

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE: RANGA REDDY
DISTRICT: AT:: L.B.NAGAR.

O.S.NO. OF 2020

BETWEEN::

1. KAMMARI LALITHA
W/O. LATE. KAMMARI ESHWARAIAH,
Aged about 57 Years Occ:house hold,
R/o. H.No: 2-3/1, Kothapalli, Shankarpalli,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

2. KAMMARI RAVINDER,
S/o. LATE. KAMMARI ESHWARAIAH,
Aged about 37 Years Occ:Private Service,
R/o. H.No: 2-3/1, Kothapalli, Shankarpalli,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

3. KAMMARI RAMESH CHARI,
S/o. LATE. KAMMARI ESHWARAIAH,
Aged about 36 Years Occ:Private Service,
R/o. H.No: 2-3/1, Kothapalli, Shankarpalli,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

4. KAMMARI VENKATESHAM CHARY,
S/o. LATE. KAMMARI ESHWARAIAH,
Aged about 32 Years Occ:Private Service,
R/o. H.No: 2-3/1, Kothapalli, Shankarpalli,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

5.KANDI LAXMI W/o.KANDI PRAVEEN,
D/o. LATE. KAMMARI ESHWARAIAH,
Aged about 35 Years Occ:House wife,
R/o. H.No: 1-6-212/65/107/98, Musheerabad,
Gangaputra Colony, Hyderabad.TS.

6. KAMMARI PRABHU CHARY,
S/o. LATE. KAMMARI NARAYANA
Aged about 45 Years Occ:Private Service,
R/o. H.No: 2-312, Kothapalli, Shakerpalli
Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

Contd. 2 Page.

7. KAMMARI KALLAPAA

S/o. LATE. KAMMARI NARAYANA

Aged about 40 Years Occ:Private Service,

R/o. H.No: 2-3-2, Kothapalli, Shakerpalli

Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

8. DHURGA VINODA W/o. DHURGA YADAI AH,

D/o. LATE. KAMMARI NARAYANA

Aged about 54 Years Occ:Private Service,

R/o. H.No: 1-62, Old R C Puram,

Ramachandrapuram

Medk District-502032.TS.

9. KAMMARI SRINIVAS

S/o. LATE. KAMMARI NARAYANA

Aged about 46 Years Occ:Private Service,

R/o. H.No: 2-3, Kothapalli, Shakerpalli

Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

10. KAMMARI SIDDAPPA

S/o. LATE. KAMMARI NARAYANA

Aged about 40 Years Occ:Private Service,

R/o. H.No: 2-3-1, Kothapalli,

Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

11. KAMMARI AMRUTHAMMA,

W/o. LATE. KAMMARI VENKATAIAH,

Aged about 57 Years Occ:House wife,

R/o. H.No: 2-3, Kothapalli, Shakerpalli

Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

12. KAMMARI SRIKANTH

S/o. LATE. KAMMARI VENKATAIAH,

Aged about 27 Years Occ:Private Service,

R/o. H.No: 2-3-1, Kothapalli,

Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

13. KAMMARI RANJITH KUMAR

S/o. LATE. KAMMARI VENKATAIAH,

Aged about 22 Years Occ:Private Service,

R/o. H.No: 2-3, Kothapalli,

Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

::PLAINTIFFS.

14. VADLA ARUNA W/o. GOPAL
D/o. LATE. KAMMARI VENKATAIAH ,
Aged about 41 Years Occ:House wife,
R/o. H.No: 2-20, Kothapalli,
Ranga Reddy District-501203.TS.

15. DURGAM SUVARNA
W/o. DURGAM GANGADHAR CHARY,
D/o. LATE. KAMMARI VENKATAIAH ,
Aged about 35 Years Occ:House wife,
R/o. H.No: 1-33-158, Netaji Nagar,
New Bowenpally,
Secunderabad-5000011.TS.

::PLAINTIFFS.

AND

1. P. U.N.VERMA S/o. Sri Sar Raju
Aged about 45 Years Occ:Business,
R/o. Ramalaya, Adarsh Nagar,
J.P.Road, Bheemavaram,
East Godavari District.AP.

2.SOHAM MODI S/o.SHRI SATISH MODI,
Aged about 50 Years Occ:Business
R/o. H.No:5-4-187/3&4, Soham Mansion,
II Floor, M.G.Road, Secunderabad-500003.TS.

