BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER TELANGANA STATE REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, DTCP BUILDING, GROUND FLOOR, 640, AC GUARDS, MASAB TANK, OPP PTI BUILDING AT: HYDERABAD

Inward IA.No. Of 2024 IN C.C.P.No.05/2024/TG RERA

Between: Smt Chiruvolu Naga Brunda W/o C.Ravi Shanker R/o H.No.1.8.22/71/202, SV Nivas Kapra Malkajgiri

..Complainant

And

M/s Modi Realty Genome Valley LLP Rep by its Partner Mr.Soham Modi R/o 5-4-187, MG Road Secunderabad

... Respondent/Petitioner

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT PRAYING TO DISMISS THE SECTION 65 B PETITION.

I Soham Modi S/o Late Satish Modi Occ: Business R/o 5-4-187/3 & 4, Soham Mansion 2nd Floor, MG Road Secunderabad representing as a partner to the Respondent Company do hereby state as under:

I Submit that the complainant filed a complaint against the Respondent before your Hon'ble Tribunal claiming compensation as mentioned in the complaint. The matter stood thus, the trial has been conducted and documents were also marked as exhibits.

I submit that on 23.09.2024 the complainant has appointed a counsel and accordingly on behalf of the complainant he filed an documents receive petition praying this Hon'ble Tribunal to accept the list of additional documents.

For MODI REALTY GENOME WALLEY LLP

The complainant by suppressing and misrepresenting the material particulars approached to this Hon'ble Tribunal with a dishonest intention to gain wrongfully and to cause wrongful loss to the Respondent as such the same is liable to be dismissed.

I submit that the complainant filed a petition U/s 65 B enclosing the Certificate of genuineness for the authentication of the documents. In that connection I would like to bring to the kind notice of this Hon'ble Tribunal that the mails dated 29.04.2024 and 06.05.2024 is sent from the mail-id of Mr.Ravi Shanker and the same cannot be taken in to consideration and the certificate of authentication filed is invalid and abusing the process of law. As such the same is liable to be dismissed. The documents filed by the complainant is not true and few are not binding on her case and the same cannot be filed U/s 65B by enclosing an certificate of authentication as such the same is liable to be dismissed.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to dismiss the petition U/s 65 B filed by the complainant and pass such other order or order's as this Hon'ble Tribunal deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

For MODI REALTY GENOME VALLEY LLP

Partner

Date; .12.2024 Place: Hyderabad

DEPONENT



BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER TELANGANA STATE REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY AT: HYDERABAD

C.C.P.No.05/2024/TG RERA

Between:

Smt Chiruvolu Naga Brunda ...Complainant

AND

M/s Modi Realty Genome Valley LLP

..Respondent

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT PRAYING TO DISMISS THE SEC 65 B PETITION

Filed on: .12, 2024

Filed by: Counsel for

Respondent

Address for Service:

P.Vikram Kumar
M.A.Lateef
Advocates
H.No.12-1-925/26/1to7
Flat No.403, Classic Mustafa
Tower, Feelkhana Lane,
Mallepally, Hyd-01
Mob:9985338487