PROCEEDINGS OF THE APPELLATE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER(CT), PUNJAGUTTA DIVISION, HYDERABAD

PRESENT: SMT. Y. SUNITHA,

ADC Order No.494

Appeal No.BV/92/2018-19

1. Name and address of the

Date of hearing:05-03-2019

Date of order :05-03-2019

Appellant.

M/s Nilgiri Estates,

Hyderabad.

2. Name & designation of the :

Assessing Authority.

Commercial Tax Officer, Maredpally Circle, Hyd.

3. No., Year & Date of order

TIN No.36607622962, dt.24-07-18, (July, 2015 to June, 2017 / Penalty)

4. Date of service of order

28-07-2018

5. Date of filing of appeal

25-08-2018

6. Turnover determined by

The Assessing Authority

7. If turnover is disputed:

(a) Disputed turnover

(b) Tax on disputed turnover:

8. If rate of tax disputed:

(a) Turnover involved

(b) Amount of tax disputed

₹39,35,284/- (Penalty)

9. Amount of relief claimed

10. Amount of relief granted REMANDED

11. Represented by

Sri M. Ramachandra Murthy,

Chartered Accountant

NOTE: An appeal against this order lies before the Telangana VAT Appellate Tribunal, Hyderabad within (60) days from the date of receipt of this order:

ORDER

M/s Nilgiri Estates, Hyderabad, the appellant herein, is a registered dealer under the TVAT Act bearing TIN 36607622962 and an assessee on the rolls of the Commercial Tax Officer, M.G.Road Circle, Hyderabad (hereinafter referred to as the territorial Assessing Authority). The present appeal is filed against the penalty orders dated 24-07-2018 (A.O.No.37780) passed by the Commercial Tax Officer, Maredpally Circle, Hyderabad (hereinafter referred to as the Audit Officer) for the tax periods from July, 2015 to June, 2017 under the TVAT Act, disputing the levy of penalty amounting to ₹39,35,284/-.

Sri M. Ramachandra Murthy, Chartered Accountant and Authorised Representative of the appellant appeared and argued the case. While reiterating the contentions as set-forth in the grounds of appeal, the Authorised Representative, however, stated that the appeal filed against the assessment to tax based on which the impugned penalty is levied was already disposed off as remanded vide appeal orders dated 27-02-2019, the impugned order of penalty needs to be set-aside. Thus, the Authorised Representative pleaded for setting-aside of the impugned levy of penalty.

I have heard the Authorised Representative and gone through his contentions as well as the contents of the impugned orders. The appellant, in the grounds of appeal, and his Authorised Representative, raised certain contentions with regard to the merits of the case placing reliance in certain case law. Without going into the admissibility or otherwise of the same, I have to observe that since the appeal filed by the appellant against the assessment to tax based on which the impugned penalty is levied, was disposed off by me as 'remanded' in Appeal No.BV/26/2018-19 (ADC Order No.432), dated 27-02-2019, the levy of penalty made by the Audit Officer also needs re-consideration. In 11-SCC-101 in the case of Pratibha Processors Vs Union of India (SC), their Lordships of the Apex Court observed that "in a fiscal statute, penalty is ordinarily levied on an assessee for some contumacious conduct or for a deliberate violation of the provisions of a particular statute". Moreover,

the penalty is an appendage of the original orders and its survival depends on the main order that acts as a prop. If the prop is set-aside, the appendage's survival is in question and falls flat. Hence, in fitness of matters, I feel it just and proper to remit the matter back to the Territorial Assessing Authority, who shall pass such orders of penalty as deemed fit and warranted consequent on making of assessment to tax in pursuance of the appeal order as discussed above. With this direction, the impugned orders of penalty are set-aside on a penalty amount of ₹39,35,284/- and the appeal thereon remanded.

In the end, the appeal is **REMANDED**.

APPELLATE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER(CT), PUNJAGUTTA DIVISION, HYDERABAD.

To The Appellants.

Copy to the Commercial Tax Officer, M.G.Road Circle, Hyderabad. Copy to the Commercial Tax Officer, Maredpally Circle, Hyderabad. Copy to the Dy.Commissioner(CT), Begumpet Division, Hyderabad. Copy submitted to the Additional Commissioner(CT) Legal, and Joint Commissioner(CT), Legal, Hyderabad.