
			informed to
			the AO that
			the details of
			Interest
			sought for in
Annual desiration of the state			the Table
Professional Control	Manufacture of the second		format as
			per notice
			u/s 142(1)
			has already
***************************************		**	been
Arman de la company de la comp	Manufacture and	1.	furnished on
			02-05-2019.

8. The Assessing Officer had adopted peculiar approach to conclude that interest paid to the extent of Rs.17,64,356/- is to be disallowed. The Assessing Officer compared the balance of Sundry Debtors and Sundry Creditors outstanding as on 31-3-2017 and interest received and paid thereon. The Assessing Officer has adopted the following approach of proportionate allowances and disallowances for interest paid:

Closing balance for Sanda a		
Closing balance for Sundry Debtors as on 31-3-2017	Rs.5,65,83,334/-	Α
Closing balance for Sundry Creditors as on 31-3-2017	Rs.4,19,05,120/-	В
Interest received on Sundry Debtors	Rs. 70,80,082/-	С
Drawa		

Proportionate interest that can be allowed on Sundry Creditors = B/A*C

= 4,19,05,120/- / 5,65,83,334/- x 70,80,082/-

Allowable interest on Sundry Creditors of Rs.4,19,05,120/- = Rs. 52,43,446/-

Amount of Interest disallowed = Interest claimed (-) Interest allowable as above = Rs.70,08,082/-(-) Rs,52,43,446/- = Rs.17,64,356/-

hil

- 9. It appears that the addition is made on his whims and fancy of the learned Assessing Officer and without any rationale and logic. The Assessing Officer has totally ignored the movement in the accounts during the year that would have affected the interest payment and interest receipts. The learned Assessing officer has ventured to give a logic that since Rs 70,08,082/- is received on the closing balance of Rs.5,65,83,334/- for Sundry Debtors the corresponding (i.e. proportionate as per Assessing Officer) interest payment on the closing balance of Rs.4,19,05,120/- for Sundry Creditors should be Rs. 52,43,446/- only.
- 10. Further, the learned Assessing Officer's allegation in para 4 of the Assessment Order that the despite several notices over a period of 7 months, the assessee has not filed the details of party-wise rate of interest is far from the truth. The table in Point No 7 above will show beyond any doubt that we have submitted the details called for by the assessing officer in the early first early dates of hearing and is very much on the e-assessment proceedings. The Assessing Officer for the reasons best known to him has chosen to conveniently ignore the details furnished and proceeded to disallow the claim of interest paid deduction.
- 11. In fact, for all the 3 items of additions made the details were fully e-submitted yet the same got ignored by the learned Assessing Officer.
- 12. It is submitted keeping in above facts that the entire additions of Rs 18,98,075/- is bad in law and stands to be deleted.

Your HONOURS, it is pleaded to allow the appeal granting the relief prayed for.

(Soham Modi)

(APPELLANT)