3. KACHANA PRAVEEN KUMAR REDDY
S/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein
Aged about 50 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Yankanpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

4. SANDHYA RANI GUDDETI
S/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein
Aged about 45 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Yankanpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

5.MURALI KUPPALA
S/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein
Aged about 40 Years Occ:Private Service,
R/o. Yankanpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

Contd. 4 Page.

6. SHARMILA MURALI
W/o.MURALI KUPPALA
Aged about 47 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Yankanpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

7. A. RAMESH
S/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 45 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

8. TUREMELLA SARASWATHI,
W/o.MURALI KUPPALA
Aged about 35 Years Occ:House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

9. CHOPPA RAMANA,
S/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein
Aged about 32 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

10. SUDHA BALA
W/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 45 Years Occ:House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

11. VARALAKSHMI MANIKONDA,
W/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 45 Years Occ:House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

12. MANIKONDA SRINIVAS,
W/o.not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 45 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

13. VENKATA SIRISHA BUDDIGA,
W/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 38 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

14. BALA PRASAD BUDDIGA,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 41 Years Occ: Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

15. ANNAM RAMCHANDRA REDDY,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 47 Years Occ: Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

16. KUKKALA SRINU
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 41 Years Occ: Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

17. S. VENKATESULU
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 39 Years Occ: Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

18. GALBEWAR RAJAMANI
W/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 32 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

19. AMIR CHAND GANGADHAR JADHAV,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 42 Years Occ: Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

20. SMT. BALAMANI
W/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 49 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District. TS.

21. JILAGAM SIVA KUMAR,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 51 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.
22. GUNNALA KALPANA,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 34 Years Occ:House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.
23. BABU RAM SAHOO
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 51 Years Occ:Private Service,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.
24. PALLATI MALLIKARJUNA,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 42 Years Occ:Business
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.
25. ATINARAPU NARSIMHA,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 35 Years Occ:Agriculture
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.
26. BARKANDAS MAHESH,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 53 Years Occ:Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.
27. NAKKA PADMA
W/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 31 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

28. SIRAMDASU SURESH KUMAR,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 39 Years Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

29. G. JAI CHANDRA,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 46 Years Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

30. SHAPURAM HARI PRASAD REDDY,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 57 Years Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

31. KATURI KISHORE CHAITANYA,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 58 Years Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

32. KATURI SUVARNA KUMARI,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 48 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

33. SURYA NARAYANA RAJU,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 55 Years Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

34. C. SUDHAKAR REDDY,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 49 Years Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

35. A. RAVINDER REDDY,
S/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 52 Years Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Shanakerpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

Contd. 8 Page.

36. MORUSUPALLY ARUNA
W/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 32 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Yankanpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

37. VADLA MANJULA,
W/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 34 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Yankanpalli Village,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

38. K. MANJULA,
W/o. not known to the Plaintiffs herein.
Aged about 34 Years Occ: House wife,
R/o. Chevella Village & Mandal,
Ranga Reddy District.TS.

::DEFENDANTS

CLAIM: SUIT FOR PERPETUAL INJUNCTION:

**PLAINTIFF FILED UNDER SEC. 26 R/W ORDER VII RULE 1 & 2
OF CPC**

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAINTIFF:

The address for the purpose of service of all notices, and process on the above named plaintiff is the same as shown in the above cause title and that of their counsel i.e. **KRUPANANDAM BOLLAM**-Advocates, Office at: 9-1-364/B/103, Bhapu Nagar, Langer Houz, Hyderabad.TS. Mobile No:9603720083.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEFENDANTS:

The address for the purpose of service of all notices, and process on the above named DEFENDANTS are the same as shown in the above cause title.

Contd. 9 Page.

III. THE ABOVE NAMED PLAINTIFFS SUBMITS AS FOLLOWS:

1. It is submitted that the Plaintiffs are the absolute and lawful joint owners and possessors of the property of the Agriculture Land in Sy. No:43, admeasuring: Ac.8-05 Guntas, Situated at Yenkepally Village, Chevella Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS. It is morefully described in the schedule.

2. It is further submitted Originally the above mentioned property of the agriculture land was granted in favour of the (1). Kammari Eshwaraiah S/o. Venkaiah, (2). Narayana S/o. Chandraiah, and (3). Yadamma W/o. Narayana, all are R/o. Kothapally Village, Ranga Reddy District to the extent of Ac.8-05 Gts. Situated at Yenkepally Village, Chevella Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS under OCCUPANCY RIGHT CERTIFICATE Vide Lr No:L/1/1800/88, dated: 17-10-1995 issued by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Ranga Reddy District under the then Government of Andra Pradesh and paid the premium amount of Rs.98/- is credited to the Govt. Account Vide SBH, Gruhakalpa Branch, Hyderabad Vide Challan no:002534, dated:13-10-1995. Since the date of ORC Certificate the above said (1). Kammari Eshwaraiah, (2).Narayana and (3). Smt. Yadamma were enjoining the peaceful possession without anybody disturbance as a Pattedar and also the said Kammari Eshwaraiah, Narayana and Smt. Yadamma names were reflecting in the Pahanies.

