

Date : 30-06-2021

From

Vista Homes,

5-4-187/3 & 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,

Soham Mansion,

M.G. Road,

Secunderabad – 500 003.

From:

Asst. Commissioner of Income tax,

Circle 6(1)/Hyd.

IT Towers, AC Guards, Masab Tank,

Hyderabad – 500 004.

Email id: [Hyderabad.DCIT6.1@incometax.gov.in](mailto:Hyderabad.DCIT6.1@incometax.gov.in)


Respected sir,

Sub: Reply to notice u/s.143(2) r.w.s. 147 dated 29-06-2021  
Assessment year 2017-18 – PAN – AAGFV2068P.

Ref: Notice dated 29-06-2021 – DIN – ITBA/AST/M/143(2)-4/2021-22/1033841342(1)  
Vide intimation letter dated 29-06-2021.

In connection with the above the following is submitted for your kind consideration.

1. We are in receipt of Notice u/s.148 dated 30-03-2021 (DIN:ITBA/AST/S/148/2020-21/1031907521(1) re-opening the assessment for Asst. Year 2017-18.
2. In response to the above Notice u/s.148, ITR is filed on 23-04-2021 vide Ack no.344353231230421.
3. We have vide out letter dated 11-05-2021 submitted via email on 15-05-2021 (email ID address of [Hyderabad.dcit6.1@incometax.gov.in](mailto:Hyderabad.dcit6.1@incometax.gov.in) ) requesting you to furnish the reasons recorded to believe that our income chargeable to tax for AY 2017-18 has escaped assessment. We have also requested you to furnish the necessary satisfaction recorded by sanctioning authority for issuance of the notice u/s.148.
4. The Notice u/s.148 dated 30-03-2021 states that the notice is being issued after obtaining the necessary satisfaction of the RANGE-6, Hyderabad. It do not state which authority has recorded the satisfaction for issuance of Notice. It only states RANGE-6-Hyderabad.
5. We have yet to get reply to our above request which is statutory requirement and is also upheld by various judicial procurements.



6. The Notice 143(3) says it is a 'DRAFT' and states as under:  
'2. While acknowledging the case and diligence you have taken in preparing the return, there are certain issues as mentioned below which need further clarification:  
Issues as per reasons recorded for reopening.'
7. The above notice neither specify as to what are reasons that are recorded for reopening nor such reasons are furnished to us separately.
8. We once again request you to furnish the reasons recorded for issuance of Notice u/s.148 and also the satisfaction recorded by the sanctioning authority before re-assessment proceedings are taken up.

Yours faithfully,

For VISTA HOMES,

  
PARTNER.