Contd.10 Page.

3. It is submitted that the Kammari Eshwaraiah was died on 17-04-2009 by leaving behind the Plaintiffs No:1 to 5 herein as his legal heirs and successors of the above mentioned agriculture land. After the death of the said Kammari Eshwaraiah, Plaintiffs No.1 to 5 herein are the absolutely owners and possessors of the agriculture land and jointly cultivating the agriculture land to the extent of Ac.8-05 Gts. The Death Certificate is issued by the Panchayath Secretary, Kothapally Grampanchayat Office, Shankerpalli Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS. Since the date of the death of the said Kammari Eshwaraiah, the Plaintiffs No:1 to 5 herein have been cultivating the agriculture land jointly in their extent of land without anybody interruption and disturbance.

4. It is further submitted that the Kammari Narayana was died on 09-04-2012 by leaving behind the Plaintiffs No:9 to 15 herein as his legal heirs and successors of the above mentioned agriculture land. After the death of the said Kammari Narayana, Plaintiffs No.9 to 15 herein are the absolutely owners and possessors of the agriculture land and cultivating the land jointly to the extent of Ac.8-05 Gts. The Death Certificate is issued by the Executive Officer, Kothapally Grampanchayat Office, Shankerpalli Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS. Vide Lr. No:14/GP/2012, dated: 9-8-2012. The Death Certificate is herewith enclosed for kind perusal and knowledge. Since the date of the death of the said Kammari Narayana, the Plaintiffs No:1 to 15 herein have been cultivating the agriculture land jointly in their extent of land without anybody interruption and disturbance.

5. It is further submitted that the deceased Kammari Narayana had got two wives through his wedlock i.e. Laxmamma and Yadamma. The said Laxmamma blessed three children i.e. Plaintiffs No:9, 10, and Kammari Venkataiah and the said Kammari Venkataiah S/o. Narayana died leaving behind the Plaintiffs No.11 to 15 as his legal heirs and successors and grand children of deceased Kammari Narayana.

6. It is further submitted that the Kammari Yadamma was died on 3-11-2016 by leaving behind the Plaintiffs No:6 to 8 herein as her legal heirs and successors of the above mentioned agriculture land. After the death of the said Kammari Yadamma, Plaintiffs No.6 to 8 herein are the absolutely owners and possessors of the agriculture land and cultivating the land jointly to the extent of Ac:8.05 Gts. The Death Certificate is issued by the Executive Officer, Kothapally Grampanchayat Office, Shankerpalli Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS Vide Lr. No:14/GP/2011, dated: 22-11-2016. The Death Certificate is herewith enclosed for kind perusal and knowledge. Since the date of the death of the said Kammari Narayana, the Plaintiffs No:1 to 15 herein have been cultivating the agriculture land jointly in their extent of land without anybody interruption and disturbance.

7. It is further submitted that the defendant No.1 & 2 are the main key persons to provoke and instigate the defendants No.3 to 38, hence the defendants No.1 to 38 unnecessarily and without any reasons and cause, the defendants No.1 to 38 herein are trying to create the nuisance and petty

issues over the suit schedule property, but the said nuisance has been destroyed by Plaintiffs herein and this Plaintiff herein many times told the defendants not to interfere into the above suit schedule agriculture land in the absence of the plaintiffs herein with the support of the anti-social elements, even after the defendants promised and assured the plaintiffs that the defendants shall not interfere into peaceful possession and enjoyment of the above said agriculture land jointly. The defendants No.1 to 38 are the totally strangers and Non-local and they do not have any valid right and title over the suit schedule property.

4. It is submitted that the main intention of the defendants No.1 to 38 to grab and occupy illegally by interfering into the suit schedule property in order to harass and torture in all the corners to the plaintiffs herein so that the Plaintiffs No.1 to 15 herein shall sell their extent of land to the defendants No.1 to 38 herein by making plotting systems and now the suit schedule property is a prime property and also is in prime location.

5. It is submitted that the defendants No.3 to 38 on the directions and provocation and instigation of the defendants No.1 & 2, the defendants are visiting the suit schedule property and disturbing the Plaintiffs and giving warning and imminent threat that If they are not sold the suit schedule property to the defendants herein they will come with more force and dispossess the plaintiffs while cultivating the suit schedule property and there is a imminent danger to the Plaintiffs from the defendants and their

associates. The defendants are not avoiding in future complications or disputes, so these defendants deliberately and Intentionally unnecessarily continuing their illegal activities and creating nuisance and trespassing into the suit schedule property from the following dates i.e. 31-1-2020 and 5-03-2020 and making efforts to grab the land illegally. The Plaintiff resisted them from interfering and advise them not to interfere into the suit schedule property. This Plaintiff are the innocent and law abiding citizen.

6. It is further submitted that on 29-08-2020 again the defendants along with anti social elements came to the suit schedule property and created a panic scene with the Plaintiffs and their family members and the Plaintiffs along with their family members altogether resisted and opposed their illegal activities and all the defendants went away from the suit schedule property. It is further submitted that with the ulterior motive and wrongful notions, they want to grab the suit schedule property in order to harass and torture these Plaintiffs for their wrongful gain.

7. It is further submitted that finally on 2-11-2020 while so the defendants along with anti social elements at 2-30 PM came to the suit schedule property and created the severe ghalata and nuisance and trying to interfere into the suit schedule property due to which the plaintiffs and some other persons opposed and resisted them from interfering of their illegal acts, but the defendant along with anti-social elements while going away

threatened the plaintiff that they would come with greater force to dispose and occupy the suit schedule property and also warned and threatened that If the plaintiffs not to sell the suit schedule property to them only under lowest price then they will see the end of their lives and also danger. The Plaintiff immediately approached and reported the same to the concern police station and also requested to accommodate the police protection, but the police advise the plaintiff to get the some orders for the protection of suit schedule property from the clutches of the defendants. reported the same to the concerned PS, but the police advised the plaintiff to approach the appropriate court for their redressal and remedy.

9. It is submitted that the defendant who are not having any legitimate rights over the suit schedule property and also legally claim and interest of the same, but the unnecessarily adopting illegal methods to grab the land without any valid documents, as such since the Plaintiffs having the legitimate rights to protect the suit schedule property from all corners as a lawful owner and possessor. Approaching this court of his redressal.

10. It is submitted that The defendant succeeds in his illegal attempts the very purpose of filing this case will be infructuated and the plaintiff is put to suffers a great hardship and injury and his valuable legitimate rights involved in the suit schedule property will be effected very badly beyond the compensation. The Plaintiffs have established their prima facie case and balance of convenience in their favour. The plaintiffs have filed the separate Application for granting of Ad-Interim Injunction in their favour.

That the Plaintiffs have not filed any other suit or petitions before any other court of law or forum for the reliefs claimed in this suit and there is no collusion in between the Plaintiffs and defendants herein.

V. **CAUSE OF ACTION:**

The cause of action arose to the plaintiffs on 31-1-2020 and 05-03-2020 and 29-8-2020 when in the absence of the Plaintiffs, the defendants came to the suit schedule property and creating the nuisance, harassing, torturing, ghatlatta, trespassing and interfering into the suit schedule property and also further tried to interfere into the peaceful possession of the Plaintiffs over the suit schedule property and immediately the Plaintiffs opposed and resisted their illegal attempts and nuisance and finally on 2-11-2020 at about 2-30 PM the defendants and henchmen came to the suit schedule property and created the ghalata severe by interfering to the suit schedule property and the Plaintiff approached and reported the said incident before the concern PS and the cause of action is continuing.

VI. **JURISIDCTION:**

That the suit property of the Agriculture Land in Sy. No:43, Situated at Yenkepally Village, Chevella Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS. within the territorial jurisdiction of this Hon'ble court as such this Hon'ble court got jurisdiction to entertain the above suit.

VII. **LIMITATION:**

That the suit is filed within the limitation

Contd. 16 Page.

VIII. SUIT VALUATION:

That the suit is valued in a sum of Rs. _____/- and the court fee of Rs. _____ is paid Under Sec. 26 (c) of A.P.C.F. and S.V. Act for grant of Perpetual Injunction and the same is sufficient.

Therefore It is prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to pass the Judgment and decree in favour of the Plaintiffs' as under:

- a). To pass a judgment and Decree for perpetual injunction restraining the defendants, their henchmen, legal representatives, labours, assigness executors, subordinate from interfering into the peaceful possession of the suit schedule property i.e. property of the Agriculture Land in Sy. No:43, admeasuring: Ac.8-05 Guntas, Situated at Yenkepally Village, Chevella Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS.
- b). To award the cost of the suit.
- c) To grant any other relief or reliefs for which the plaintiffs are entitled to:

Plaintiff No.1 Plaintiff No.2 Plaintiff No.3 Plaintiff No.4

Plaintiff No.5 Plaintiff No.6 Plaintiff No.7 Plaintiff No.8

Plaintiff No.9 Plaintiff No.10 Plaintiff No.11 Plaintiff No.12

Plaintiff No.13 Plaintiff No.14 Plaintiff No.15

Date: -11-2020
L.B.Nagar

Counsel for the Plaintiffs

VERIFICATION

We the above named Plaintiff do hereby declare that the contents in the above paras are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and hence verified on this the day of September. 2020 at L.B.Nagar.

:: SCHEDULE OF THE PROPERTY ::

All that the property of the Agriculture Land in Sy. No:43, admeasuring: Ac.8-05 Guntas, Situated at Yenkepally Village, Chevella Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.TS. bounded as under::

North :: Yenkepally Road
South :: Land of Umamaheshwar Rao
East :: Land of Mala Laxmaiah and others.
West :: Land of Allam Krishnaiah and others

VERIFICATION

We the above named Plaintiff do hereby declare that the contents in the schedule of the property is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and hence verified on this the day of September. 2020 at L.B.Nagar.

Plaintiff No.1 Plaintiff No.2 Plaintiff No.3 Plaintiff No.4

Plaintiff No.5 Plaintiff No.6 Plaintiff No.7 Plaintiff No.8

Plaintiff No.9 Plaintiff No.10 Plaintiff No.11 Plaintiff No.12

Plaintiff No.13 Plaintiff No.14 Plaintiff No.15.

Contd. 18 Page.

LIST OF DOCUMENTS

<u>Sl. No:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	<u>Parties to Doct.</u>	<u>Description of document.</u>
1.	17-10-1995	Plaintiffs	Xerox copy of the Order of Payment Land Revenue Assessment issued by Govt. of AP.in Lr.No:L/L/1800/88, dated: 17-10-1995.
2.			Xerox copy of Pass Book of Eashwaraiah.
3.			Xerox copies of Pahanies
4.			Xerox copy of Death Certificate of Eashwaraiah.
5.			Xerox copy of House hold Card and Ration Card of Eashwaraiah.
6.			Xerox copy of House hold Card of Eashwaraiah.
7.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card Lalitha
8.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Ravinder
9.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Ramesh
10.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Venkatesham Chary.
11.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Laxmi
12.			Xerox copy of Death certificate of Kammari Yadamma.
13.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Kammari Yadamma.
14.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Kaminari Kammari Prabhu Chari.
15.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Kammari Kammari Kalapaa.
16.			Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of Durga Vinoda.
17.			Xerox copy of Pass Book of Narayana
18.			Xerox copy of Death Certificate of Kammari Narayana.

19. Xerox copy of Election Identity Card
Kammari Narayana.
20. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Kammari Srinivas.
21. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Kammari Siddappa.
22. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Kammari Amruthamma W/o.Kammari
Venkata Chary.
23. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Kammari Srikanth S/o.Late. Kammari
Venkata Chary.
24. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Kammari Ranjith Kumar S/o.Kammari
Venkata Chary.
25. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Kammari Ranjith Kumar S/o.Kammari
Venkata Chary.
26. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Vadla Aruna W/o.Gopal
27. Xerox copy of Aadhar Card of
Durga Suvarna W/o.Durgam Gangadhar
Chary.

Dated: -09-2020
L.B.Nagar.

Counsel for the Plaintiffs

IN THE COURT OF THE
HON'BLE JUNIOR CIVIL
JUDGE: RANGA REDDY
DISTRICT: AT:: L.B.NAGAR

O.S.NO. 555 OF 2020

BETWEEN::
KAMMARI LALITHA AND
14 OTHERS ::PLAINTIFFS

And

P. U.N.VERMA AND 37
OTHS ::DEFENDANTS

SUIT FOR PERPETUAL
INJUNCTION

PLAINT FILED UNDER
ORDER 7 RULE 1 & 2
OF CPC R/W SEC. 26 OF
CPC

Filed on: -11-2020

Filed by:

KRUPANANDAM BOLLAM

Advocate

Counsel for the Plaintiff

Office at : Bhapu Nagar, Langer
Houz, Hyderabad.